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#### Abstract

Measuring the Interactions and Cooperativity Between Ionic, Aromatic, and Nonpolar Amino Acids in Protein Structure


Mason Scott Smith<br>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, BYU<br>Doctor of Philosophy

Protein folding studies have provided important insights about the key role of noncovalent interactions in protein structure and conformational stability. Some of these interactions include salt bridges, cation- $\pi$, and anion- $\pi$ interactions. Understanding these interactions is crucial to developing methods for predicting protein secondary, tertiary, quaternary structure from primary sequence and understanding protein-protein interactions and protein-ligand interactions. Several studies have described how the interaction between two amino acid side chains have a substantial effect on protein structure and conformational stability. This is under the assumption that the interaction between the two amino acids is independent of surrounding interactions. We are interested in understanding how salt bridges, cation- $\pi$, and anion- $\pi$ interactions affect each other when they are in close proximity.

Chapter 1 is a brief introduction on noncovalent interactions and noncovalent interaction cooperativity. Chapter 2 describes the progress we have made measuring the cooperativity between noncovalent interactions involving cations, anions and aromatic amino acids in a coiledcoil alpha helix model protein. Chapter 3 describes cooperativity between cation, anion, and nonaromatic hydrophobic amino acids in the context of a coiled-coil alpha helix. In chapter 4 we describe a strong anion $-\pi$ interaction in a reverse turn that stabilizes a beta sheet model protein. In chapter 5 we measure the interaction between a cysteine linked maleimide and two lysines in a helix and show that it is a general strategy to stabilize helical structure.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Noncovalent interaction cooperativity

Covalent bonds are strong interactions between atoms where the electrons are shared between atoms ( $\left.10-100 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{1}$ When two atoms form a covalent bond but one atom is more electronegative then the other the two atoms do not share the electrons equally, consequently leaving one atom electron rich and the other electron poor. This results in the tendency for molecules to have weaker noncovalent interactions with other molecules. Noncovalent interactions do not share electrons but are governed by a dispersive electronic interactions between atoms or molecules where electron rich atoms or molecules interact with electron poor atoms or molecules. ${ }^{2-3}$ Generally these interactions are weaker than covalent bonds $\left(0-5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$, but are important for the formation of supramolecular structure found in biological macromolecules such as DNA, RNA, proteins, carbohydrates and lipids. ${ }^{4}$ Noncovalent interactions include hydrogen bonding, cation $-\pi$, anion $-\pi$, London dispersion, $\pi-\pi, \mathrm{CH}-\pi$, hydrophobic along with several others.

Hydrogen bonding is an interaction between an electron poor hydrogen, bound to an electronegative atom, interacting with a lone pair of an adjacent atom. Now consider a waterwater hydrogen bond ( $3-8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) which when in solution with other waters form dimers, trimer, or even a dodecamer hydrogen bonding networks. ${ }^{5}$ As more molecules associate with the hydrogen bonding network each individual hydrogen bond becomes stronger energetically almost
doubling once ten waters are in the bonding network. ${ }^{6-8}$ This shows that the energy found in a hydrogen bonding network is not additive, or when 1 interaction +1 interaction $\neq$ sum of the interactions.

When multiple noncovalent interactions are non-additive this is due to cooperativity or non-cooperativity. When two noncovalent interactions strengthen each other in a non-additive way we define that energy as cooperative, and when two interactions weaken each other it is anticooperative. Cooperativity has historically been studied in hydrogen bonding systems but there are fewer experimental measurements of cooperativity in ionic, aromatic and nonpolar systems. Most commonly found are quantum computational methods that predict cooperativity between these interactions.


Figure 1-1. schematic of arene ion and arene-arene interactions. (A) electronic configuration of benzene with electron density above and below the ring and positively dipoled edges of the ring. (B) cation- $\pi$ interaction. (C) anion- $\pi$ interaction. (D) $\pi-\pi$ interactions with both the parallel stacking and "t-shaped" configurations.

### 1.1.1 Cation $-\pi$, anion $-\pi$, and $\pi-\pi$ interactions

Electron-rich arenes like benzene have large amounts of electron density in the center of the ring due to the electronegative $\mathrm{sp}_{2}$ hybridized carbons, leaving the less electronegative hydrogens on the ring edges electron poor, this electronic configuration is referred to as a quadrupole (Figure 1-1A). The large electron density in the center of the ring is able to form a
strong interaction with cations, where the cation is positioned above or below the electron density in the ring (Figure 1-1B). This is called a cation- $\pi$ interaction which has been extensively reviewed elsewhere. ${ }^{9-14}$ The edges of electron rich rings are electron poor and able to interact with anions (Figure1-1C). ${ }^{15-18} \pi-\pi$ interactions form when two arene quadrupoles interact with each other forming either "offset stacked" or "edge to face" orientations. Both orientations position the electron rich portion of the arene over the electron poor portion (Figure 1-1D). ${ }^{19-21}$

Almost forty years ago, gas phase studies demonstrated the binding of a potassium cation with benzene is stronger than the binding of a potassium cation with a water molecule. ${ }^{22}$ This result suggested that cation- $\pi$ interactions could be energetically important in aqueous solution. Computational analysis predict that the interaction is stronger when the cation is positioned perpendicular to the plane of the ring, and it has a distance dependence relative to $\mathbf{R}^{-\mathbf{n}}$ where $\mathbf{R}$ is the distance between the cation and the center of the arene and $\mathbf{n}$ is less than 2 . This indicates that a cation can be moved from its optimal position without significant cost to the strength of the interaction, with a distance limit being 6-7 angstroms. ${ }^{14,23}$ A probe of 600 nonhomologous proteins show that there are over 3,000 energetically significant cation- $\pi$ interactions. ${ }^{9}$ The study indicates that there is on average 1 cation- $\pi$ for every 77 amino acids in the PDB. Figure 1-2 highlights some of the cation- $\pi$ examples from this PDB screen. First, an aromatic box in glucoamylase where a Lys108 is surrounded by four aromatic amino acids. Second, the cation- $\pi$ ladder in the human growth hormone where there is an alternating pattern of aromatic and positively charged amino acids along two strands of a beta sheet (Figure 1-2A, 1-2B) ${ }^{9,24-28}$

Subsequent biological studies show that several protein-protein, protein-DNA, and protein-ligand interactions are mediated through cation- $\pi$ interactions. ${ }^{29-33}$ Figure 1-3C Highlights the VWF protein domain binding to its target gene which has a conserved cation $\pi$
interaction between Arg 632 and an adenine, misregulation with VWF-DNA protein complex has been associated with a blood clotting disorder called Von Willebrand disease. ${ }^{34}$ Histone effector proteins, which help regulate gene activation, bind histone methylated lysines. The PHD domain, a common trimethyl lysine effector protein, has two conserved aromatics at positions 215 and 238 which are critical to the protein-histone interactions and specificity (Figure 1-2D). Acetylcholine receptors, important for proper neural function, binds its positively charged ligands with aromatic amino acids (Figure 1-3E). ${ }^{14,35-39}$ Figure 1-2F shows Trp123 of lysozyme binding to a sodium cation, an example of a protein side chain binding to a metal cation. ${ }^{40-43}$


Figure 1-2. Examples of cation- $\pi$ interactions found the PBD (A) The aromcatic box of glucoamylase with a cation $\pi$ interaction found in between lys 108 and $\operatorname{Tyr} 120, \operatorname{Tyr} 116, \operatorname{Trp} 52$, and $\operatorname{Trp} 120$. (B) the cation- $\pi$ ladder in human growth hormome. (C) Cation $-\pi$ at the interface of the VWF domain and it's DNA binding partner. (D) PHD domain interacting with trimethyllysine on Histone 3. (E) Acetylcholine
receptor mediation by Tyr185, Tyr192, Trp54, and Trp143 all participating in a cation $\pi$ with the trimethylammonium of acetylcholine. (F) Cation $\pi$ between a sodium atom and $\operatorname{Trp} 123$.

Unlike cation $-\pi$ interactions, anion $-\pi$ interactions are believed to be unfavorable when positioned at the face of an electron rich arene. ${ }^{18,44-45}$ Anions are positioned close to the positively dipoled edges of electron rich arenes. ${ }^{46}$ In biology, probes for anion- $\pi$ interactions in the PDB show that Glu and Asp side chains tend to be oriented to the edges of Phe, Tyr, Trp. ${ }^{46-47}$ An example can be found in the enzyme keto steroid isomerase where a conserved Glu 38 forms a edgewise anion- $\pi$ interaction with both Phe 116, and Phe 54 (Figure1-4A). ${ }^{47-48}$ Unsurprisingly, changing the electronic character of benzene to hexaflourobenzene shows that the electron poor face can tolerate a facewise interaction with an anion. ${ }^{44,49-50}$ Other ion-arene interaction studies indicate that modifications in the electronic character of an arene can change its facewise preference from a cation to an anion. ${ }^{51-54}$ Interestingly Chakravarty et. al. searched the PDB for face-wise anion- $\pi$ interactions both in protein structure and at protein-DNA interfaces. ${ }^{55}$ They probed 1500 non-homologous structures and searched for anions that were less 4.5 angstroms from the center of the aromatic ring and were within $15^{\circ}$ perpendicular to the plane of the ring. Although less common than edgewise anion- $\pi$ interactions, they unambiguously found face-wise interactions in the pdb and showed that the interactions were conserved within protein families suggesting importance to protein folding or function. A facewise interaction found in the enzyme tranketolase, which catalyzes the formation of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate, shows Glu102 directly above Tyr105 (Figure 1-3B). Additionally, anion $\backslash-\pi$ interactions have been shown useful for engineering active sites for enzymes. ${ }^{56}$ Stabilizing the conformation of proteins and beta sheet peptides, ${ }^{57-59}$ and significant anion- $\pi$ interactions at the interface of proteins and DNA suggesting an importance for DNA regulation and transcription. ${ }^{17,60-61}$


Figure 1-3. Anion $\pi$ interactions. (A) Anion $\pi$ interactions found in Ketosteroid Isomerase, Glu 38 forms an edgewise anion $\pi$ with Phe 116, and Phe 54. (B) Facewise anion $\pi$ interactions in Transketolase between Glu 102 and Tyr 105.
$\pi-\pi$ interactions are usually weaker than ion-arene interactions but have still been used to stabilize coiled-coil peptides, specify protein oligermization, and stabilize protein conformation (Figure 1-4). ${ }^{62-66}$ The rings can form the "offset stacked" orientation where the planes of the rings are parallel and the center of one ring is positioned over the edge of another ring. Two arenes can also form a "edge to face" orientation where the positive edge of one ring forms a favorable interaction with the center of the second ring. $\pi$ - $\pi$ stacking has been used to engineer a interesting pentameric coiled-coil where the interior of the coil is a tight packing of Trp residues (Figure 14A). ${ }^{65}$ Other studies show that this pentamer can tolerate other aromatic amino acids and has shed light on how tetrameric, pentameric, and hexameric coiled coils form. ${ }^{67-68}$ A de novo helix-loophelix dimer (alpha 2 delta) designed by Degrado et al. has a $\pi \pi$ interaction between Phe29, and

Phe 10 that contributes substantially to the dimer association state, mutation of either Phe causes the dimer to not fold (Figure 1-4B). ${ }^{69-70}$ In DNA and RNA structure $\pi-\pi$ stacking contributes to the supramolecular structure mainly when the aromatic components of the nucleic acids stack on top of each other (Figure1-4C). ${ }^{71-72} \pi-\pi$ interactions are also critical to protein tertiary structure, and protein-ligand interactions. ${ }^{66,69,73-75}$


Figure 1-4. (A) Trp $\pi-\pi$ interactions in the core of a pentameric coiled coil. (B) Helical homodimer mediated by a Phe29, Phe10, Trp $14 \pi-\pi$ interaction. (C)

### 1.1.2 Cooperativity between arenes and ions.

Cation $-\pi$ interactions have been computationally shown to affect $\pi-\pi$, anion $-\pi$ interactions. Cation- $\pi$ interactions have been shown to enhance $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions by 5 -fold. ${ }^{76-77}$ Included in these studies was a PDB search of protein structures showing that metal- $\pi-\pi$ interactions occur just as frequently as do metal- $\pi$ interactions. They indicated that a T -shaped $\pi$ $\pi$ orientation was preferred where the metal bound the face of one ring with another ring edge binding the opposite face. Anion- $\pi$ interactions also can have cooperative interactions with $\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions where the arenes are electron difficient. ${ }^{49,78}$ Interestingly there appears to be a fourway cooperative effect when a cation was positioned on one face of a stacked $\pi-\pi$ interaction and
an anion positioned on the other face. ${ }^{50}$ These studies indicate that ions have extensive cooperative effects with arenes.


Figure 1-5. Schematic of the cooperativity predicted for a cation- $\pi$-anion interaction

Cation- $\pi$ interactions can have an interesting effect on anion $-\pi$ interactions. It is believed that face-wise anion- $\pi$ interactions with electron rich arenes should be destabilizing but computational and supramolecular work show that facewise anion- $\pi$ interactions are possible if the electronics of the ring are changed. Interesting studies show if a cation is positioned on the opposing face of the ring then the ring electron density is polarized towards the cation leaving the opposing face electron poor (figure 1-5). ${ }^{79-81}$ Dougherty et al. also show that synthetic aromatic host systems that bind cations are more effective if anionic carboxylates are engineered on the opposing face of the aromatic rings. They confirmed these results by computationally modelling a chlorine atom on one face of a benzene and showed that the benzene indeed was more predisposed to interact with a sodium cation on the opposite face. ${ }^{81}$ Binding of anionic guests
within the internal arene-lined cavities of calixarenes, cryptophanes, and cyclotriveratrylenes increases substantially when the exterior surfaces of these hosts are bound by transition metal cations. ${ }^{82-86}$ A more recent bioinformatics probe by of the PDB protein structures showed that about $3 \%$ of anion- $\pi$ interactions show that the aromatic side chains is also participating in a cation$\pi$ interaction. ${ }^{48}$ The same paper describes how the anion- $\pi$-cation interaction is prevalent at protein-protein interfaces, this seems reasonable due to polar amino acids being very prevalent at the surface of proteins instead of the interior. Figure 1-6 highlights six examples of cation- $\pi$-anion motifs found in protein structure and between protein-protein interfaces.


Figure 1-6. Examples described in a bioinformatics probe for cation $\pi$ anion interactions in protein structure. ${ }^{48}$ Differing colors represent different protein chains within the crystal structure. The six examples include (A) adenylsuccinate lysase, (B) D:alanine :Dlactate ligase, (C) Green fluorescence protein tetramer, (D) PHD domain binding to H3K4 hisone peptide, (E) MAGE G1 protein, and (F) Alpha-Glucosidase.

### 1.2 Protein Folding Cooperativity

Proteins are polymers of amino acids that form complex structural conformations. The structure of the protein governs the protein function and biological purpose, so much so that several disease states are associated with protein structural misfolding. ${ }^{87-92}$ Protein structure is mostly governed by noncovalent interactions with the exception of covalently linked disulfide bonds, because of this some proteins are only marginally stable at room temperature. Due to the low energy barrier between a folded protein and its unfolded state no type of molecular interaction within the protein is unimportant.

Two main determinants in protein folding are hydrophobic amino acids packing in the interior of the protein isolated from solvent and hydrogen bonding. ${ }^{93-94}$ Hydrophobic packing stabilizes proteins in two ways: Hydrophobic amino acids form London dispersion forces, and isolating the hydrophobic amino acids from water solvent allows water to form a hydrogen bonding network where water has more entropy than when bound to nonpolar amino acids. The two main secondary structures of proteins are alpha helices and beta sheets, these two structures form extensive hydrogen bonding networks between the main chain carbonyls and amides giving the secondary structure stability and direction. ${ }^{94-96}$

Some labs have proposed that protein folding is mostly cooperative in nature. ${ }^{97-103}$ Dill et al. propose a hydrophobic "zipper" hypothesis where initial hydrophobic contacts bring additional contacts in close proximity further contributing to the hydrophobic cooperativity of a protein core and allowing water to form a cooperative network in the solvent. ${ }^{93,101,103}$ Baker et al. suggest that the observed cooperativity on protein folding is a result of natural selection and supports this conclusion by creating de novo protein folds, or folds that are not observed in nature and therefore
cannot be subject to natural selection. They suggest that biology over the years has selected pathways for proteins that fold cooperatively. ${ }^{102,104}$ A recent experimental study showed that cooperativity between already folded domains contribute to the folded tertiary structure of a protein. ${ }^{99}$

Although cooperativity is established in protein folding, there are limited examples of experimental measurements of cooperativity between specific amino acids side chains. As described in section 1.1.2 several labs predict extensive cooperativity between cations, anions, and arenes. To our knowledge experimental measurements of the cooperativity between anions, cations, and aromatics have not been measured in the context of protein structure. This dissertation describes the first experimental measurements of the cooperative interaction between negatively and positively charged amino acids with aromatic amino acids.

### 1.2.1 Measuring non-covalent interactions in proteins

Specific noncovalent interactions, in addition to hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions, are critical to protein function and structure. These interactions include cation- $\pi$, anion $-\pi, \pi-\pi$, and $n-\pi^{*}$, along with other interactions. Understanding these interactions is crucial to developing methods for predicting protein secondary, tertiary, quaternary structure from primary sequence, especially for proteins with no known function and with little homology with known proteins. ${ }^{105-108}$

To measure the contributions of noncovalent interactions to protein conformational stability, labs have developed double mutant cycle, and triple mutant cycle analysis. ${ }^{2,109-112}$ This approach has led to insights into the impact of non-covalent interactions on protein folding and stability. A great example of a double mutant cycle was done by Ferst et. al where they measured a salt bridge interaction between an arginine at position 69 and an aspartate at position

93 found in the protein barnase (Figure 1-7A). ${ }^{113-114}$ To measure the interaction Ferst et. al. made four barnase variants, wild-type, R69S, D93N, and R69S D93N double mutant. This group folded and unfolded each barnase variant using chemical denaturants and monitored the folding process using a stop-flow fluorimeter. They extrapolated folded free energies for each variant and calculated the interaction between R69 and D93 (Figure 1-7B). The partially buried salt bridge was estimated to contribute around $3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ of energy to the folded conformation of the wildtype barnase.


Figure 1-7. Structure of a partially buried salt bridge between Asp93 and Arg 69 (Left). Double mutant cycle measuring the interaction energy between Asp 93 and Arg 69 (Right).

To measure the impact of a third side chain on the binary non-covalent interaction two double mutant cycles can be combined to form a triple mutant cycle cube. Ferst et. al. were interested in salt bridge cooperativity and continued using the protein barnase as a model system. ${ }^{115}$ In the protein structure they noticed two salt bridges formed by Asp8, Asp12, and Arg110 (Figure 1-8A). They made eight barnase variants and measured each variant's folded
free energy then calculated the triple mutant cycle analysis (figure 1-8B). Each side of the triple mutant cycle cube is a double mutant cycle which measures the interaction between two amino acids in the presence of a control side chain (usually alanine) and again in the presence of a side chain of interest. When you compare opposite cube faces, you measure the effect of a third amino acid position on a double mutant cycle binary interaction. They showed the salt bridge between Asp 8 and Arg110 was worth $-0.22 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ while position 12 was an alanine (red double mutant cycle in figure 1-8B). Then they showed the same salt bridge gained -0.77 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in interaction energy when position 12 was the wild-type aspartate (green double mutant cycle in figure 1-8B). The Asp8: $\operatorname{Arg} 110$ salt bridge was enhanced by $-0.77 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ if the Arg110:Glu12 salt bridge is present in the structure (Figure 3C). This demonstrates a cooperativity between the two salt bridges where one salt bridge enhances the second.

When doing double or triple mutant cycle analysis two main assumptions are made: 1 . All the protein variants must not have significant structural rearrangements 2. The control side chains should not interact with each other. In this example, the group confirmed structural integrity of each variant by NMR and protein crystallography. The group chose alanine as the mutant side chain and assumed that the Ala8, Ala12, Ala110 would not have substantial interaction. This example illustrates the power of double and triple mutant cycle analysis, showing the strength of binary non-covalent interactions can have can be substantially influenced by a third amino acid.


Figure 1-8. Example of a triple mutant cycle analysis between Asp8, Asp12, and $\operatorname{Arg} 110$ in the protein barnase (A) structural data representing positions 8,12 , and 110. (B) The eight barnase variants required for the triple mutant cube analysis. (C) The two double mutant cycles that measure the cooperativity between Asp8, Asp12, and Arg110.

### 1.2.2 Measuring ionic, aromatic, nonpolar cooperativity in protein structure.

Our lab is interested in measuring the cooperativity of interactions involving anion, cation, and aromatic side chains found in protein structure. Chapter 2 describes the progress we have made measuring the cooperativity of cations, anions and aromatic amino acids in a coiledcoil alpha helix model protein. Chapter 3 describes cooperativity between cation, anion, and nonaromatic hydrophobic amino acids in the context of a coiled-coil alpha helix. In Chapter 4 we were tested the strength of an anion- $\pi$ interaction to a reverse turn found in a beta sheet model system.
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## 2 MEASURING A HELICAL SALT BRIDGE ENHANCED BY AROMATIC AMINO ACIDS.

### 2.1 Introduction

Salt bridges, or the interaction between negative and positive amino acids contribute significant conformational stability to protein structure, especially when two ionic groups are in close proximity (i.e., within $\sim 4 \AA$ of each other). ${ }^{1-9}$ For example, placing complementarily charged amino acid side chains three or four residues apart can substantially stabilize $\alpha$-helical peptides because they are on the same face of the helix and in close proximity. ${ }^{10}$ Analogous approaches also promote helical conformations within $\beta$-peptides and other foldamers. ${ }^{11-13}$ Increasing the distance between the positively and negatively charged residues causes the residues to be solvated by water and ion molecules from the solvent, thereby attenuating the salt-bridge interaction. ${ }^{14}$ Several lines of evidence show that longer range salt bridges are possible when an arene is positioned between the cation, and anion. (see Section 1.1.2). ${ }^{15-18}$

We set out to measure the impact of an arene on a Glu-Lys salt bridge in the protein $\mathbf{1 C W}$. $\mathbf{1 C W}$ is a trimer $\alpha$-helix coiled coil whose structure has been extensively characterized. ${ }^{9,19-20} \mathbf{1 C W}$ is a two state folder and is therefore amenable to detailed thermodynamic analysis. ${ }^{9,21}$ The small size of $1 \mathbf{C W}$ (33 amino acids) facilitates the chemical synthesis of the protein with complete control of site specific mutations necessary for the measurement of noncovalent interactions. Alanine 10 , serine 14 , and alanine 18 occupy the $i$, the $i+4$, and the $i+8$ positions along the solvent-
exposed faces of each $\alpha$-helix in $\mathbf{1 C W}$ (Figure 2-1). Several studies have shown that the i to $\mathrm{i}+3$ or ito $i+4$ spacing in an $\alpha$-helix facilitates favorable noncovalent interactions due to the close proximity of these positions. ${ }^{5,22-23}$


Figure 2-1. Coiled coil trimeric protein. The protein has three solvent exposed sites where we can measure the interaction between anionic, aromatic, and cationic amino acids.

### 2.1 Results and discussion

## Cooperativity between a long-range salt bridges mediated by Tryptophan.

We wondered to what extent Trp14 might enable a favorable long-range salt-bridge interaction between Glu10 and Lys18. Assessing the contribution of an interaction between two amino acid residues to peptide or protein conformational stability requires double mutant cycle

analysis, in which each residue is mutated to a non-interacting surrogate, individually and then in combination. For example, to determine whether a Glu10-Lys18 interaction contributes substantially to the stability of the three-helix bundle formed by 1 (Figure 2-2), we mutated Glu 10 to Ala to give peptide 2; Lys18 to Ala to give peptide 3; and both Glu10 and Lys18 to Ala to give peptide 4. Like peptide 1, each of these variants forms a cooperatively folded helix-bundle homotrimer (See Supporting information section 2.4.4). The difference in the folding free energies of $\mathbf{2}$ vs. $\mathbf{1}$ is a measure of the energetic contribution of the putative Glu10-Lys18 interaction plus the impact of the Glu10 to Ala mutation (i.e., $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{21}=\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{K}}+\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{E} 10 \mathrm{~A}}$ ). In contrast, no Glu10-Lys18 interaction is possible within peptides $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, in which Ala occupies position 18 . Therefore, the difference in the folding free energies of $\mathbf{4}$ vs. $\mathbf{3}$ must only reflect the impact of the Glu to Ala mutation (i.e., $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{43}=\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{E} 10 \mathrm{~A}}$ ). Subtracting $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{43}$ from $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{21}$ therefore provides a measure of the energetic contribution of the Glu10-Lys18 interaction to helix bundle stability: $\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{K}}=\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{21}-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{43}=-2.18 \pm 0.09 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for the three Glu10-Lys18 interactions present in the helix-bundle formed by $1 ;-0.73 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ per individual Glu10-Lys18 interaction. Despite the large distance between Glu10 and Lys18 ( $\sim 13 \AA$ ), the energetic contribution of the Glu10-Lys18 interaction is similar in magnitude to that of many previously characterized short-range salt bridges within proteins. ${ }^{1-2,4-5, ~ 8, ~} 24$


Figure 2-2. Peptides 1-8 used to measure the cooperative interaction between a Glu10, $\operatorname{Trp14}$, and Lys 18. The double mutant cycle that measure the interaction in the presence of Trp14 (bottom left) and the double mutant cycle in the presence of Ser14 (bottom right) can be compared to quantify the impact of Trp14 on the Glu10:Lys18 salt bridge. CD experiments were done in 20 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0 at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptide concentration.

To assess the contribution of Trp14 to the long-range Glu10-Lys18 interaction in peptide 1, we prepared peptide 5, a derivative of $\mathbf{1}$ in which Trp14 has been replaced by Ser, which occupies position 14 in the parent peptide from which 1 was derived; subsequent experiments demonstrated that Ser is a reasonable mimic of Ala at this position (supporting information section 2.4.7). We then repeated the double mutant cycle analysis to assess the strength of the Glu10Lys 18 interaction in the absence of Trp14 by comparing the stability of $\mathbf{5}$ with that of peptides $\mathbf{6}$, 7, and $\mathbf{8}$ (the Ser 14 analogues of peptides $\mathbf{2 , 3}$, and $\mathbf{4}$ respectively). Interestingly, when Ser occupies position 14 the salt bridge interaction is unfavorable $\left(\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{E} \cdot \mathrm{K}}=\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{65}-\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{87}=0.89 \pm 0.06\right.$ kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for the three $E \cdot K$ interactions in the peptide 5). Comparing the contribution to
conformational stability of the Glu10-Lys18 salt bridge in the presence of Trp14 vs. Ser14 shows that the Trp14 enhances each salt bridge in $\mathbf{5}$ by $-1.02 \pm 0.09 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ per Glu10-Trp14-Lys18 interaction (Figure 2-2).

Table 2-1. Structure activity relationship of Glu10, Lys 18 salt bridge enhanced by Trp 14. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Peptide | Sequence | Salt-bridge with Arene $\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Salt-bridge with Ser14 $\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Impact of arene on trimer $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \mathbf{G}_{f}$ (kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -••KVEALEWKVQKLE••• | $-2.25 \pm 0.08$ | $0.20 \pm 0.06$ | $-2.45 \pm 0.10$ |
| 9 | -••KVDALEWKVQKLE••• | $-0.74 \pm 0.08$ | $0.46 \pm 0.07$ | $-1.21 \pm 0.11$ |
| 10 | -••KVEALEWKVQOLE••• | $-0.23 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.25 \pm 0.10$ | $0.02 \pm 0.08$ |
| 11 | -••KVAELEWKVQKLE••• | $0.35 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.86 \pm 0.08$ | $1.21 \pm 0.14$ |
| 12 | -••KVKALEWKVQELE••• | $1.01 \pm 0.12$ | $0.25 \pm 0.10$ | $0.76 \pm 0.15$ |
| 13 | -••KVEALEW ${ }_{\text {Me }}$ KVQKLE••• | $-0.79 \pm 0.09$ | $0.20 \pm 0.06$ | $-1.00 \pm 0.11$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Partial sequences given for 1, 9-13 from residue 8-20. Variable temperature CD experiments done in 20 mM sodium phosphate $\mathrm{pH} 7.0,1 \mathrm{M}$ Urea, 343.15 K . Data are given as $\pm$ standard error for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptide.

We next explored whether the large Trp-based enhancement of the Glu10-Lys18 interaction could tolerate changes in the position of the Glu10 carboxylate relative to the Lys18 ammonium group (Table 2-1). To that end, we performed triple mutant cycle analyses on peptides 9-12 and their sequence variants, in which we varied the identity and relative location of the positive and negatively charged components of the salt bridge. Some of these variants were so stable in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 7)$ as to preclude direct assessment of their folding free energies. To facilitate direct comparison among peptides $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9}-\mathbf{1 2}$, we performed variable temperature CD experiments on these compounds in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 7)$ with 1 M urea, which adjusted helix-bundle stability such that we could reliably extract thermodynamic parameters from the variable temperature CD data for each compound. Replacing Glu10 with Asp
(peptide 9, Table 2-1) decreases the Trp-based enhancement in the salt-bridge interaction by $50 \%$. Replacing Lys 18 with ornithine (peptide 10) abolishes the Trp-based enhancement completely. This is an interesting contrast with earlier work by Waters and coworkers, who showed that Phe interacts more favorable with an $\mathrm{i}+4$ ornithine than an $\mathrm{i}+4$ Lys. ${ }^{22}$ Moving Glu10 to position 11 (i.e., one residue closer to Trp14; peptide 11) interestingly causes the salt bridge to be favorable in the presence of Ser14 and non-favorable with Trp14. Peptide $\mathbf{1 2}$ shows that Trp14 makes an unfavorable Lys10-Glu18 salt bridge worse. We speculate that the failure of Trp to enhance a longrange salt-bridge between Lys10 and Glu18 (peptide 12) could reflect an unfavorable interaction between the long-range salt bridge and the helical macrodipole, but the precise mechanism remains unclear. These results suggest that the ability for $\operatorname{Trp} 14$ to enhance the interaction between Glu and Lys is highly sensitive to the relative positions of the Glu carboxylate and the Lys ammonium. We also show that the Trp-based enhancement of the Glu10-Lys18 salt bridge appears to have a significant hydrogen bonding component because when methylating the indole nitrogen on $\operatorname{Trp} 14$ side chain $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{me}}\right)$ decreases the Trp based stabilization by about $60 \%$ (Figure 2-3, peptide 13).

### 2.2.2 The structural influence of the arene on the long-range salt bridge.

We then tested the ability of other aromatic side chains to enhance the Glu10-Lys18 salt bridge (Figure 2-3). Tyr (peptide 14) enhances the stability of the Glu10-Lys18 salt bridge to a similar degree as Trp. However, as with Trp, methylation of the Tyr phenolic oxygen (peptide 15) decreases Tyr-based stabilization by $\sim 65 \%$, again suggesting a substantial hydrogen-bonding component for Trp or Tyr based enhancement of the Glu10-Lys18 interaction. In agreement with this conclusion, Phe (peptide 16) is substantially worse at enhancing the Glu10-Lys19 interaction
than both Tyr and Trp. Interestingly, addition of 0.25 M NaCl to the buffer increases the Phe-based enhancement of the Glu10-Lys18 interaction (supporting information section 2.4.8), suggesting that the enhanced long-range salt-bridge is resistant to screening by salt, possibly because the nonpolar residue excludes ions from the space immediately between Glu10 and Lys18; alternatively, it is possible the the Phe-based enhancement of the Glu10-Lys18 interaction also has a hydrophobic component.

We wondered whether the still sizeable ability of Phe to enhance the Glu10-Lys18 interaction $(-1.25 \pm 0.09$ for the three Phe residues in $16 ;-0.42 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{kcal}$ mol -1 enhancement per individual Phe) was related to a cation $-\pi$ interaction between the positively charged Lys18 and the electron-rich face of the Phe arene. To test this hypothesis, we replaced Phe with pentafluorophenylalanine ( $\mathrm{f}_{5} \mathrm{Phe}$ ), in which the face of the aromatic ring is electron-poor instead of electron-rich (peptide 17). Interestingly F5Phe enhances the stability of the Glu10-Lys18 interaction to a similar degree as does Phe. This observation agrees qualitatively with the computational work of Deyá and his coworkers, who predicted that the two charges positioned across hexaflourobenzene would still interact cooperatively. ${ }^{15}$ The similar impact $\mathrm{f}_{5}$ Phe and Phe on the Glu10-Lys 18 salt bridge suggests that the electrostatic potential of the ring may not be a major determinant of the observed salt-bridge stabalization. To further test this hypothesis, we made peptides 18-21 along with their sequence variants and showed that p-fluorophenylalanine (p-fPhe, Peptide 18), 3,4-difluorophenylalanine (3,4-f $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ Phe, peptide 19), and p-nitrophenylalanine ( $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Phe, peptide 20) are each similar or superior to Phe14 in their ability to stabilize Glu10Lys18 salt bridge (Figure 2-3). An exception to this trend, for reasons that are still unclear, was that 4-pyridinylalanine (PyrA, peptide 21) had a substantially smaller impact than that of Phe14.

This may reflect perturbation of the pyridinium/pyridine acid-base equilibrium by Glu10 and/or Lys 18.

| Peptide | Sequence varient | Residue 14 | Salt-bridge Interaction $\Delta \Delta \Delta G_{f}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Influence of Residue 14 $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | ESK | 给 OH | $0.87 \pm 0.06$ | --- |
| 1 | EWK |  | $-2.18 \pm 0.09$ | $-3.05 \pm 0.09$ |
| 14 | EYK |  | $-1.93 \pm 0.07$ | $-2.80 \pm 0.09$ |
| 15 | $E Y_{\text {me }} \mathrm{K}$ |  | $-0.01 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.88 \pm 0.06$ |
| 16 | EFK |  | $-0.38 \pm 0.07$ | $-1.25 \pm 0.09$ |
| 17 | $E F_{5}$ PheK |  | $-0.21 \pm 0.06$ | $-1.08 \pm 0.09$ |
| 18 | Ep-fFK |  | $-0.33 \pm 0.05$ | $-1.20 \pm 0.07$ |
| 19 | E3,4-f $\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{FK}$ |  | $-0.79 \pm 0.04$ | $-1.66 \pm 0.07$ |
| 20 | $\mathrm{Ep}-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{FK}$ |  | $-0.61 \pm 0.06$ | $-1.48 \pm 0.08$ |
| 21 | EPyrAK |  | $0.21 \pm 0.05$ | $-0.66 \pm 0.07$ |

Figure 2-3. The effect of changing the arene side chain at position 14 on the strength of the Glu10:Lys18 salt bridge

### 2.2.3 Crystal structures of 1 CW variants

Like small molecules, proteins can form crystals when they are supersaturated in solution. ${ }^{25}$ Under saturated conditions individual protein molecules can pack in ordered arrays
through noncovalent interactions between protein molecules. High-quality crystals are formed when well-ordered packing arrangements are maintained throughout the crystal, the crystal has little contamination, and is large enough to collect diffraction data. ${ }^{26-29}$ Initial crystallization screens of 1 (EWK) indicated two crystal forms, or two differing packing arrangements occurred depending on solution condition (crystal forms $\mathrm{EWK}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{EWK}_{2}$ are shown in Figure 2-4A, details of each crystal packing lattice can be found in the supporting information 2.4.6). Each crystal form folds into a homotrimeric helix bundle. As is typical for helix bundles, the primary sequence of EWK is characterized by a repeating seven-residue sequence (i.e., a heptad), in which hydrophobic residues occupy the first and fourth positions (positions a and d), respectively, of an abcdefg heptad. ${ }^{19,30-31}$ Each heptad spans two turns of the $\alpha$-helix (at $\sim 3.5$ residues per turn). Consequently, nonpolar a and d-position residues occupy the same face of the helix; burial of these residues at the inter-helical interface generally provides the major driving force for helix-bundle self-association. ${ }^{30}$ Similarly, polar residues at b , c , and f-positions in peptide $\mathbf{1}$ occupy the solvent-exposed surface of the helix bundle; b-position Glu10 is two helical turns away from b-position Lys18, with f-position Trp14 in between them. Figure 2-4B shows a single helix from $\mathrm{EWK}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{EWK}_{2}$ superimposed, both crystal forms show the same rotamer for tryptophan. We then measured the distances between the ammonium group on Lys18 and the C $\delta$

Glu10 on both EWK crystal forms (Figure 2-4C). The long Glu10:Lys18 distances (13.9 $\AA$,
$15.9 \AA$ ) indicate the potential for a long-range salt bridge being enhanced by Trp14.

| Sequence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 6 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 21 | 26 | 31 |
| Ac-E | VEALEKK | VEALEWK | VQKLEKK | VEALEHG | WDGR-NH |  |  |
| g | abcdefg | abcdef $g$ | abcdefg | abcdefg | abcd |  |  |



Figure 2-4 Representation of EWK trimer helical wheel where Glu10, Trp14, and Lys18 occupy the solvent exposed b , f , and c positions within the heptad repeat.


Figure 2-5. (A) Two crystal forms for peptide 1 (EWK). (B) Glu10, Trp14, and Lys 18 overlaid from each crystal form. (C) Distances between the lysine $\mathrm{N}_{\text {zeta }}$ center of the Trp ring and the distance between the Glu $10 \mathrm{C}_{\text {Epsilon }}$ and the Trp 14 Ring.

The structure of peptide $\mathrm{EWK}_{2}$ (peptide 1) was overlaid with individual $\alpha$-helices from crystal structures of five derivatives of EWK, in which Tyr (EYK), Phe (EFK), p-FPhe (Ep-fFK), 3,4- $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ Phe (E3,4-f $\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{FK}$ ), p- $\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Phe}\left(\mathrm{Ep}-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{FK}\right)$, or PyrA (EPyrAK) occupy position 14 instead of Trp (Figure 2-5). We wondered whether the side-chain conformations (i.e. rotamers) adopted by Glu10, Lys18, or the i-position arenes would correlate with the ability of each arene to enhance the Glu10-Lys 18 salt-bridge. To explore this possibility, we measured dihedral angles $\chi 1$ (defined by the atoms $\mathrm{N} \alpha, \mathrm{C} \alpha, \mathrm{C} \beta$, and $\mathrm{C} \gamma)$ and $\chi 2(\mathrm{C} \alpha, \mathrm{C} \beta, \mathrm{C} \gamma$, and $\mathrm{C} \delta)$ for each side-chain, and described each $\chi 1$ and $\chi 2$ in one of three possible ways: $\mathrm{g}+$ (positive gauche) for angles between $0^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$; g -(negative gauche) for angles between $0^{\circ}$ and $-120^{\circ}$; and t (trans) for angles between $120^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ or between $-120^{\circ}$ and $-180^{\circ}$ (the symmetry of the Phe, Tyr, F5Phe, p-fPhe, p-NO2Phe, and PyrA side chains make it impossible to distinguish between $\mathrm{g}+$ and g - for $\chi 2$; consequently, $\chi 2$ values between $0^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$ or between $0^{\circ}$ and $-120^{\circ}$ for these side-chains are simply described as g.) . We observed no particular trend in the side-chain conformations of the Glu10 or Lys18 from variant to variant. However, PyrA14 in EPyrAK adopted an unusual g-,t conformation, in contrast to the more conventional $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{g}$ conformation adopted by EWK, EYK, EFK, Ep-fFK, E3,4-f $\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{FK}$, and Ep- $\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{FK}$. PyrA14 had a much smaller impact on the Glu10-Lys18 salt bridge than did the other arenes investigated here, and it seems possible that this effect could be related to the unusual g -, t rotamer adopted by PyrA14.


Figure 2-6. EWK, EYK, EFK, Ep-fFK, E3,4-f2FK, Ep-NO2FK, and EPyraAK structures overlayed with the comparison of aromatic, Lys 18, and Glu 14 side chain rotamers.

Each of these helix-bundle trimers crystallized in a dense lattice with extensive interbundle contacts between surface residues (Figure 2-6). These contacts are relevant to the crystalline state, but not to solution, where each helix bundle trimer is surrounded by water and does not interact closely with other trimers. Fig. 2-7 shows some of these inter-bundle contacts involving Glu10, Lys18, and the i-position arenes. In most of these variants, Glu10 from one helix is intimately interdigitated with the i-position arene from another helix within an adjacent bundle. Moreover, the unusual rotamer adopted by PyrA14 in EPyrAK appears to facilitate an inter-bundle
salt bridge with Glu10 from another helix. These intimate crystal contacts suggest that we should be cautious in using these structural data from the crystalline state to draw conclusions about the behavior of the molecule in solution.


Figure 2-7. Peptide 1 (EWK) crystal packing lattice. Red X symbolized detectable water molecules in the electron density map. Lys10 is colored in green, Trp14 in black, and Glu10 in cyan.


Figure 2-8. Crystal packing interface for EWK, EYK, EFK, Ep-fFK, E3,4-f2FK, Ep-NO2FK, and EPyraAK.

### 2.2.4 NMR model system

We next used NMR spectroscopy to explore the solution behavior of the $i-4$-position Glu, an $i$-position Trp, and an $i+4$-position Lys within an $\alpha$-helix. Peptide pA-EWK (Figure 2-8) is derived from the parent $\alpha$-helical model peptide $\mathbf{p A}$ (sequence: Ac-OO-AAAAA-AAAAA-AAAAA-AAAA-OO-Y-NH2), which contains a 19-residue polyalanine segment (for high helix propensity) flanked by two ornithine residues on either end (to increase solubility in aqueous solution); a C-terminal tyrosine provides a spectroscopic handle for accurate concentration determination. ${ }^{32-33}$ Ala12 is centrally located within the 19-residue polyalanine segment; Ala8 and Ala16 occupy the $i-4$ and $i+4$ positions, respectively, relative to Ala12. We replaced Ala16 with Lys, Ala12 with Trp, and Ala8 with Glu to give peptide pA-EWK. We obtained two-dimensional TOCSY and ROESY spectra of a 5 mM solution of pA-EWK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7) and assigned the backbone and side-chain resonances for Glu8, Trp12, and Lys16 (see supporting information section 2.4 .9 for details). The ROESY spectrum of pA-EWK reveals several non-sequential NOEs between backbone and side-chain protons of Glu8 and Trp12 and between backbone and side-chain protons of Trp12 and Lys16 (Figure 2); normalized volumes of these NOE peaks are given in the supporting information. $\mathrm{H} \delta 1$ and $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ on the indole ring of Trp 12
are each involved in multiple NOEs with protons on both Glu8 and Lys16: Trp12Hס1 has NOEs with Glu8H $\beta$, Glu8H $\gamma$, Lys16H $\alpha, \operatorname{Lys} 16 H \gamma$, Lys16H $\delta$, and Lys16H $\varepsilon$, whereas Trp $12 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ has NOEs with Glu8H $\beta$, Glu8H $\gamma$, Lys16H $\gamma$, Lys16H $\delta$, and Lys16H $\varepsilon$, consistent with close contacts between Glu8, Trp12, and Lys16 in pA-EWK, centered on the right-hand side of the Trp12 indole ring as drawn in Figure 2-8. Moreover, chemical shifts of the Glu8 $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ protons and of the Lys16 $\mathrm{H} \gamma, \mathrm{H} \delta$, and $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ protons appear upfield $(\Delta \delta=-0.15$ to -0.30$)$ relative to corresponding protons in random coil reference compounds, ${ }^{34}$ suggesting that the Glu8 $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ protons and the Lys16 $\mathrm{H} \gamma, \mathrm{H} \delta$, and $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ protons are within the shielding region of the Trp 12 indole ring. These observations indicate that in pA-EWK the Glu8 and Lys16 side chains are both on opposing sides of and in close proximity to the $\operatorname{Trp} 12$ indole.

We further explored the structural impact of the interaction by preparing peptides pAAWK and pA-EWA (Figure 2-8), in which Ala replaces Glu8 or Lys16, respectively. As with pA-EWK, the ROESY spectrum for pA-AWK shows many NOEs between Trp12 and Lys16 backbone and side-chain protons. However, several important differences between the ROESY spectra of pA-EWK vs. pA-AWK highlight the impact of Glu8 vs. Ala8 on Trp-Lys contacts (Figure 2-8). For example, NOE peaks corresponding to Trp12H弓3/Lys16H $\alpha$, $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \zeta 3 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \delta$, and $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \eta 2 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \delta$ are present in the spectrum of $\mathbf{p A}-\mathbf{A W K}$ but not in that of pA-EWK. In contrast, NOE peaks corresponding to $\operatorname{Trp12H\varepsilon } 1 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \gamma$, $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \delta$, and $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ are present in the spectrum of $\mathbf{p A}-\mathbf{E W K}$ but not in that of pA-AWK. Moreover, normalized peak volumes for the Trp12He3/Lys16H8, Trp12H $\alpha /$ Lys 16 NH , and $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \delta 1 /$ Lys $16 \mathrm{H} \delta$ NOEs are larger for pA-EWK than for pA-AWK, whereas normalized peak volumes for the $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \delta 1 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ and $\operatorname{Tr} 12 \mathrm{H} \zeta 2 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ NOEs are smaller for pA-EWK than for pA-AWK. These changes suggest that replacing Ala8 with Glu8
allows Lys $16 \mathrm{H} \delta$ to move closer to $\mathrm{H} \delta 1, \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$, and $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ on the right-hand side of the Trp12 indole ring (as drawn in Figure 2-8), whereas Lys16NH moves closer to $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \alpha$, possibly due to localized overwinding of the $\alpha$-helical backbone between Trp12 and Lys 16 to allow for optimized interaction geometry between Glu8, $\operatorname{Trp12,~and~Lys16.~}$


Figure 2-9. Observed NOEs for monomeric $\alpha$-helical peptides pA-EWK, pA-AWK, and pA-EWA in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ). Lines tipped with asterisks indicate NOEs that are only present in the indicated peptide. Dotted lines indicate NOEs that are weaker in pA-EWK than in pA-EWA or pAAWK. Bold lines indicate NOEs that are stronger in pA-EWK than in pA-EWA or pA-AWK.

Similarly, differences between the ROESY spectra of pA-EWK vs. pA-EWA highlight impact of Lys16 vs. Ala16 on Glu8-Trp12 contacts. The Trp12H81/Glu8H $\alpha$ NOE is present in the spectrum of $\mathbf{p A}-\mathbf{E W A}$, but not that of $\mathbf{p A - E W K}$. Moreover, normalized peak volumes for the $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \delta 1 / \mathrm{Glu} 8 \mathrm{H} \beta$, $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \delta 1 / \mathrm{Glu} 8 \mathrm{H} \gamma, \operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1 / \mathrm{Glu} 8 \mathrm{H} \beta$, and $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1 / \mathrm{Glu} 8 \mathrm{H} \gamma$ NOEs are smaller in pA-EWK than in pA-AWK. These observations indicate that the Glu8 side-chain protons are close to $\mathrm{H} \delta 1$ and $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ of Trp12 (as is also the case for $\mathrm{H} \delta$ and $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ of Lys16, see above), but that replacing Ala16 with Lys16 increases the distance between the Glu8 side chain and the
right side of the $\operatorname{Trp12}$ indole ring (as drawn in Figure 2-8), possibly to compensate for the localized overwinding of the $\alpha$-helical backbone between Trp12 and Lys16.

### 2.2.5 Exploring the Long-Range Salt-bridge within a dimeric helix bundle.

We wondered whether the ability of an $i+4$ aromatic amino acid to enhance the stability of a long-range salt bridge between an i-position Glu and an $i+8$ position Lys might also apply in other $\alpha$-helices in addition to the homotrimeric model system described above. We decided to explore this possibility in the context of the homodimeric $\alpha$-helical coiled coil GCN4-p1. In the native GCN4- p1 sequence, Lys18 already occupies the i+8-position relative to Glu10, with Ser14 at $\mathrm{i}+4$; all three of these residues lie along the solvent-exposed surface of the coiled-coil homodimer, providing an ideal context for assessing the impact of a non-polar residue at position 14 on the long-range interaction between Glu10 and Lys18. However, we worried that Glu11 (adjacent to Glu10) might interfere with our ability to characterize the Glu10- Lys18 interaction. Consequently, in preparing peptide 22 (in which Glu, Phe, and Lys occupy positions 10, 14, and 18, respectively), we also mutated Glu11 to Ala. Circular dichroism (CD) experiments and sizeexclusion chromatography experiments indicate that these mutations do not substantially disrupt the homodimeric $\alpha$-helical coiled-coil quaternary structure of $\mathbf{2 0}$ relative to GCN4-p1 (see supporting info 2.4.4). We assessed the impact of Phe14 on the Glu10-Lys18 interaction by replacing Glu10 with Ala; Phe14 with Ser; and/or Lys 18 with Ala, in all possible combinations. Comparing the folding free energies of 22-ESK, 22-ASK, 22-ESA, and 22-ASA reveals that Glu10 and Lys18 do not interact favorably $(\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{Gf}=0.59 \pm 0.09 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ in the presence of Ser14. In contrast with our previous observations described in the main text, placing Phe at
position 14 in the GCN4 system does not substantially change the already unfavorable interaction between Glu10 and Lys $18(\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{Gf}=0.65 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$, suggesting that Phe14 does not enhance a long-range salt-bridge between Glu10 and Lys18 (Table 2-2).

Table 2-1 Enhancement of Glu:Lys long range salt bridge in the GCN4-p1 Dimeric helix.

| Peptide | Sequence | Salt-bridge with Ser14 <br> $\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Salt-bridge with Phe14 $\Delta \Delta \Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Influence of Phe14 $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | Ac- ••VEALEFKNYKL ••-CONH2 | $0.59 \pm 0.09$ | $0.65 \pm 0.07$ | $0.06 \pm 0.12$ |
| 23 | Ac-••VAELEFKNYKL ••-CONH2 | $1.20 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.38 \pm 0.07$ | $-1.58 \pm 0.10$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Data are given $\pm$ standard error at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ) at 333.15 K .

It is possible that subtle geometric differences between the homodimeric system and the homotrimeric system described above might move Glu10 far enough away from Phe14 and Lys18 as to prevent any Phe-based enhancement in of a long-range Glu10-Lys18 interaction in 22. Position 11 occupies the same solvent-exposed face of the helix as Glu10, Phe 14, and Lys 18 but should be closer to Phe14 and Lys18 than position 10. We wondered whether placing Glu at position 11 instead of position 10, might facilitate a favorable long-range ito i+7 salt bridge between Glu11 and Lys18 in the presence of Phe14. To test this hypothesis, we prepared peptide 23, in which Glu, Phe, and Lys occupy positions 11,14 , and 18, respectively, with Ala at position 10 instead of Glu (again, to avoid interference of Glu10 with any possible interaction among Glu11, Phe14, and Lys18). We also prepared variants of 21 in which we replaced Glu11 with Ala; Phe14 with Ser; and/or Lys 18 with Ala, in all possible combinations (names and sequences of these variants are shown in supporting information section 2.4.1; some of these combinations were already accounted for in peptides 22-AFK, 22-AFA, 22 ASK, and 22-ASA). Comparing the folding free energies of peptides 23-ESK, 23-ESA, 22-ASK, and 22-ASA
reveals that Glu11 and Lys18 do not interact favorably in the presence of $\operatorname{Ser} 14\left(\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.20 \pm\right.$ $0.07 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). However, in the presence of Phe14 (compare peptides 21-EFK, 21-EFA, 21AFK, and 21-AFA), the Glu11-Lys18 interaction is substantially favorable $(\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{Gf}=-0.38 \pm$ $0.07 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), a dramatic Phe-based shift of $-1.58 \pm 0.10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ per trimer (figure 2-9).

### 2.3 Conclusions

Salt bridges are critical to protein stability and to protein function. Through double and triple mutant cycle analysis we have shown that a long-range salt bridge can stabilize the conformation of a helix bundle if an aromatic residue is positioned between the ions. The enhanced salt bridge interaction, in the context of a helix, is strongly dependent on the precise location and orientation of the ionic side-chains and involves a significant hydrogen-bonding component. The three-way interaction is also independent of the electronic configuration of the aromatic side chain. Crystal structures of the interaction show that the trimer packs in a dense crystal lattice and solvent exposed positions of the trimer are likely to be involved in crystal contacts with other trimers and therefore the side chain conformations of positions 10,14 , and 18 must be interpreted with caution and might not correlate to solution thermodynamic data. In the crystal structures, it appears that the Lys 18 is packing closely to the arene at position 14 while the Glu 10 from one trimer is packing close to the arene14 of an adjacent trimer. Although, not relevant to solution behavior, it is tempting to speculate that this is potentially an enhanced salt bridge interaction between trimers in the crystal lattice. Solution NMR data for a monomeric pA-EWK helix shows several NOE's between a the Glu8 and $\operatorname{Trp} 12$, and between the Lys16 and $\operatorname{Trp} 12$, indicating that the ionic side chains are close to the Trp side chain although the exact geometry of the side chains is still unclear.

### 2.4 Supporting Information

### 2.4.1 Protein Synthesis, Purification, and Characterization

Peptides pA-EWK, pA-AWK, pA-EWA, and 1-27, and their sequence variants (sequences shown in Supplementary Table 1) were synthesized as C-terminal amides, by microwave-assisted solid-phase peptide synthesis Fmoc-protected amino acids were activated by 2-(1H-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) and Nhydroxybenzotriazole hydrate (HOBt), all purchased from Advanced ChemTech. NovaSyn TGR resin was purchased from EMD Biosciences. Peptides were synthesized on a $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ scale. A general protocol for manual solid-phase peptide synthesis follows: NovaSyn TGR resin (52.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 12.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ at $0.24 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ resin loading) was aliquotted into a fritted polypropylene syringe and allowed to swell first in CH 2 Cl 2 , and then in dimethylformamide (DMF). Solvent was drained from the resin using a vacuum manifold. To remove the Fmoc protecting group on the resin-linked amino acid, 0.625 mL of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF was added to the resin, and the resulting mixture was allowed to sit at room temperature for 1 minute. The deprotection solution was then drained from the resin with a vacuum manifold. An additional 1.25 mL of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF was then added to the resin, and the reaction vessel was placed in the microwave. The temperature was ramped from room temperature to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over the course of 2 minutes and held at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes. The deprotection solution was drained from the resin using a vacuum manifold, and the resin was rinsed five times with DMF. The Fmoc deprotection solution was changed after an aspartate residue was coupled to avoid aspartimide formation. The modified deprotection solution ( 0.625 mL of $5 \% \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v}$ piperizine +0.1 M HOBT in DMF) was added to the resin, the resulting mixture was allowed to sit at room temperature for 1 minute. The deprotection solution was drained from the resin with a vacuum manifold. An additional 0.625
mL of modified deprotection solution was then added to the resin, and the reaction vessel was placed in the microwave. The temperature was ramped from room temperature to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over the course of 2 minutes and held at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes.

For coupling of an activated amino acid, we prepared a stock coupling solution of 100 mL NMP, 3.17 g HBTU ( $0.01 \mathrm{~mol}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) and $1.53 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HOBt}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol}, 0.1 \mathrm{M})$ for a final concentration of 0.1 M HBTU and 0.1 M HOBt . The desired Fmoc-protected amino acid (125 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved by vortexing in 1.25 mL coupling solution ( $125 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{HBTU}$; $125 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5$ eq HOBt). To the dissolved amino acid solution was added $44 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DIEA ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $10 \mathrm{eq})$. The resulting mixture was vortexed briefly and allowed to react for at least 1 min . The activated amino acid solution was then added to the resin, and the reaction vessel was placed in the microwave. The temperature was ramped from room temperature to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 2 minutes and held at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 minutes. Following the coupling reaction, the activated amino acid solution was drained from the resin with a 2 vacuum manifold, and the resin was subsequently rinsed five times with DMF. The cycles of deprotection and coupling were alternately repeated to give the desired full-length protein.

Acid-labile side-chain protecting groups were globally removed and proteins were cleaved from the resin by stirring the resin for $\sim 4 \mathrm{~h}$ in a solution of phenol $(0.0625 \mathrm{~g})$, water ( 62.5 $\mu \mathrm{L})$, thioanisole ( $62.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), ethanedithiol ( $31 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and triisopropylsilane $(12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 1 mL ). Following the cleavage reaction, the TFA solution was drained from the resin, the resin was rinsed with additional TFA. Proteins were precipitated from the TFA solution by addition of diethyl ether ( $\sim 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Following centrifugation, the ether was decanted, and the pellet was dissolved in $\sim 40 \mathrm{~mL} 1: 1 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}$, frozen and lyophilized to remove volatile impurities. The resulting powder was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until purification.

Immediately prior to purification, the crude protein was dissolved in 1:1 $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}$.
Proteins were purified by preparative reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a C18 column using a linear gradient of water in acetonitrile with $0.1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ TFA.

Fractions containing the desired protein product were pooled, frozen, and lyophilized. Proteins were identified by electrospray ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (ESI-TOF); expected and observed exact masses mass spectra appear in Table 1. Protein purity was assessed by Analytical HPLC.

Table 2-2. Sequences for peptides 1-27, pA-EWK, pA-AWK, pA-EWA and their sequence derivatives.

| Peptide | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 C W}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH |


| 9 | Ac-EVEALEKKVDALEWKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH |
| :--- | :---: |

11 Ac-EVEALEKKVAELEWKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH 2 11-EWA Ac-EVEALEKKVAELEWKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ 11-ESK Ac-EVEALEKKVAELESKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ 11-ESA $\quad$ Ac-EVEALEKKVAELESKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$
12 Ac-EVEALEKKVKALEWKVQELEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ 12-KWA Ac-EVEALEKKVKALEWKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ 12-AWE Ac-EVEALEKKVAALEWKVQELEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ 12-KSE Ac-EVEALEKKVKALESKVQELEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$

| $\mathbf{1 2 - K S A}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVKALESKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH |
| :--- | :---: |


| 22-ASA | Ac-RMKQLEDRVAALESKNYALENEVARLKKLVGER-CONH |
| :--- | :--- |

### 2.4.2 ESI-TOF data



Figure 2-10. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 1


Figure 2-11. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide $\mathbf{2}$


Figure 2-12. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 3


Figure 2-13. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 4.


Figure 2-14. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 5.


Figure 2-15. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 6.


Figure 2-16. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 7.


Figure 2-17. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 8.


Figure 2-18. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 9 .


Figure 2-19. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 9-DWA


Figure 2-20. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 9-DSK.


Figure 2-21. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 9-DSA.


Figure 2-22. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 10.


Figure 2-23. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 10-AWO.


Figure 2-24. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 10-ESO.


Figure 2-25. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 10-ASO.


Figure 2-26. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 11.


Figure 2-27. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 11-EWA.


Figure 2-28. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 11-ESK.


Figure 2-29. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 11-ESA.


Figure 2-30. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 12.


Figure 2-31. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 12-KWA.
$\times 10{ }^{1}$
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Figure 2-32. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 12-AWE.


Figure 2-33. ESI TOF spectrum for 12-KSE.


Figure 2-34. ESI TOF spectrum for 12-KSA.


Figure 2-35. ESI TOF spectrum for 12-ASE.


Figure 2-36. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 13.


Figure 2-37. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide $\mathbf{1 3 - A W} \mathbf{m e}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{K}$.


Figure 2-38. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 13-EW me $\mathbf{A}$.


Figure 2-39. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 13-AW $\mathbf{m e} \mathbf{A}$.


Figure 2-40. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 14.


Figure 2-41. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 14-AYK.


Figure 2-42. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 14-EYA.


Figure 2-43. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 14-AYA.


Figure 2-44. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 15.


Figure 2-45. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide $\mathbf{1 5 - A Y}_{\mathrm{me}} \mathrm{K}$.


Figure 2-46. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide $\mathbf{1 5 - E Y}_{\text {me }} \mathbf{A}$.


Figure 2-47. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 15-AY $\mathbf{m e}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{A}$.


Figure 2-48. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 16.


Figure 2-49. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 16-AFK.


Figure 2-50. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 16-EFA.


Figure 2-51. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 16-AFA.


Figure 2-52. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 17.


Figure 2-53. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 17-A(f $\mathbf{f}_{5} \mathbf{P h e ) K . ~}$


Figure 2-54. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 17-E(f $\mathbf{f}_{5} \mathbf{P h e ) A .}$


Figure 2-55. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 17-A(f $\left.\mathbf{f}_{5} \mathbf{P h e}\right) \mathbf{A}$.


Figure 2-56. ESI TOF spectrum for 18-A(p-fF)A.


Figure 2-57. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{1 8 - A} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{p - f F}) K$.


Figure 2-58. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{1 8 - E}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{f F}) \mathbf{A}$.


Figure 2-59. ESI TOF spectrum for 18.


Figure 2-60. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{1 9 - A}\left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{A}$.




Figure 2-62. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{1 9 - E}\left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{A}$.


Figure 2-63. ESI TOF spectrum for 19.


Figure 2-64. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{- A}\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathrm{A}$.


Figure 2-65. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{2 0 - A}\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathrm{K}$.


Figure 2-66. ESI TOF spectrum for 20-E(p-NO2 $\mathbf{2}$ )A.


Figure 2-67. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{2 0}$


Figure 2-68. ESI TOF spectrum for 21-A(PyrA)A.


Figure 2-69. ESI TOF spectrum for 21-A(PyrA)K.


Figure 2-70. ESI TOF spectrum for 21-E(PyrA)A.


Figure 2-71. ESI TOF spectrum for 21.


Figure 2-72. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 22.


Figure 2-73. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 22-AFK.


Figure 2-74. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 22-EFA.


Figure 2-75. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 22-AFA.


Figure 2-76. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 22-ESK.


Figure 2-77. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 22-ASK.


Figure 2-78. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 22-ESA.


Figure 2-79. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 22-ASA.


Figure 2-80. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 23


Figure 2-81. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 23-EFA.


Figure 2-82. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 23-ESK.


Figure 2-83. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 23-ESA.


Figure 2-84. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 24.


Figure 2-85. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 24-AFR.


Figure 2-86. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 24-ESR.


Figure 2-87. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 24-ASR.


Figure 2-88. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{2 5}$.


Figure 2-89. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 25-AAK.


Figure 2-90. ESI TOF spectrum for 25-EAA.


Figure 2-91. ESI TOF spectrum for 25-AAA.


Figure 2-92. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 26.


Figure 2-93. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 27.


Figure 2-94. ESI TOF spectrum for pA-EWK.


Figure 2-95. ESI TOF spectrum for pA-EWA.


Figure 2-96. ESI TOF spectrum for pA-AWK.

### 2.4.3 Analytical HPLC data



Figure 2-97. Analytical HPLC Data for 1. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-98. Analytical HPLC Data for 2. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-99. Analytical HPLC Data for 3. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-100. Analytical HPLC Data for 4. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-101. Analytical HPLC Data for 5. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-102. Analytical HPLC Data for 6. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-103. Analytical HPLC Data for 7. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50
minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-104. Analytical HPLC Data for peptide 8. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-105. Analytical HPLC Data for 9 . Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-106. Analytical HPLC Data for 9-DWA. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over

50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-107. Analytical HPLC Data for 9-DSK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-108. Analytical HPLC Data for 9-DSA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-109. Analytical HPLC Data for 10. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50
minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-110. Analytical HPLC Data for 10-AWO. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-111. Analytical HPLC Data for 10-ESO. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA; $B=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-112. Analytical HPLC Data for 10-ASO. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA; $B=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%$ TFA $)$ over

50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-113. Analytical HPLC Data for 11. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-114. Analytical HPLC Data for 11-EWA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-115. Analytical HPLC Data for 11-ESK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-116. Analytical HPLC Data for 11-ESA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-117. Analytical HPLC Data for 12. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$. (this run was ended early)


Figure 2-118. Analytical HPLC Data for 12-KWA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-119. Analytical HPLC Data for 12-AWE. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-120. Analytical HPLC Data for 12-KSE. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-121. Analytical HPLC Data for 12-KSA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-122. Analytical HPLC Data for 12-ASE. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-123. Analytical HPLC Data for 13. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-124. Analytical HPLC Data for 13-AW $\mathbf{m e}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

 column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-126. Analytical HPLC Data for 13-AW $\mathbf{m e}^{\mathbf{A}}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-127. Analytical HPLC Data for 14. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-128. Analytical HPLC Data for 14-AYK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-129. Analytical HPLC Data for 14-EYA. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-130. Analytical HPLC Data for 14-AYA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-131. Analytical HPLC Data for 15. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $20-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 40 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-132. Analytical HPLC Data for $\mathbf{1 5 - A Y} \mathbf{m e K}^{\mathbf{K}}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $20-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 40 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-133. Analytical HPLC Data for $\mathbf{1 5 - E Y}_{\mathbf{m e}} \mathbf{A}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $20-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 40 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-134. Analytical HPLC Data for $\mathbf{1 5 - A Y} \mathbf{m e}^{\mathbf{A}}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $20-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 40 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-135. Analytical HPLC Data for 16. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-136. Analytical HPLC Data for 16-AFK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-137. Analytical HPLC Data for 16-EFA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-138. Analytical HPLC Data for 16-AFA. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-139. Analytical HPLC Data for 17. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-140. Analytical HPLC Data for 17-A( $\left.\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{K}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-141. Analytical HPLC Data for 17-E(fif)A. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-142. Analytical HPLC Data for 17-A(fif)A. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-143. Analytical HPLC Data for 18. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 2-144. Analytical HPLC Data for 18-A(p-fF)K. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 2-145. Analytical HPLC Data for 18-E(p-fF)A. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} O, 0.1 \% T F A ; B=M e C N, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 2-146. Analytical HPLC Data for 18-A(p-fF)A. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 2-147. Analytical HPLC Data for 19. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-148. Analytical HPLC Data for $\mathbf{1 9 - A}\left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathrm{K}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 2-149. Analytical HPLC Data for 19-E(3,4-f $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{F}$ )K. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 2-150. Analytical HPLC Data for 19-A(3,4-f $\left.\mathbf{f}_{2} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{A}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 2-151. Analytical HPLC Data for 20. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-152. Analytical HPLC Data for 20-A(p-NO $\left.\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{F}\right) K$. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA; $B=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 2-153. Analytical HPLC Data for 20-E(p-NO $\left.\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{F}\right) A$. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 2-154. Analytical HPLC Data for 20-A(p-NO $\left.\mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{A}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-155. Analytical HPLC Data for 21. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-156. Analytical HPLC Data for 21-A(PyrA)K. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-157. Analytical HPLC Data for 21-E(PyrA)A. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-158. Analytical HPLC Data for 21-A(PyrA)A. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-159. Analytical HPLC Data for 22. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA; $B=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-160. Analytical HPLC Data for 22-AFK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-161. Analytical HPLC Data for 22-EFA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over

50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-162. Analytical HPLC Data for 22-AFA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-163. Analytical HPLC Data for 22-ESK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-164. Analytical HPLC Data for 22-ASK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-165. Analytical HPLC Data for 22-ESA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-166. Analytical HPLC Data for 22-ASA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-167. Analytical HPLC Data for 23. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 2-168. Analytical HPLC Data for 23-EFA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-169. Analytical HPLC Data for 23-ESK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over

50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-170. Analytical HPLC Data for 23-ESA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-171. Analytical HPLC Data for 24. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-172. Analytical HPLC Data for 24-AFR. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over

50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-173. Analytical HPLC Data for 24-ESR. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-174. Analytical HPLC Data for 24-ASR. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-175. Analytical HPLC Data for 25. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-176. Analytical HPLC Data for 25-AAK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-177. Analytical HPLC Data for 25-EAA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-178. Analytical HPLC Data for 25-AAA. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-179. Analytical HPLC Data for 26. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-180. Analytical HPLC Data for 27. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-181. Analytical HPLC Data for pA-EWK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-182. Analytical HPLC Data for pA-EWA. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 2-183. Analytical HPLC Data for pA-AWK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

### 2.4.4 Size exclusion chromatography

Previously characterized peptide 1 CW adopts a homotrimeric self-association state in solution, whereas GCN4 adopts a homodimeric self-association state in solution. The large number of peptides explored here (78 peptides including 1-27 and their sequence variants shown
in Supplementary Table 1) precluded the use of time and resource-intensive sedimentation equilibrium experiments to characterize their self-association properties. Consequently, we used the higher throughput size exclusion chromatography to characterize the self-association properties of 1-27 (and their sequence variants) by comparing their retention times on a sizeexclusion column to the retention times of homotrimeric 1 CW , homodimeric GCN4 and monomeric $\alpha$-helical PSBD36. ${ }^{9,35-36}$

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was done on a Shimadzu HPLC instrument using a Phenomenex yarra 3 u sec- 3000 column (batches 1 and 2) or a Zenix-C SEC 100 column (batches 3, 4, and 5). The columns were calibrated with internal $1 \mathrm{CW}, \mathrm{GCN} 4$, and PSBD36 standards. Previous characterization of 1CW, GCN4, and PSBD36 by sedimentation equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation under analogous buffer conditions demonstrates that 1 CW adopts a trimeric association state; that GCN4 adopts a dimeric state; and that PSBD36 is an $\alpha$-helical monomer. The retention times derived from SEC experiments on peptides 1-19 and 23-26 are very close to that of trimeric 1 CW , suggesting that these variants likewise adopt a trimeric association state. Similarly, the retention times derived from SEC experiments on peptides 20-22 are close to that of dimeric GCN4, suggesting that these variants likewise adopt a dimeric association state. Moreover, published peptide coil-VaLd (which has the same sequence as peptide 5, except that 5 has four additional C-terminal residues) crystallizes as a trimer, ${ }^{19}$ as do other coiled-coil peptides in which beta-branched non-polar residues (i.e. Ile and/or Val) occupy a-positions and Leu occupies d-positions in the canonical heptad repeat. ${ }^{37}$ Therefore, we are reasonably confident that 1-19 and 23-24 adopt trimeric association states and that 20-22 adopt dimeric association states.

Table 2-4 Size exclusion chromatography of variants 1-27 and sequence variants. Batches 1-2 were done on a Phenomenex yarra 3 u sec- 3000 column. Batches 3-5 were done on a Zenix-C SEC 100 column.

| Batch 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peptide | Trimer MW (Da) | Retention Time | Inferred association state |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1CW (trimer } \\ & \text { standard) } \end{aligned}$ | 11017 | 10.50 |  |
| 8 | 11016 | 10.50 | Trimer |
| 16 | 11541 | 10.54 | Trimer |
| 16-EFA | 11367 | 10.38 | Trimer |
| 16-AFK | 11370 | 10.51 | Trimer |
| 5 | 11361 | 10.43 | Trimer |
| 6 | 11190 | 10.51 | Trimer |
| 7 | 11187 | 10.35 | Trimer |
| 16-AFA | 11196 | 10.47 | Trimer |
| 14-AYA | 11244 | 10.45 | Trimer |
| 14-EYA | 11416 | 10.35 | Trimer |
| 14-AYK | 11419 | 10.50 | Trimer |
| 14-EYA | 11590 | 10.43 | Trimer |
| 4 | 11313 | 10.50 | Trimer |
| 3 | 11484 | 10.37 | Trimer |
| 2 | 11488 | 10.60 | Trimer |
| 1 | 11659 | 10.55 | Trimer |
| 22-AFR | 11452 | 10.56 | Trimer |
| 22 | 11623 | 10.43 | Trimer |
| 22-ASR | 11271 | 10.52 | Trimer |
| 22-ESR | 11442 | 10.41 | Trimer |
| 11-EWA | 11367 | 10.43 | Trimer |


| 11 | 11541 | 10.56 | Trimer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11-ESA | 11187 | 10.41 | Trimer |
| 11-ESK | 11361 | 10.52 | Trimer |
| 12-KSA | 11190 | 10.60 | Trimer |
| 12-ASE | 11187 | 10.38 | Trimer |
| 12-KSE | 11361 | 10.47 | Trimer |
| 12-KWA | 11488 | 10.76 | Trimer |
| 12-AWE | 11484 | 10.44 | Trimer |
| 12 | 11659 | 10.51 | Trimer |
| Batch 2 |  |  |  |
| 1CW | 11017 | 10.19 | Trimer |
| 9-DSA | 11325 | 10.08 | Trimer |
| 9-DSK | 11496 | 10.26 | Trimer |
| 9-DWA | 11622 | 10.21 | Trimer |
| 9 | 11793 | 10.34 | Trimer |
| 10-ASO | 11322 | 10.33 | Trimer |
| 10-AWO | 11619 | 10.49 | Trimer |
| 10-ESO | 11496 | 10.21 | Trimer |
| 10 | 11793 | 10.29 | Trimer |
| 17-A(f5F)A | 11652 | 10.20 | Trimer |
| 17-A(f5F)K | 11823 | 10.28 | Trimer |
| 17-E(f5F)A | 11826 | 10.08 | Trimer |
| 17 | 11997 | 10.22 | Trimer |
| Batch 3 |  |  |  |
| 1CW(monomer standard) | 11199 | 8.83 | Trimer |
| GCN4(dimer standard) | 8131 | 9.43 | Dimer |


| PSBD36(monomer standard) | 4001 | 10.51 | Monomer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-AY meA | 11422 | 8.97 | Trimer |
| 15-EY meA | 11593 | 8.83 | Trimer |
| 15-AY ${ }_{\text {me }}$ K | 11596 | 8.99 | Trimer |
| 15 | 11767 | 8.88 | Trimer |
| 13-AW meA | 11491 | 9.05 | Trimer |
| 13-EW me ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 11662 | 8.95 | Trimer |
| $\mathbf{1 3 - A W}_{\text {me }} \mathrm{K}$ | 11665 | 9.10 | Trimer |
| 13 | 11836 | 8.95 | Trimer |
| 25-AAA | 11104 | 8.86 | Trimer |
| 25-EAA | 11275 | 8.73 | Trimer |
| 25-AAK | 11278 | 8.86 | Trimer |
| 25 | 11449 | 8.83 | Trimer |
| 22-ESA | 7909 | 9.13 | Dimer |
| 22-ASA | 7794 | 9.52 | Dimer |
| 22-ASK | 7911 | 9.50 | Dimer |
| 22-AFA | 7915 | 10.30 | Dimer/Monomer |
| 22-AFK | 8031 | 10.23 | Dimer/Monomer |
| 22-ESK | 8025 | 9.15 | Dimer |
| 22-EFA | 8029 | 9.39 | Dimer |
| 22 | 8145 | 9.46 | Dimer |
| 23-ESA | 7909 | 9.44 | Dimer |
| 23-ESK | 8025 | 9.39 | Dimer |
| 23-EFA | 8029 | 9.81 | Dimer |
| 23-EFK | 8145 | 9.73 | Dimer |

Batch 4

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1CW(trimer } \\ & \text { standard) } \end{aligned}$ | 11199 | 9.01 | Trimer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 11275 | 8.93 | Trimer |
| 27 | 11449 | 9.09 | Trimer |
| Batch 5 |  |  |  |
| Trimer standard | 11151 | 9.15 | Trimer |
| GCN4 (Dimer Standard) | 8131 | 10.31 | Dimer |
| 18-A(p-fF)A | 11385 | 9.33 | Trimer |
| 18-E(p-fF)A | 11556 | 9.16 | Trimer |
| 18-A(p-fF)K | 11560 | 9.36 | Trimer |
| 18 | 11731 | 9.18 | Trimer |
| 19-A(3,4-f $\left.\mathbf{f}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right) \mathrm{A}$ | 11439 | 9.27 | Trimer |
| 19-E (3,4-f $\left.\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right) \mathrm{A}$ | 11610 | 9.15 | Trimer |
| 19-A(3,4-f $\left.\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right) \mathrm{K}$ | 11614 | 9.28 | Trimer |
| 19 | 11785 | 9.16 | Trimer |
| 21-A(PyrA)A | 11340 | 9.28 | Trimer |
| 21-E(PyrA)A | 11511 | 9.16 | Trimer |
| 21-A(PyrA)K | 11515 | 9.25 | Trimer |
| 21 | 11686 | 9.19 | Trimer |
| 20 | 11809 | 9.22 | Trimer |
| 20-A(p-NO2F)K | 11638 | 9.34 | Trimer |
| 20-A(p-NO2F)A | 11463 | 9.28 | Trimer |
| 20-E(p-NO2F)A | 11634 | 9.11 | Trimer |

### 2.4.5 Folded Free energy measurement, Circular Dichroism spectropolarimetry

Measurements were made with an Aviv 420 Circular Dichroism Spectropolarimeter, using quartz cuvettes with a path length of 0.1 cm . Protein solutions were prepared in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7 , and protein concentrations were determined spectroscopically based on tyrosine and tryptophan absorbance at 280 nm in 6 M guanidine hydrochloride +20 mM sodium phosphate $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{Trp}}=5690 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Tyr}}=1280 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{38}$ Concentrations for variants containing pnitrophenylalanine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right)$ were confirmed by analytical HPLC traces monitered at 220 nm and integrated and compared to standard 33 amino acid coiled coils $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{NO} 2 \mathrm{Phe}}=4439 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) . \quad \mathrm{CD}$ spectra of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions were obtained from 260 to 200 nm at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Variable temperature CD data were obtained at least in triplicate by monitoring the molar ellipticity at 222 nm of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions each protein variant $(30 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate $(\mathrm{pH} 7)$ from 1 to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ intervals, with 120 s equilibration time between data points and 30 s averaging time.

Triplicate variable temperature CD data for 1-20, and 24-27 and their individual variants were fit globally to a two-state model for thermally-induced unfolding of helix-bundle trimers as shown in equations S1—S9 (see below for sedimentation equilibrium evidence that these 1CW variants are, in fact, trimers).

In this two state model, 3 peptide monomers $\mathbf{M}$ are in equilibrium with the helix-bundle trimer $\mathbf{T}$, where the position of equilibrium is determined by folding equilibrium constant $\mathbf{K}$ :


In turn, $\mathbf{K}$ is defined by the equation S 2 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{T}]}{[\mathrm{M}]^{3}} \tag{S2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\mathbf{T}]$ and $[\mathbf{M}]$ are the concentrations of helix-bundle trimer and peptide monomer, respectively. The total concentration of peptide in solution $\mathbf{P}$ is defined by the equation S3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{M}]+3[\mathrm{~T}] \tag{S3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining equations S2 and S3, we can obtain an expression for $\mathbf{P}$ that depends only on [ $\mathbf{M}$ ] and on $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f}}$, as shown in equation S 4 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{M}]+3 \mathrm{~K}[\mathrm{M}]^{3} \tag{S4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation $S 4, \mathbf{P}$ is a constant, $\mathbf{K}$ is a temperature-dependent function (that is constant at a given temperature), and $[\mathbf{M}]$ is unknown. Rearranging equation S 4 results in the following polynomial equation that is cubic in $[\mathbf{M}]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=[M]^{3}+\frac{[M]}{3 K}-\frac{\mathrm{P}}{3 \mathrm{~K}} \tag{S5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using MATLAB, we found the three roots of this polynomial, two of which are complex, whereas the third is real. The real root of equation (S5) provides an expression for $[\mathbf{M}]$ that depends only on $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{K f}_{f}$, as shown in equation (S6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{M}]=\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}}{6 \mathrm{~K}}+\left(\frac{1}{729 \mathrm{~K}^{3}}+\frac{\mathrm{P}^{2}}{36 \mathrm{~K}^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}-\frac{1}{9 \mathrm{~K}\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}}{6 \mathrm{~K}}+\left(\frac{1}{729 \mathrm{~K}^{3}}+\frac{\mathrm{P}^{2}}{36 \mathrm{~K}^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} \tag{S6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As described above, $\mathbf{K}$ is a temperature-dependent function that is constant at a given temperature. $\mathbf{K}$ is related to the temperature-dependent folding free energy $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{f}}$ according to equation S7:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\exp \left(\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{RT}}\right) \tag{S7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where R is the universal gas constant $(0.0019872 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$. In turn, the temperature dependence of $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{f}}$ can be defined by the following first order polynomial:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}+\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \tag{S8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where T is temperature in Kelvin; $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}}$ are parameters to be determined via least-squares regression; and $T_{0}$ is an arbitrary reference temperature, ideally chosen to be near the midpoint of the unfolding transition. By combining equations S6-S8, we now have an expression for $[\mathbf{M}]$ as a function of temperature that depends only on $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}}, \Delta \mathbf{G} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{P}$ and reference temperature $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{0}}$.

We can use this expression for $[\mathbf{M}]$ to fit the variable temperature CD data $[\theta]$ to equation S9, using the actual protein concentration in solution for $\mathbf{P}$; using a fixed arbitrary value for $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ (343.15 K); and then varying $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}}$ as parameters of the fit so as to minimize the sum of the squared residuals.

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\theta]=\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\left(1-\frac{3 \mathrm{~K}[\mathrm{M}]^{3}}{\mathrm{P}}\right)+\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{~T}\right)\left(\frac{3 \mathrm{~K}[\mathrm{M}]^{3}}{\mathrm{P}}\right) \tag{S9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation $\mathrm{S} 9, \mathrm{~T}$ is the temperature in Kelvin; $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ defines a horizontal post-transition baseline; and $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ are the intercept and slope of the pre-transition baseline, respectively. We fit the variable trimer variable temperature CD data using distinct $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}}$ values for each peptide; distinct $u_{o}$ and $f_{o}$ values for each replicate data set of each peptide and a global value for $f_{1}$ across all replicate data sets and peptides.

Triplicate variable temperature CD data for each 22-23 and their individual variants were fit globally to a two-state model for thermally-induced unfolding of helix-bundle dimers as shown
in equations S10—S19 (see below for sedimentation equilibrium evidence that these GCN4-p1 variants are dimers).

In this two state model, 2 peptide monomers $\mathbf{M}$ are in equilibrium with the helix-bundle dimer $\mathbf{D}$, where the position of equilibrium is determined by folding equilibrium constant $\mathbf{K}$ :

$$
2 \mathrm{M} \stackrel{\mathrm{~K}}{\leftrightarrows} \mathrm{D}
$$

In turn, $\mathbf{K}$ is defined by the equation S 11 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{D}]}{[\mathrm{M}]^{2}} \tag{S11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\mathbf{T}]$ and $[\mathbf{M}]$ are the concentrations of helix-bundle trimer and peptide monomer, respectively. The total concentration of peptide in solution $\mathbf{P}$ is defined by the equation S3:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{M}]+2[\mathrm{D}] \tag{S12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining equations S12 and S11, we can obtain an expression for $\mathbf{P}$ that depends only on [ $\mathbf{M}$ ] and on $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{f}}$, as shown in equation S 4 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{M}]+2 \mathrm{~K}[\mathrm{M}]^{2} \tag{S13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation $\mathbf{S 1 3 ,} \mathbf{P}$ is a constant, $\mathbf{K}$ is a temperature-dependent function (that is constant at a given temperature), and $[\mathbf{M}]$ is unknown. Rearranging equation S13 results in the following quadratic:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=[\mathrm{M}]^{2}+[\mathrm{M}]-\mathrm{P} \tag{S14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution the the quadratic (S14) provides an expression for $[\mathbf{M}]$ that depends only on $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{K}$, as shown in equation (S15):

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{M}]=\frac{\sqrt{1+8 \mathrm{KP}}+1}{4 K} \tag{S15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As described above, $\mathbf{K}$ is a temperature-dependent function that is constant at a given temperature. In turn, the temperature dependence of $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{f}}$ for the dimer can be defined by the following second order polynomial:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{o}}+\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)+\Delta \mathrm{G}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2} \tag{S17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where T is temperature in Kelvin; $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}}, \Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are parameters to be determined via leastsquares regression; and $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ is an arbitrary reference temperature, also chosen near the midpoint of the unfolding transition. By combining equations, we now have an expression for $[\mathbf{M}]$ as a function of temperature that depends only on $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}}, \Delta \mathbf{G} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{P}$ and reference temperature $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{0}}$.

We can use this expression for $[\mathbf{M}]$ to fit the variable temperature CD data $[\theta]$ to equation S9, using the actual protein concentration in solution for $\mathbf{P}$; using a fixed arbitrary value for $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ (343.15 K); and then varying $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}}$ as parameters of the fit so as to minimize the sum of the squared residuals.

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\theta]=\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)(1-\mathrm{Ffit})+\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{~T}\right)(\text { Ffit }) \tag{S18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In equation $\mathrm{S} 18, \mathrm{~T}$ is the temperature in Kelvin; $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{o}}$ defines a horizontal post-transition baseline; and $f_{o}$ and $f_{1}$ are the intercept and slope of the pre-transition baseline, respectively. Ffit is the fraction folded as defined by equation (S19) of the protein at temperature T .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Ffit }=1+\left(\frac{1}{4 K P}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{2 K P}+\frac{1}{16 K^{2} P^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{S19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We fit the dimer variable temperature CD data using distinct $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{0}}, \Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$ values for each peptide; distinct $u_{0}$ and $f_{o}$ values for each replicate data set of each peptide and a global value for $f_{1}$ across all replicate data sets and peptides.

CD fit data for compounds 22-23 and their control variants are shown below.


Figure 2-184. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G} / \mathrm{kcal}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $-16.2 \pm 0.05$ | $0.20 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9991 | 0.3976 |

 0.9991

Figure 2-185. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , $\mathbf{1 M}$ urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 16.8さ0.0 | $0.20 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9 | 0.1351 |

Figure 2-186. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{2}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$T_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{/}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta G_{1} /$ kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | -15.7さ0.04 | $0.18 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9993 | 0.2509 |

Figure 2-187. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{2}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$


| $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9995 | 0.1447 |

Figure 2-188. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{3}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{/}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta G_{1} /$ kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | -14.0さ0.03 | $0.17 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9992 | 0.1631 |

Figure 2-189. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{3}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-190. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 4 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{d}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $-15.8 \pm 0.05$ | $0.19 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9989 | 0.2123 |

Figure 2-191. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 4 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$


| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta G_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $-14.1 \pm 0.02$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1436 |

Figure 2-192. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 5 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $-13.4 \pm 0.02$ | $0.16 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9995 | 0.2858 |

Figure 2-193. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{5}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{d}} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 5 | $-14.6 \pm 0.02$ | $0.17 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1410 |

Figure 2-194. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 5 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathbf{0 . 2 5 M} \mathbf{~ N a C l}$. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $-15.9 \pm 0.03$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1587 |

Figure 2-195. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 6 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{d}} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $-14.7 \pm 0.02$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1768 |

Figure 2-196. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{6}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{kol}}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal mol $^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $-16.1 \pm 0.03$ | $0.19 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1438 |

Figure 2-197. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{6}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathbf{0 . 2 5 M} \mathbf{N a C l}$. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\text {/ }} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | -15.5 $\pm 0.02$ | $0.19 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9997 | 0.2009 |

Figure 2-198. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 7 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta$ G/ $/ \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | -14.2 $\pm 0.02$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.2348 |

Figure 2-199. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 7 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{d}} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $-15.8 \pm 0.04$ | $0.19 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9994 | 0.1698 |

Figure 2-200. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 7 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathbf{0 . 2 5 M} \mathbf{N a C l}$. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{0} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $-16.4 \pm 0.04$ | $0.19 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9994 | 0.1392 |

Figure 2-201. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein $\mathbf{8}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $-15.3 \pm 0.04$ | $0.20 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9989 | 0.2029 |

Figure 2-202. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{8}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta G_{\text {d }} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal mol ${ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | -17.1 $\pm 0.05$ | $0.20 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9993 | 0.1430 |

Figure 2-203. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{8}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathbf{0 . 2 5 M} \mathbf{N a C l}$. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $-12.2 \pm 0.03$ | $0.20 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9992 | 0.2675 |

Figure 2-204. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 9 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M Urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-205. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 9-DWA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1 M Urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$


Figure 2-206. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 9-DSK in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M Urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9-DSA | $-12.0 \pm 0.02$ | $0.19 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1343 |

Figure 2-207. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 9-DSA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M Urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathrm{kcal}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $-13.6 \pm 0.01$ | $0.17 \pm 0.002$ | 0.9998 | 0.1146 |

Figure 2-208. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 0}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M Urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10- AWO | $-15.1 \pm 0.03$ | $0.16 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1771 |

Figure 2-209. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 10-AWO in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M Urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10-\mathrm{ESO}$ | $-13.0 \pm 0.01$ | $0.16 \pm 0.001$ | 0.9999 | 0.1294 |

Figure 2-210. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 0 - E S O}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1 M Urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\text {/ }} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-ASO | -13.9 $\pm 0.01$ | $0.16 \pm 0.002$ | 0.9998 | 0.1239 |

Figure 2-211. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 0 - A S O}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M Urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | $-16.0 \pm 0.06$ | $0.18 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9984 | 0.2666 |

Figure 2-212. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 1}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})
$$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{o}} /{\text { kcal } \mathrm{mol}^{-1}} \quad \Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11-EWA | $-16.3 \pm 0.07$ | $0.21 \pm 0.007$ | 0.9976 | 0.3397 |

Figure 2-213. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 11-EWA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{0} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathbf{k c a l ~}_{\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 11-ESK | $-15.3 \pm 0.04$ | $0.19 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9990 | 0.2122 |

Figure 2-214. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 11-ESK in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} /$ kcal $^{2}$ mol $^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 11-ESA | $-16.0 \pm 0.05$ | $0.21 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9990 | 0.2122 |



Figure 2-215. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 11-ESA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Protein
12

| $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal mol $^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0.21 \pm 0.006$ | 0.9983 |

rmsd error 0.3091

Figure 2-216. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 2}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M Urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-KWA | -16.5さ0.05 | $0.21 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9991 | 0.2788 |

Figure 2-217. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 12-KWA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M Urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-AWE | $-17.1 \pm 0.06$ | $0.23 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9991 | 0.3306 |

Figure 2-218. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 12-AWE in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2$ (K)


| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-KSE | -16.2+0.04 | $0.20 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9992 | 0.2882 |

Figure 2-219. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 12-KSE in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})
$$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{\mathbf{~ m o l}}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $12-\mathrm{KSA}$ | $-15.3 \pm 0.04$ | $0.19 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9992 | 0.1773 |

Figure 2-220. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 2 - K S A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7,1 \mathrm{M}$ urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12-ASE | $-16.4 \pm 0.07$ | $0.21 \pm 0.006$ | 0.9984 | 0.4455 |

Figure 2-221. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 12-ASE in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$
Protein
13
$\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$0.17 \pm 0.005$


[^0]

Figure 2-223. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 3 - A W _ { \mathbf { m e } } K}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7,1 \mathrm{M}$ urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$$
T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})
$$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} /$ kcal $^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $13-\mathrm{EW}_{\mathrm{me}} \mathrm{A}$ | $-14.1 \pm 0.04$ | $0.19 \pm 0.007$ | 0.9982 | 0.2930 |

Figure 2-224. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 3 - E} \mathbf{W m}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7,1 \mathrm{M}$ urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}} /$ kcal mol $\mathbf{l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal mol $^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $13-\mathrm{AW}_{\mathrm{me}} \mathrm{A}$ | $-16.3 \pm 0.06$ | $0.25 \pm 0.006$ | 0.9989 | 0.2523 |


$0.25 \pm 0.006$
0.2523

Figure 2-225. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 3 - A} \mathbf{W m}_{\text {me }} \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Protein
14
$\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{o}} /$ kcal mol $^{-1}$
$-15.0 \pm 0.02$
$\begin{array}{lc}\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \text { kcal } \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1} & \mathbf{R}^{2} \\ 0.18 \pm 0.002 & 0.9997\end{array}$ 0.9997
rmsd error
0.1206

Figure 2-226. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 4}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{0} /$ kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14-\mathrm{AYK}$ | $-14.3 \pm 0.03$ | $0.19 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9990 | 0.1395 |

Figure 2-227. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 14-AYK in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-228. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 14-EYA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{0} /$ kcal mol $^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $_{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14-\mathrm{AYA}$ | $-16.8 \pm 0.06$ | $0.21 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9990 | 0.1692 |

Figure 2-229. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 14-AYA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | $-14.6 \pm 0.02$ | $0.17 \pm 0.002$ | 0.9998 | 0.1098 |

Figure 2-230. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 5}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} /$ kcal mol $^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15-\mathrm{AY}_{\mathrm{me}} \mathrm{K}$ | $-16.1 \pm 0.04$ | $0.19 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9994 | 0.1720 |

Figure 2-231. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 5 - A} \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{m e}} \mathbf{K}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $15-\mathrm{EY}_{\mathrm{me}} \mathrm{A}$ | $-15.7 \pm 0.03$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1932 |

Figure 2-232. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 5 - E Y} \mathbf{Y e}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-233. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 5 - A} \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{m e}} \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta G_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $-14.7 \pm 0.02$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1417 |

Figure 2-234. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 6}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{J}} /$ kcal $^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{2}$ mol $^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 16 | $-15.4 \pm 0.04$ | $0.18 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9992 | 0.1861 |

Figure 2-235. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 6}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathbf{0 . 2 5 M} \mathbf{~ N a C l}$. Parameters used to fit the variable.


| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal mol ${ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-AFK | $-15.0 \pm 0.03$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9995 | 0.1544 |

Figure 2-236. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 3CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 16-AFK in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.
Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(K)$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{G}} / \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16-\mathrm{AFK}$ | $-15.9 \pm 0.05$ | $0.18 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9990 | 0.1496 |

Figure 2-237. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 16-AFK in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathbf{0 . 2 5 M}$ NaCl. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-EFA | $-15.0 \pm 0.03$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9995 | 0.1544 |

Figure 2-238. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 16-EFA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(K)$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-EFA | -15.5 $\pm 0.06$ | $0.19 \pm 0.006$ | 0.9984 | 0.1817 |

Figure 2-239. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 16-EFA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathbf{0 . 2 5 M}$ NaCl. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-AFA | $-16.9 \pm 0.04$ | $0.20 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9995 | 0.1466 |

Figure 2-240. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 16-AFA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{J}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{2}$ mol $^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 16-AFA | $-17.9 \pm 0.05$ | $0.21 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9996 | 0.1619 |

Figure 2-241. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 6 - A F A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7, \mathbf{0 . 2 5 M} \mathbf{~ N a C l}$. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{o}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | $-14.1 \pm 0.02$ | $0.16 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9995 | 0.2038 |

Figure 2-242. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 7}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{o}} /$ kcal mol $^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $17-\mathrm{A}($ F5Phe $) \mathrm{K}$ | $-15.9 \pm 0.03$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9995 | 0.1891 |

Figure 2-243. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 7 - A}\left(\mathbf{F f}_{5} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{K}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{0} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17-E(F5Phe)A | $-14.6 \pm 0.03$ | $0.19 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9994 | 0.1775 |

Figure 2-244. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 7 - E}\left(\mathbf{f}_{5} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})
$$

| Protein | $\Delta G_{\text {d }} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17-A(F5Phe)A | A -16.6 50.04 | $0.19 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9994 | 0.1788 |

Figure 2-245. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\left.\mathbf{1 7 - A (} \mathbf{f}_{5} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-246. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein $\mathbf{1 8 - A}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{f F}) \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-247. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 3CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature


Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-248. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein $\mathbf{1 8 - E}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{f F}) \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-249. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein $\mathbf{1 8}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-250. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein $\mathbf{1 9 - A}\left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-251. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein $\mathbf{1 9 - A}\left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{K}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

|  |  |  <br> $270 \quad 290310 \quad 330 \quad 350370$ T/K |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{280}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{0} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | E3,4-f2FA | $-15.1 \pm 0.02$ | $0.19 \pm 0.002$ | 0.9999 |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2-252. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein $\mathbf{1 9 - E}\left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{f}_{2} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-253. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein 19 in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-254. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide 3 CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein $\left.\mathbf{2 0 - A} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{N O}_{2} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 7). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-255. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein 20-A(p-NO2 $\mathbf{2}$ )K in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 7). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-256. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein $\mathbf{2 0 - E}\left(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{N O}_{2} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathbf{A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-257. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein 20 in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-258. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein 21-A(PyrA)A in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-259. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein 21-A(PyrA)K in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-260. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein 21-E(PyrA)A in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

|  |  |  |  |  | $212$ | 229 | $246$ | 263 | 280 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protein |  | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| EPyrK |  | $-15.0 \pm 0.02$ | $0.17 \pm 0.002$ | 0.9999 |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2-261. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein 21in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})
$$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $-5.57 \pm 0.06$ | $0.116 \pm 0.007$ | $0.0012 \pm 0.0002$ | 0.9935 | 0.2217 |

Figure 2-262. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{2 2}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-263. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 22-AFK in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 2-264. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 22-EFA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$$
\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})
$$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\sigma} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 22-AFA | $-8.14 \pm 0.03$ | $0.147 \pm 0.002$ | $0.002 \pm 0.0001$ | 0.9996 | 0.1469 |

Figure 2-265. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 22-AFA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})
$$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{l}} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 22-ESK | $-4.90 \pm 0.08$ | $0.215 \pm 0.018$ | $0.002 \pm 0.0004$ | 0.9967 | 0.2014 |

Figure 2-266. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 22-ESK in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 22- ASK | $-7.43 \pm 0.02$ | $0.154 \pm 0.003$ | $0.002 \pm 0.0002$ | 0.9996 | 0.1334 |

Figure 2-267. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 22-ASK in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})
$$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22-ESA | $-5.85 \pm 0.02$ | $0.181 \pm 0.004$ | $0.002 \pm 0.0001$ | 0.9995 | 0.1472 |

Figure 2-268. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 22-ESA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ref}}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22-ASA | $-7.79 \pm 0.04$ | $0.152 \pm 0.003$ | $0.002 \pm 0.0001$ | 0.9994 | 0.1819 |

Figure 2-269. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 22-ASA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | $-7.97 \pm 0.04$ | $0.129 \pm 0.002$ | $0.002 \pm 0.0001$ | 0.9996 | 0.1571 |

Figure 2-270. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{2 3}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 23-EFA | $-7.75 \pm 0.02$ | $0.134 \pm 0.001$ | $0.002 \pm 0.00004$ | 0.9999 | 0.1114 |

Figure 2-271. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 23-EFA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{d}} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 23-ESK | $-5.58 \pm 0.05$ | $0.176 \pm 0.010$ | $0.003 \pm 0.0002$ | 0.9976 | 0.1675 |

Figure 2-272. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 23-ESK in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $23-$ ESA | $-7.14 \pm 0.02$ | $0.147 \pm 0.002$ | $0.002 \pm 0.0001$ | 0.9997 | 0.1370 |

Figure 2-273. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 23-ESA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G} /$ kcal mol $^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 24 | $-15.6 \pm 0.02$ | $0.20 \pm 0.002$ | 0.9997 | 0.1451 |

Figure 2-274. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{2 4}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24-AFR | $-16.8 \pm 0.03$ | $0.19 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9997 | 0.1337 |

Figure 2-275. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 24-AFR in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta G_{\text {d }} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24-ESR | -14.6 $\pm 0.02$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1732 |

Figure 2-276. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 24-ESR in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24-ASR | $-16.3 \pm 0.03$ | $0.20 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1608 |

Figure 2-277. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 24-ASR in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathrm{kcal}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathbf{~ m o l}}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | $-14.8 \pm 0.02$ | $0.18 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9996 | 0.1985 |

Figure 2-278. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 25 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7,1 \mathrm{M}$ urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} /$ kcal mol $^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25-$ AAK | $-16.7 \pm 0.07$ | $0.25 \pm 0.007$ | 0.9986 | 0.2735 |

Figure 2-279. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 25-AAK in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25-EAA | $-16.3 \pm 0.06$ | $0.24 \pm 0.006$ | 0.9989 | 0.3213 |

Figure 2-280. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 25-EAA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7,1 \mathrm{M}$ urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{d}} / \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25-AAA | $-17.3 \pm 0.09$ | $0.25 \pm 0.007$ | 0.9983 | 0.2281 |

Figure 2-281. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 25-AAA in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 , 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G} / \mathrm{kcal}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | $-17.4 \pm 0.09$ | $0.21 \pm 0.008$ | 0.9977 | 0.1913 |

Figure 2-282. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{2 6}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathbf{~ m o l}}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | $-18.2 \pm 0.08$ | $0.21 \pm 0.006$ | 0.9987 | 0.2545 |

Figure 2-283. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 27 in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

### 2.4.6 Single crystal X-ray Diffraction Data.

Freeze dried EWK was dissolved in milli $Q$ water at concentration of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ and screened against Rigaku Wizard Cryo1 and 2 screens. EWK ${ }_{1}$ and $\mathbf{E W K}_{2}$ Crystals were briefly dipped in cryoprotectant ( $25 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) glycerol in well solution), then cryo-cooled by plunging into liquid nitrogen prior to data collection. Data were collected at 100 K with a copper rotating anode X ray source (Rigaku Micromax-007HF), with Varimax-HR confocal optics, and a Rigaku Raxis4++ image plate detector. Each drop contained $2 \mu 1$ well solution $+2 \mu 1$ protein. Crystals were cryo-cooled by plunging into liquid nitrogen prior to data collection. Data were collected at 100 K with a copper rotating anode X-ray source (Rigaku Micromax-007HF), with Varimax-HR confocal optics, and a Rigaku Raxis4++ image plate detector.

Freeze dried peptides $\mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{2 0}$, and $\mathbf{2 1}$ were dissolved in milli $Q$ water at a concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ and screened against the Rigaku Wizard Cryo 1 and 2 screens. Drops were set with a TPP mosquito liquid handling robot with protein:condition ratios of 1:2, 1:1, and 2:1 at a total drop volume of 300 nl . Crystals was harvested in ambient temperatures from sitting
well plates with a nylon loop and immediately frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The crystal was mounted in a stream of cold $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and centered in the X-ray beam using a video camera. Low-temperature (100 K) X-ray diffraction data was collected using a MACH3 kappa goniometer coupled to a Bruker Apex II CCD detector with a Bruker-Nonius FR591 rotating anode X-ray source producing Cu $K_{\alpha}$ radiation $(\lambda=1.54178 \AA)$. The Bruker Proteum-3 suite was used to process (integrate and scale) the data

All Structures were determined by molecular replacement with Phaser (CCP4 program suite) using the coordinates of the a coiled-coil trimer structure previously determined (1COI). ${ }^{19}$ The initial electron density map indicated two helices each being a helix in a coiled coil trimer; side-chain density was clearly interpretable. Model building was carried out using COOT. ${ }^{39}$ Refinement was performed with Phenix. ${ }^{40}$ Crystal structure EWK ${ }_{1}$ was deposited in the protein databank (PDB ID 5UXT).

Table 2-5 Conditions which produced diffractable crystals for each EXK coiled coil.

| Peptide | EXK variant | Precipitant | Buffer | pH | Salt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EWK1 | EWK | 50\% PEG 200 | 0.1 M Na/K Phosphate | 6.2 | 200mM Sodium Chloride |
| EWK2 | EWK | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30\% Jeffamine } \\ \text { ED-2001 } \end{gathered}$ | 0.1M HEPES | 7.0 |  |
| 19 | $\mathbf{E}\left(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}-\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathrm{K}$ | 40\% v/v PEG 300 | 100 mM Sodium cacodylate/ <br> Hydrochloric acid | 6.5 | $\begin{gathered} 200 \mathrm{mM} \\ \text { Calcium acetate } \end{gathered}$ |
| 14 | EYK | $30 \%$ v/v PEG 600 <br> (10\% glycerol) | 100 mM HEPES/ Sodium hydroxide | 7.5 | 50mM lithium sulfate |
| 18 | E(p-fPhe)K | 40\% v/v PEG 300 | 100 mM Sodium cacodylate/ Hydrochloric acid | 6.5 | $200 \mathrm{mM}$ <br> Calcium acetate |
| 16 | EFK | 40\% v/v PEG 300 | 100 mM Sodium phosphate dibasic/ Citric acid | 4.2 |  |
| 21 | E(PyrA)K | 40\% v/v PEG 300 | 100 mM Sodium phosphate dibasic/ Citric acid | 4.2 |  |


| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | E(p-NO2F)K | $40 \%$ v/v PEG 300 | 100 mM Sodium phosphate <br> dibasic/ Citric acid | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 2-6 Crystal Data and Refinement Statistics.

|  | EWK ${ }_{1}$ | EWK2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space group | C2 | R3 |
| Unit Cell |  |  |
| a, b, c | 84.8, 38.5, 37.0 | 39.4, 39.4, 98.7 |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ | 90, 113.3, 90 | 90, 90, 120 |
| Data collection |  |  |
| Resolution | 40-2.20 | 40-1.80 |
| R merge (\%) | 7.3 (26.5) | 2.8 (191.9) |
| $\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 4.0 (1.0) | 5(0.5) |
| completeness | 92 (62.7) | 99.1(91.4) |
| Redundancy | 3.9 | 7.3 |
| Refinement |  |  |
| Resolution | 40-2.20 | 40-1.80 |
| \# of reflections | 6128 | 5325 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 19.4/27.5 | 23.4/27.8 |
| \# of atoms | 845 | 523 |
| Ave B factor | 44.6 | 55 |
| Rmsd |  |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 0.838 | 0.950 |

Table 2-7 Crystal Data and Refinement Statistics.

|  | E(3,4-f $\left.\mathbf{f}_{2} \mathbf{F}\right) \mathrm{K}$ | EYK | E(p-fF)K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space group | R3 | R3 | R3 |
| Unit Cell |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ | 39.28, 39.28, 98.71 | 38.04, 38.04, 104.28 | 39.07, 39.07, 98.62 |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ | 90, 90, 120 | 90, 90, 120 | 90, 90, 120 |
| Data collection |  |  |  |
| Resolution | 32.91-2.10 | 33.28-1.80 | 32.88-2.15 |
| R merge (\%) | 15.2 (38.7) | 9.9(31.8) | 16.3 (35.3) |
| $\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 17.1 (2.0) | 12.7(1.4) | 7.4 (1.4) |
| completeness | 100 (98.9) | 99.8(98.1) | 99.7 (100) |
| Redundancy | 4.6 (2.4) | 4.7(3.0) | 4.1 (2.5) |
| Refinement |  |  |  |
| Resolution | 32.91-2.10 | 33.28-1.80 | 32.88-2.15 |
| \# of reflections | 3325 | 5361 | 3077 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 19.7/25.5 | 14.6/17.3 | 20.0/27.8 |
| \# of atoms | 578 | 664 | 564 |
| Ave B factor | 28.8 | 18.8 | 26.7 |
| Rmsd |  |  |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.007 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.05 | 1.11 | 0.98 |

Table 2-8 Crystal Data and Refinement Statistics.

|  | EFK | E(PYRA)K | E(p-NO2F)K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space group | R3 | R3 | R3 |
| Unit Cell |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ | 38.89, 38.89, 103.47 | 38.38, 38.38, 119.43 | 39.05, 39.05, 101.16 |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ | 90, 90, 120 | 90, 90, 120 | 90, 90, 120 |
| Data collection |  |  |  |
| Resolution | 34.49-2.15 | 39.82-2.2 | 33.73-2.1 |
| R merge (\%) | 19.3 (40.6) | 17.7 (53.9) | 22.0 (62.6) |
| $\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 9.4 (2.1) | 7.5 (1.7) | 10.7 (1.3) |
| completeness | 99.7 (100) | 100 (100) | 100 (100) |
| Redundancy | 7.7 (4.4) | 4.9 (3.3) | 10.9 (6.2) |
| Refinement |  |  |  |
| Resolution | 34.49-2.15 | 39.82-2.2 | 33.73-2.1 |
| \# of reflections | 3180 | 3343 | 3366 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | 17.6/24.4 | 18.7/26.8 | 20.9/27.5 |
| \# of atoms | 604 | 595 | 586 |
| Ave B factor | 20.5 | 25.18 | 27.52 |
| Rmsd |  |  |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.007 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 0.96 | 0.99 | 1.00 |

2.4.7 Justification for using Ser at position 14 instead of Ala as a control for evaluating Trp-based enhancement of the Glu10-Lys18 Interaction.

In the main text, we demonstrated that placing Trp at position 14 enhances the strength of a long-range i to $\mathrm{i}+8$ Glu10-Lys18 salt bridge better than does Ser. We chose Ser as a negative control because of its polar character and small size, and because it occupies this position in the sequence of the original 1 CW coiled coil from which our variants were derived. However, we wondered whether the use of Ser as a negative control instead of the more conventional Ala might have artificially inflated the observed impact of Trp on the Glu10-Lys 18 interaction. To explore this possibility, we prepared peptides 25, 25-AAK, 25-EAA, and 25-AAA, derivatives of peptides 5-8 in which Ala occupies position 14 instead of Ser. Variable temperature CD experiments revealed that peptides 25, 25-AAK, 25-EAA, and 25-AAA are too stable in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ) to reliably identify a fully unfolded baseline, and therefore we were unable to extract folding free energy values for these peptides under these conditions. However, we were able to fit variable temperature CD data for peptides 25, 25-AAK, 25-EAA, and 25-AAA in 20 mM sodium phosphate $(\mathrm{pH} 7)+1 \mathrm{M}$ urea; we have folding free energy data for peptides 1-8 under identical conditions. We observed that impact of Trp relative to Ala on the Glu10-Lys18 interaction is substantially larger than the impact of Trp relative to Ser (Supplementary Table 13). These results indicate that using Ser as a negative control leads to a smaller, more conservative estimate for Trp-based enhancement of the Glu10-Lys18 interaction than when Ala is used as negative control, suggesting that our concerns were unfounded.

Table2- 9. Sequences and folding free energies of GCN4-p1 variants 20, 21, 22 and their derivatives. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Peptide | Sequence | $\Delta \mathbf{G f}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ <br> in 1M Urea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALEWKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | 2 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALEWKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | $-16.23 \pm 0.05$ |


| $\mathbf{3}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALEWKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $-14.04 \pm 0.03$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALEWKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | $-15.78 \pm 0.05$ |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALESKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | $-13.42 \pm 0.02$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALESKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | $-14.74 \pm 0.02$ |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALESKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | $-14.18 \pm 0.02$ |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALESKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | -15 | $-15.30 \pm 0.04$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALEAKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | $-14.78 \pm 0.02$ |  |
| 25-AAK | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALEAKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | 2 | $-16.66 \pm 0.07$ |
| 25-EAA | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALEAKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | $-16.31 \pm 0.06$ |  |
| 25-AAA | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALEAKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH | $-17.32 \pm 0.09$ |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data are given $\pm$ standard error at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 7)+1 \mathrm{M}$ urea at 343.15 K.

Table 2-10. Impact of Trp14 relative to Ser14 or Ala14 on the long-range interaction between Glu10 and Lys18 in the homotrimeric 1CW coiled coil. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \mathbf{G f}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Impact of Trp vs. Ser on the Glu10-Lys18 Interaction | $-2.45 \pm 0.10$ |
| Impact of Trp vs. Ala on the Glu10-Lys18 Interaction | $-3.12 \pm 0.15$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data are given $\pm$ standard error at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 7)+1 \mathrm{M}$ urea at 343.15 K . Triple mutant cycle analysis performed for peptides $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{4}$ in comparison to Ser-containing peptides 5-8, vs. Ala-containing peptides 25-AAK, 25-EAA, 25$\mathbf{A A A}$.

### 2.4.8 Impact of Salt on Phe based enhancement of Glu10:Lys18 salt bridge

We wondered whether the ability of Phe to enhance the long-range Glu10-Lys18 interaction depends on the concentration of salt in the buffer. To explore this possibility, we performed triplicate variable temperature CD experiments on peptides $5-8,16,16-\mathrm{AFK}, 16-\mathrm{EFA}$, and 16 AFA in 20 mM sodium phosphate $(\mathrm{pH} 7)$ and 0.25 M NaCl . The results of this analysis are shown in Supplementary Tables 14 and 15 . The impact of Phe on the Glu10-Lys 18 interaction gets stronger in the presence of 0.25 M NaCl , indicating that it is resistant to screening by salt.

Table 2-11. Sequences, expected and observed exact masses, and folding free energies peptides 5-8, 16, 16-AFK, 16-EFA, and 16-AFA. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Peptide | Sequence | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALESKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH2 | $-14.60 \pm 0.02$ |
| 6 | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALESKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH2 | $-16.12 \pm 0.03$ |
| 7 | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALESKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | $-15.77 \pm 0.03$ |
| 8 | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALESKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH2 | $-17.08 \pm 0.05$ |
| 16 | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALEFKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | $-15.37 \pm 0.04$ |
| 16-AFK | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALEFKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | $-15.91 \pm 0.05$ |
| 16-EFA | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALEFKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH2 | $-15.55 \pm 0.06$ |

$$
\text { 16-AFA Ac-EVEALEKKVAALE FKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH } 2
$$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data are given $\pm$ standard error at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 7)+0.25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$ at 343.15 K .

Table 2-12. Impact of Phe14 on the long-range interaction between Glu10 and Lys 18 in the homotrimeric 1 CW coiled coil in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), with or without $0.25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl} .^{\text {a }}$

|  | $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Phe-based stabilization in $20 \mathbf{m M}$ sodium phosphate $(\mathbf{p H} 7)$ | $-1.25 \pm 0.09$ |
| Phe-based stabilization in 20 mM sodium phosphate $(\mathrm{pH} 7)+\mathbf{0 . 2 5} \mathbf{~ M ~ N a C l}$ | $-2.00 \pm 0.12$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data are given $\pm$ standard error at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ) +0.25 M NaCl at 343.15 K .

### 2.4.9 NMR Tocsy and Roesy data

As described in the main text, peptide pA-EWK (Table S1) is derived from a previously reported parent $\alpha$-helical model peptide $\mathbf{p A}^{41}$ (sequence Ac-OOAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAOOY- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), which contains a 19 -residue polyalanine segment (for high helix propensity), flanked by two ornithine residues on either end (to increase solubility in aqueous solution), and a C-terminal tyrosine (a spectrosocpic handle for accurate concentration determination). In pA-EWK, Glu occupies position 8, Trp occupies position 12, and Lys occupies position 16. Peptides pA-AWK and pA-EWA are derivatives of pA-EWK in which Ala replaces Glu8 or Lys16, respectively (Table S1).

NMR samples for pA-EWA, pA-AWK, and pA-EWK were prepared at 5 mM peptide concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ) with $10 \% \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Samples were transferred to a Varian 500 MHz magnet and data was collect using vnmrJ software. Water suppression was achieved using an excitation sculpting sequence. 2D TOCSY experiments were collected with 8 scans, 400 tl increments, and 80 ms mixing time. 2D adiabatic ROESY experiments were collected with 64 scans, 256 tl increments, and 200 ms mixing time. Data was processed using vnmrJ followed by ccpNMR software. Examples of the 2D spectra for pA-EWA, pA-AWK, and pAEWK are shown in figures S77-S79, summaries of assignments and correlations are shown in tables S3-S8. CD data for pA-EWA, pA-AWK, and pA-EWK (Figure S80) confirm their similar weakly helical secondary structure.


Figure 2-284. NMR Data for pA-EWA. 2D TOCSY data (left), 2D ROESY data (right).


Figure 2-285. NMR Data for pA-AWK. 2D TOCSY data (left), 2D ROESY data (right).


Figure 2-286. NMR Data for pA-EWK. 2D TOCSY data (left), 2D ROESY data (right).


Figure 2-287. CD spectra for $\mathbf{p A - E W K}$, pA-AWK, pA-EWA at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate $\mathrm{pH} 7,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A summary of the observed NOEs for pA-AWK, pA-EWA, and pA-EWK appears in Figure S81. As with pA-EWK, the ROESY spectrum for pA-AWK shows many NOEs between Trp12 and Lys16 backbone and side-chain protons. However, several important differences between the ROESY spectra of pA-EWK vs. pA-AWK highlight the impact of Glu8 vs. Ala8 on Trp-Lys contacts (Figure S81). For example, NOE peaks corresponding to Trp12H $33 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \alpha$, $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \zeta 3 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \delta$, and $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \eta 2 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \delta$ are present in the spectrum of pA-AWK but not in that of pA-EWK. In contrast, NOE peaks corresponding to $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \gamma$, $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \delta$, and $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ are present in the spectrum of $\mathbf{p A}-\mathbf{E W K}$ but not in that of pA-AWK. Moreover, normalized peak volumes for the Trp12H\&3/Lys16H8, $\operatorname{Trp} 12 H \alpha / L y s 16 N H$, and $\operatorname{Trp} 12 H \delta 1 / L y s 16 H \delta$ NOEs are larger for pA-EWK than for pA-AWK, whereas normalized peak volumes for the Trp12H $1 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ and $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \zeta 2 / \mathrm{Lys} 16 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ NOEs are smaller for $\mathbf{p A - E W K}$ than for $\mathbf{p A - A W K}$. These changes suggest that replacing Ala8 with Glu8 allows Lys $16 \mathrm{H} \delta$ to move closer to $\mathrm{H} \delta 1, \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$, and $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ on the right-hand side of the Trp12 indole ring (as drawn in Figures 2), whereas Lys16NH moves closer to $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \alpha$, possibly due to localized overwinding of the $\alpha$-helical backbone between Trp12 and Lys 16 to allow for optimized interaction geometry between Glu8, $\operatorname{Trp} 12$, and Lys16.

Similarly, differences between the ROESY spectra of pA-EWK vs. pA-EWA highlight impact of Lys16 vs. Ala16 on Glu8-Trp12 contacts. The Trp12H81/Glu8H $\alpha$ NOE is present in the spectrum of $\mathbf{p A}$-EWA, but not that of $\mathbf{p A}$-EWK. Moreover, normalized peak volumes for the $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \delta 1 / \mathrm{Glu} 8 \mathrm{H} \beta$, $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \delta 1 / \mathrm{Glu} 8 \mathrm{H} \gamma, \operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1 / \mathrm{Glu} 8 \mathrm{H} \beta$, and $\operatorname{Trp} 12 \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1 / \mathrm{Glu} 8 \mathrm{H} \gamma$ NOEs are smaller in pA-EWK than in pA-AWK. These observations indicate that the Glu8 side-chain
protons are close to $\mathrm{H} \delta 1$ and $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ of Trp12 (as is also the case for $\mathrm{H} \delta$ and $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon$ of Lys16, see above), but that replacing Ala16 with Lys16 increases the distance between the Glu8 side chain and the right side of the Trp12 indole ring (as drawn in Figure S81), possibly to compensate for the localized overwinding of the $\alpha$-helical backbone between $\operatorname{Trp} 12$ and Lys16.

pA-EWA
Ac-OOAAAAAEAAAWAA.AAAAAAOOY- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$


AC-OOA.A.A.AAA.A.WA.A.KA.AAA.AOOY $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$

pA-EWK
AC-OOAAA.AAEAAAWA.AKAAAAAOOY- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$

Figure 2-288. Observed NOEs for monomeric $\alpha$-helical peptides pA-EWK, pA-AWK, and pA-EWA in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ). Lines tipped with asterisks indicate NOEs that are only present in the indicated peptide. Dotted lines indicate NOEs that are weaker in pA-EWK than in pA-EWA or pA-AWK. Bold lines indicate NOEs that are stronger in pA-EWK than in pA-EWA or pA-AWK (see Tables S6-S8).

Table 2-13. TOCSY correlations for $\mathbf{p A - A W K}{ }^{\mathbf{a}}$

| $\delta$ (ppm) F1 | Assignment F1 | $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) \mathrm{F} 2$ | Assignment F2 | $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) \mathrm{F} 1$ | Assignment F1 | $\delta$ (ppm) F2 | Assignment F2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.2 | 12 Trp NH | 4.56 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 1.67 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b | 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ |
| 8.21 | 12 Trp NH | 3.42 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 1.68 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b | 2.74 | 16Lys Hza |
| 4.55 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 4.55 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 1.68 | 16Lys Hßb | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 3.42 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 4.55 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 1.68 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b | 1.21 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ |
| 7.31 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 4.55 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 1.49 | 16Lys H8* | 2.74 | 16Lys Hza |
| 7.31 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 3.42 | $12 \mathrm{TrpH} \beta^{*}$ | 1.49 | 16Lys H8* | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 7.31 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 7.31 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \gamma 1$ | 1.48 | 16Lys H $\delta^{*}$ | 1.21 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ |
| 7.31 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 10.2 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 1.48 | 16Lys H8a | 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ |
| 10.2 | 12Trp H ¢ 1 | 7.31 | 12Trp H $\delta 1$ | 2.75 | 16Lys Hea | 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ |
| 10.2 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 10.2 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 2.74 | 16Lys Hza | 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ |
| 7.66 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 7.65 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 2.75 | 16Lys Hza | 1.6 | 16Lys $\mathrm{H} \beta$ a |
| 7.66 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 7.24 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 2.75 | 16Lys Hea | 1.68 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b |
| 7.64 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 7.24 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{\eta} 2$ | 2.75 | 16Lys Hza | 1.49 | 16Lys H $\delta^{*}$ |
| 7.66 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 7.5 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 2.75 | 16Lys Hza | 2.75 | 16Lys Hza |
| 7.64 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 7.5 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 2.75 | 16Lys Hea | 2.83 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 7.66 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 7.16 | 12 Trp H ¢3 | 2.74 | 16Lys Hza | 2.83 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 7.65 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 7.16 | 12 Trp H З3 | 2.75 | 16Lys Hza | 1.21 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ |
| 7.24 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 7.65 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb | 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ |
| 7.24 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 7.24 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 2.81 | 16Lys Hzb | 1.59 | 16Lys $\mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ |
| 7.24 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 7.5 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb | 1.68 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b |
| 7.24 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 7.16 | 12 Trp H З3 | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb | 1.49 | 16Lys H $\delta^{*}$ |
| 7.51 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 7.65 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb | 2.74 | 16Lys Hza |
| 7.5 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H}$ ¢2 | 7.65 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb | 2.82 | 16Lys Heb |
| 7.49 | 12 Trp H ¢ 2 | 7.24 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb | 1.22 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ |
| 7.49 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 7.5 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 1.22 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ | 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ |
| 7.51 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 7.16 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 3$ | 1.22 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ | 1.59 | 16Lys H $\beta$ a |
| 7.49 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H}$ ¢2 | 7.16 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 3$ | 1.21 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ | 1.68 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b |
| 7.16 | 12 Trp H З3 | 7.65 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 1.22 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ | 2.74 | 16Lys Hza |
| 7.16 | 12 Trp H З3 | 7.24 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 1.21 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 7.16 | 12 Trp H З 3 | 7.5 | 12Trp Hら2 | 1.2 | 16LysH $\gamma^{*}$ | 1.2 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ |
| 8.03 | 16Lys NH | 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 2.91 | 24Tyr $\mathrm{H} \beta$ a | 4.55 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ |
| 8.03 | 16Lys NH | 1.59 | 16Lys H $\beta$ a | 2.95 | 24Tyr H $\beta$ a | 4.55 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ |
| 8.03 | 16Lys NH | 1.68 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b | 2.93 | 24 Tyr $\mathrm{H} \beta$ a | 4.55 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ |
| 8.03 | 16Lys NH | 1.22 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ | 3.13 | 24Tyr H $\beta$ b | 4.54 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ |
| 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 3.09 | 24Tyr H $\beta$ b | 4.55 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ |
| 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 1.59 | 16Lys H $\beta$ a | 7.16 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 7.16 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ |
| 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 1.68 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b | 7.17 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 6.85 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ |
| 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 1.49 | 16Lys H $\delta^{*}$ | 7.16 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 6.84 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ |
| 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 2.74 | 16Lys Hza | 6.85 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ | 7.17 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ |
| 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb | 6.84 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ | 7.17 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ |
| 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 1.2 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ | 6.85 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ | 6.85 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ |
| 1.6 | 16Lys $\mathrm{H} \beta$ a | 3.98 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 6.84 | 24Tyr H $\varepsilon^{*}$ | 6.84 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ |
| 1.6 | 16Lys $\mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 2.74 | 16Lys Hza |  |  |  |  |
| 1.6 | 16Lys $\mathrm{H} \beta$ a | 2.82 | 16Lys Hzb |  |  |  |  |
| 1.6 | 16Lys $\mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 1.21 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ NOE assignments made based on $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR TOCSY and ROESY experiments on a 5 mM solution of pA-AWK in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ) at room temperature using a 500 MHz Varian INOVA spectrometer.

Table 2-14. TOCSY correlations for pA-EWA. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\delta$ (ppm) F1 | Assignment F1 | $\delta$ (ppm) F2 | Assignment F2 | $\delta$ (ppm) F1 | Assignment F1 | $\delta$ (ppm) F2 | Assignment F2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.18 | 8Glu NH | 8.18 | 8Glu NH | 7.16 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 6.84 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{Hz*}$ |
| 8.18 | 8Glu NH | 4.11 | 8Glu H $\alpha$ | 6.85 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ | 7.17 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ |
| 8.18 | 8Glu NH | 2.17 | 8Glu Hßa | 6.84 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ | 7.17 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ |
| 8.18 | 8Glu NH | 2.26 | 8Glu Hßb | 6.85 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ | 6.84 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{Hz*}$ |
| 8.18 | 8Glu NH | 1.98 | 8Glu H $\gamma^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4.11 | 8Glu H $\alpha$ | 8.18 | 8Glu NH |  |  |  |  |
| 2.17 | 8Glu Hßa | 8.18 | 8Glu NH |  |  |  |  |
| 2.26 | 8Glu Hßb | 8.18 | 8Glu NH |  |  |  |  |
| 1.99 | 8Glu H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ | 8.18 | 8Glu NH |  |  |  |  |
| 8.22 | 12 Trp NH | 4.51 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8.22 | 12 Trp NH | 3.41 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4.5 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 3.41 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta$ a |  |  |  |  |
| 7.28 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 3.41 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.28 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 7.28 | 12Trp H81 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.28 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 10.18 | 12 Trp Hz 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 10.18 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 7.28 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10.18 | 12Trp He1 | 10.18 | 12Trp He1 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.62 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 7.61 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.62 | 12Trp H 83 | 7.21 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.62 | 12Trp H 83 | 7.48 | 12 Trp H ¢2 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.62 | 12Trp H83 | 7.14 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 3$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.21 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 7.61 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.21 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 7.21 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.21 | $12 \mathrm{TrpH} \mathrm{\eta} 2$ | 7.48 | 12 Trp H ¢2 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.21 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 7.14 | 12Trp Hち3 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.48 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 7.61 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.47 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H}$ ¢2 | 7.61 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.47 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 7.21 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.49 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H}$ ¢ 2 | 7.49 | 12 Trp H ¢2 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.49 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H}$ ¢2 | 7.14 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 3$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.14 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 7.61 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.15 | 12 Trp H З3 | 7.21 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.14 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 3$ | 7.48 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7.14 | 12 Trp H З3 | 7.14 | 12Trp Hち3 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.1 | 16Ala NH | 4.11 | 16Ala H $\alpha$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8.1 | 16Ala NH | 1.31 | 16Ala H3* |  |  |  |  |
| 8.08 | 23 Orn NH | 1.63 | 23Orn $\mathrm{H} \beta$ a |  |  |  |  |
| 8.08 | 23 Orn NH | 1.74 | 23Orn H $\beta$ b |  |  |  |  |
| 8.08 | 230 rn NH | 2.96 | 23Orn H8* |  |  |  |  |
| 8.08 | 230 m NH | 1.55 | 23Orn H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8.08 | 23 Orn NH | 1.58 | 23Orn H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8.16 | 24 Tyr NH | 4.55 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8.16 | 24 Tyr NH | 2.94 | 24Tyr $\mathrm{H} \beta$ a |  |  |  |  |


| 8.16 | 24 Tyr NH | 3.11 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7.16 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \delta^{*}$ | 7.17 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \delta^{*}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ NOE assignments made based on $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR TOCSY and ROESY experiments on a 5 mM solution of pA-EWA in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ) at room temperature using a 500 MHz Varian INOVA spectrometer.

Table 2-15. TOCSY correlations for $\mathbf{p A - E W K}{ }^{\mathbf{a}}$

| $\delta$ (ppm) F1 | Assignment F1 | $\delta$ (ppm) F2 | Assignment F2 | $\delta$ (ppm) F1 | Assignment F1 | $\delta$ (ppm) F2 | Assignment F2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.21 | 8Glu NH | 4.13 | 8Glu H $\alpha$ | 4.02 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 4.02 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ |
| 8.21 | 8Glu NH | 2.19 | 8Glu Hßa | 4.01 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 1.66 | 16Lys $\mathrm{H} \beta$ a |
| 8.21 | 8Glu NH | 2.28 | 8Glu H $\beta$ b | 4.01 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 1.72 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b |
| 8.21 | 8Glu NH | 2.01 | 8Glu H $\gamma^{*}$ | 4.02 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 1.49 | 16Lys H8* |
| 4.12 | 8Glu H $\alpha$ | 2.19 | 8Glu Hßa | 4.01 | 16LysHo | 2.78 | 16Lys Hza |
| 4.12 | 8Glu H $\alpha$ | 2.28 | 8Glu H $\beta$ b | 4.01 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 2.85 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 4.12 | 8Glu H $\alpha$ | 2.01 | 8Glu H $\gamma^{*}$ | 4.01 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 1.25 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |
| 8.21 | 12Trp NH | 3.41 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 4.01 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 1.29 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ |
| 4.57 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 4.57 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 1.67 | 16Lys H $\beta$ a | 2.78 | 16Lys Hza |
| 4.56 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 3.41 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 1.67 | 16Lys $\mathrm{H} \beta$ a | 2.85 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 3.41 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta$ * | 3.41 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 1.67 | 16Lys H $\beta$ a | 1.25 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |
| 7.29 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 4.57 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 1.67 | 16Lys H $\beta$ a | 1.3 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ |
| 7.3 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 3.41 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 1.73 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b | 2.78 | 16Lys Hza |
| 7.29 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 7.29 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 1.72 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b | 2.85 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 7.3 | 12Trp H $\delta 1$ | 10.19 | 12Trp $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 1.72 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b | 1.24 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |
| 10.19 | 12Trp He1 | 3.41 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 1.72 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b | 1.3 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ |
| 10.19 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 7.3 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 1.53 | 16Lys H $\delta^{*}$ | 2.78 | 16Lys Hza |
| 10.19 | 12Trp $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 10.19 | 12Trp $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 1.53 | 16Lys H $\delta^{*}$ | 2.85 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 10.19 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 7.64 | 12Trp H $\varepsilon 3$ | 1.53 | 16Lys H8* | 1.25 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |
| 7.64 | 12Trp He3 | 7.64 | 12Trp H 23 | 1.53 | 16Lys H8* | 1.3 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ |
| 7.64 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 7.23 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 2.78 | 16Lys Hea | 1.53 | 16Lys H8* |
| 7.63 | 12Trp H $¢ 3$ | 7.23 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{\eta} 2$ | 2.79 | 16Lys Hea | 2.79 | 16Lys Hea |
| 7.64 | 12Trp H 23 | 7.49 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 2.78 | 16Lys Hza | 2.85 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 7.64 | 12Trp H 83 | 7.15 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 3$ | 2.78 | 16Lys Hea | 1.25 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |
| 7.63 | 12Trp He3 | 7.15 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 3$ | 2.78 | 16Lys Hea | 1.3 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ |
| 7.23 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 7.64 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 2.84 | 16Lys Hzb | 1.53 | 16Lys H8* |
| 7.23 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 7.23 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 2.84 | 16Lys Hzb | 2.78 | 16Lys Hza |
| 7.23 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{\eta} 2$ | 7.49 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H}$ ¢2 | 2.84 | 16Lys Heb | 2.84 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 7.23 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 7.15 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 3$ | 2.84 | 16Lys Hzb | 1.25 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ |
| 7.48 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 2$ | 7.64 | 12Trp H 23 | 2.84 | 16Lys Hzb | 1.3 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ |
| 7.5 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 2$ | 7.64 | 12Trp H $¢ 3$ | 1.25 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | 2.78 | 16Lys Hza |
| 7.48 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 2$ | 7.23 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 1.3 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ | 2.78 | 16Lys Hza |
| 7.49 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H}$ ¢2 | 7.49 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 1.3 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ | 2.85 | 16Lys Hzb |
| 7.48 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 2$ | 7.16 | 12Trp Hち3 | 8.2 | 24 Tyr NH | 2.94 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ |
| 7.15 | 12Trp Hち3 | 7.64 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 8.2 | 24 Tyr NH | 3.1 | 24Tyr H $\beta$ b |
| 7.15 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 3$ | 7.23 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 4.55 | 24Tyr H $\alpha$ | 4.55 | 24Tyr H $\alpha$ |
| 7.15 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \zeta 3$ | 7.49 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 4.55 | 24Tyr H $\alpha$ | 2.94 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ |
| 8.04 | 16Lys NH | 4.01 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 4.55 | 24Tyr H $\alpha$ | 3.11 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta$ b |
| 8.04 | 16Lys NH | 1.66 | 16Lys $\mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 7.16 | 24Tyr H ${ }^{*}$ | 7.16 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ |
| 8.04 | 16Lys NH | 1.73 | 16Lys H $\beta$ b | 7.17 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 6.85 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ |


| 8.03 | 16Lys NH | 2.78 | 16Lys Hza | 7.16 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 6.84 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.03 | 16Lys NH | 2.85 | 16Lys Hzb | 6.85 | 24 Tyr Hz * | 7.17 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \delta^{*}$ |
| 8.04 | 16Lys NH | 1.25 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | 6.84 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon^{*}$ | 7.17 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ |
| 8.04 | 16Lys NH | 1.3 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ | 6.85 | 24Tyr H8* | 6.85 | 24Tyr Hz* |
| 4.01 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 8.04 | 16Lys H | 6.84 | 24 Tyr Hz * | 6.84 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{Hz}^{*}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ NOE assignments made based on $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR TOCSY and ROESY experiments on a 5 mM solution of pA-EWK in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 7)$ at room temperature using a 500 MHz Varian INOVA spectrometer.

Table 2-16. NOE assignments for $\mathbf{p A - A W K}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) \mathrm{F} 1$ | Assignment F1 | $\delta$ (ppm) F2 | Assignment F2 | Integrated Peak Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.202 | 12 Trp NH | 4.558 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 45082 |
| 8.199 | 12 Trp NH | 3.42 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 98442 |
| 8.197 | 12 Trp NH | 1.496 | 16Lys H $\delta$ a | 68964 |
| 4.567 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 3.418 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 48292 |
| 3.419 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 7.65 | 12 Trp H 8 3 | 63723 |
| 7.309 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 3.987 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 27897 |
| 7.311 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 1.229 | 16Lys H $\gamma^{*}$ | 20237 |
| 7.308 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 1.488 | 16Lys H $\mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}$ | 57456 |
| 7.311 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 2.746 | 16Lys Hza | 14343 |
| 10.199 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H}$ 1 1 | 7.503 | 12 Trp H ¢ 2 | 28154 |
| 7.643 | 12 Trp $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 4.557 | 12 Trp $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 66906 |
| 7.643 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 3.42 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} H \beta^{*}$ | 95049 |
| 7.659 | 12 Trp $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 3.987 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 38341 |
| 7.643 | 12 Trp H 83 | 3.988 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 40545 |
| 7.659 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 1.488 | 16Lys H $\delta$ a | 32298 |
| 7.645 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 1.485 | 16Lys H $\delta$ a | 43349 |
| 7.242 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \eta 2$ | 1.497 | 16Lys H $\delta$ a | 16534 |
| 7.498 | 12 Trp H ¢ 2 | 1.496 | 16Lys H $\delta$ a | 25264 |
| 7.514 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 2.744 | 16Lys Hza | 13413 |
| 7.5 | 12 Trp H ¢ 2 | 2.741 | 16Lys Hza | 10851 |
| 7.513 | 12 Trp H ¢2 | 2.821 | 16Lys Hzb | 7922 |
| 7.499 | 12 Trp H ¢ 2 | 2.821 | 16Lys Hzb | 8683 |
| 7.174 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H}$ ¢ 3 | 4.548 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 25074 |
| 7.162 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 4.548 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 119207 |
| 7.163 | 12 Trp H 弓 3 | 3.979 | 16Lys Ho | 139294 |
| 8.029 | 16Lys NH | 4.568 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 12374 |
| 8.028 | 16Lys NH | 3.986 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 59601 |
| 3.986 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 7.651 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 38664 |
| 2.955 | 24Tyr $\mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 7.168 | 24Tyr H8* | 81553 |
| 2.935 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 7.169 | 24Tyr H8* | 85563 |
| 2.911 | 24Tyr H阝a | 7.167 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 44295 |
| 3.097 | 24Tyr H $\beta$ b | 7.169 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 113163 |
| 7.174 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 2.934 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{\beta a}$ | 166166 |
| 7.163 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 2.934 | 24 Tyr HBa | 191533 |
| 7.174 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 3.109 | 24Tyr HBb | 141517 |
| 7.163 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \delta^{*}$ | 3.108 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{b}$ | 163778 |

${ }^{a}$ NOE assignments made based on $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR TOCSY and ROESY experiments on a 5 mM solution of $\mathbf{p A}$-AWK in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ) at room temperature using a 500 MHz Varian INOVA spectrometer.

Table 2－17．NOE assignments for pA－EWA．${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\delta$（ppm）F1 | Assignment F1 | $\delta$（ppm）F2 | Assignment F2 | Integrated Peak Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.179 | 8Glu NH | 4.109 | 8Glu H $\alpha$ | 158061 |
| 8.179 | 8 Glu NH | 8.063 | 9 Ala NH | 213495 |
| 8.169 | 8Glu NH | 4.245 | 9Ala Ho | 336937 |
| 4.110 | 8Glu Ho | 8.182 | 8Glu NH | 151497 |
| 2.258 | 8Glu H阝b | 8.185 | 8Glu NH | 38077 |
| 1.994 | $8 \mathrm{Glu} \mathrm{H} \gamma \mathrm{b}$ | 7.272 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 10361 |
| 8.055 | 9 Ala NH | 1.983 | 8Glu H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ | 135067 |
| 8.214 | 12 Trp NH | 4.515 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 158247 |
| 8.213 | 12 Trp NH | 3.406 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 283306 |
| 8.213 | 12 Trp NH | 7.283 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 78650 |
| 7.281 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 8.213 | 12 Trp NH | 58320 |
| 7.280 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 4.513 | 12 Trp $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 275739 |
| 7.284 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 1.312 | 16Ala H3＊ | 42052 |
| 7.282 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 4.127 | 8Glu H $\alpha$ | 108287 |
| 7.285 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 2.168 | $8 \mathrm{Glu} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 32106 |
| 7.284 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 2.26 | 8Glu H阝b | 29132 |
| 7.284 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 1.984 | 8Glu H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ | 28920 |
| 10.175 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 7.477 | 12 Trp H ¢ 2 | 171082 |
| 10.177 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 2.165 | 8Glu Hßa | 13937 |
| 10.176 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 2.261 | 8Glu H $\beta$ b | 17440 |
| 10.177 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 1.983 | 8Glu H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ | 12427 |
| 7.607 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 4.516 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 106629 |
| 7.620 | 12 Trp H 83 | 3.405 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 174701 |
| 7.608 | 12 Trp H 83 | 3.405 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 206571 |
| 7.487 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 2$ | 10.177 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 132616 |
| 8.096 | 16Ala NH | 3.405 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 125996 |
| 8.096 | 16Ala NH | 7.612 | 12 Trp He 3 | 83019 |
| 1.308 | 16Ala H3＊ | 8.106 | 16Ala NH | 252348 |
| 8.158 | 24 Tyr NH | 1.741 | 23Orn H $\beta$ b | 67715 |
| 8.157 | 24Tyr NH | 7.167 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 129240 |
| 7.173 | 24Tyr H8＊ | 2.932 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{\beta a}$ | 347219 |
| 7.163 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \delta^{*}$ | 2.933 | 24Tyr H阝a | 353724 |
| 7.173 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 3.116 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{b}$ | 274030 |
| 7.163 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 3.116 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{b}$ | 275658 |

[^1]Table 2-18. NOEs assignments for pA-EWK. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\delta$ (ppm) F1 | Assignment F1 | $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) \mathrm{F} 2$ | Assignment F2 | Integrated Peak Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.206 | 12 Trp NH | 3.41 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 205808 |
| 3.41 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \beta^{*}$ | 7.64 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 81837 |
| 7.296 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 4.574 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 173185 |
| 7.298 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 4.023 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 30352 |
| 7.297 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 1.479 | 16Lys H8* | 110836 |
| 7.302 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 2.792 | 16Lys Hza | 10641 |
| 7.302 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 2.852 | 16Lys Hzb | 10855 |
| 7.300 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 1.255 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | 16864 |
| 7.299 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 1.31 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ | 16298 |
| 7.299 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 2.185 | 8Glu H阝a | 18624 |
| 7.299 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 2.284 | 8Glu H阝b | 15431 |
| 7.299 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \delta 1$ | 2.007 | $8 \mathrm{Glu} \mathrm{H} \gamma^{*}$ | 21585 |
| 10.193 | 12 Trp Hz 1 | 7.493 | 12 Trp H 2 | 103103 |
| 10.194 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 1.528 | 16Lys H8* | 24543 |
| 10.194 | $12 \operatorname{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 2.776 | 16Lys Hza | 7219 |
| 10.194 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 1.243 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ | 8661 |
| 10.194 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 1.305 | 16Lys H $\gamma \mathrm{b}$ | 7377 |
| 10.198 | 12Trp $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 2.182 | 8Glu Hßa | 5806 |
| 10.195 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 2.289 | 8Glu H $\beta$ b | 9212 |
| 10.194 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 1$ | 2.007 | 8Glu H $\gamma^{*}$ | 9275 |
| 7.646 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 4.025 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 49757 |
| 7.634 | 12 Trp H ¢ 3 | 4.026 | 16Lys H $\alpha$ | 51833 |
| 7.646 | 12 Trp $\mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 1.478 | 16Lys H8* | 91815 |
| 7.634 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \varepsilon 3$ | 1.478 | 16Lys H8* | 97478 |
| 7.487 | 12 Trp H ¢ 2 | 2.78 | 16Lys Hea | 9140 |
| 7.49 | 12 Trp H ¢ 2 | 2.857 | 16Lys Hzb | 12797 |
| 8.039 | 16Lys NH | 4.579 | $12 \mathrm{Trp} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 43420 |
| 2.956 | 24Tyr HBa | 7.169 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 99140 |
| 2.937 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 7.17 | 24Tyr H8* | 102958 |
| 3.12 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{b}$ | 7.17 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 66381 |
| 3.097 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{b}$ | 7.17 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 134192 |
| 7.176 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 4.551 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 150166 |
| 7.164 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 4.551 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \alpha$ | 163745 |
| 7.175 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 2.937 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 204171 |
| 7.164 | 24Tyr H8* | 2.937 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{a}$ | 231709 |
| 7.175 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \delta^{*}$ | 3.109 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{b}$ | 180062 |
| 7.164 | 24Tyr H $\delta^{*}$ | 3.109 | $24 \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{H} \beta \mathrm{b}$ | 201782 |

an mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ) at room temperature using a 500 MHz Varian INOVA spectrometer.
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# 3 MEASURING A HELICAL LONG-RANGE SALT BRIDGE ENHANCED BY NONAROMATIC HYDROPHOBIC AMINO ACIDS. 

### 3.1 Introduction

We demonstrate in Chapter 2 that an arene side chain can enhance the interaction between a Glu and a Lys residue positioned 8 residues apart on the solvent exposed face of a coiled coil. Several computational studies predicted that positioning a positive ion on one face of an aromatic ring and negative ion on the opposing face would have a favorable cooperative interaction, which we also observe in chapter 2. ${ }^{1-5}$ These studies describe the aromatic ring as an "insulator" or a medium that stores information between the charges, in other words the electronics found in the arene ring can be polarized towards the positive ion and away from the negative creating a synergistic three-way interaction. Interestingly, more recent studies predict a similar interaction with hydrocarbons where a cyclic hydrocarbon can mediate a salt bridge by polarizing its electron density (Figure 3-1).


Figure 3-1. Three-way interaction between a cyclohexane, flourine ion, and a lithium ion. The mechanism is predicted to be induction where the electron density of the ring is polarized to towards the lithium cation and away from the fluorine anion.

Elguero et al. was interested in opposing ions interacting with hydrocarbons in order to better understand and design energy storing devices. ${ }^{6}$ Interestingly, they predict that positioning a cation and anion on opposing faces of cyclohexane can promote a cooperative three-way interaction. They model the electron density of a fluorine anion and a lithium cation on opposing faces of a cyclohexane and show that the electron density in the ring polarizes towards the lithium ion and away from the fluorine ion (figure 3-1). Their model predicts a three-way interaction worth about $5.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in cooperative energy between the ions and cyclohexane. An analogous study confirms gas phase interaction between a cesium cation and chlorine anion positioned on opposing faces of a cyclobutane. ${ }^{7}$ Also, a spherical fullurene $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ crystal structure where a $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ cation is trapped within the fullerene shows that there is potential for $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}{ }^{-}$counter ion interaction on the exterior of the sphere. ${ }^{8}$ Due to these studies we wondered if a cyclic nonpolar amino acid could enhance a long range salt bridge between a Glu 10: Lys 18 on the coiled-coil trimeric helix 1CW (Figure 3-2).


Figure 3-2. Coiled-coil trimeric 1CW with positions 10, 14, and 18 highlighted as the anion, nonpolar, and cation mutation sites.

### 3.2 Results and discussion

### 3.2.1 Long range salt-bridge enhanced by nonpolar amino acids.

To measure the extent that a cyclic nonpolar amino acid can enhance a Glu 10:Lys18 salt bridge we synthesized the 1 CW variant EChaK where position 14 is occupied by a cyclohexylalanine (Cha), position 10 is a Glutamate, and position 18 is a Lysine (Figure 3-2). Circular dichroism and size exclusion chromatography confirm that $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Cha}) \mathrm{K}$ along with its sequence variants are coiled-coil trimers (supporting information 3.3.4, 3.3.5). Triple mutant cycle analysis shows that Cha14 enhances the Glu10:Lys18 salt bridge similar to Phe14. This indicates the aromaticity in not required for the three-way interaction between Glu10:Lys18. To confirm this, we applied the Cha long-range salt bridge enhancement to GCN-p1 dimeric model system by
synthesizing EChaKp1 where position 11, 14, and 18 are occupied by Glu, Cha, Lys respectively. In GCN4-p1 Cha14 also enhances the Glu11:Lys18 salt bridge similar to the extent of Phe14 (Table 3-1).

Table 3-1 Cha enhancement of long range salt bridge. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Peptide | Sequence | $\begin{gathered} \text { Salt-bridge with } \\ \text { Ser14 } \\ \Delta \Delta \Delta G_{f}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol}) \end{gathered}$ | Salt-bridge with Phe14 or Cha14 $\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Influence of Phe14 or Cha14 $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta G_{f}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EFK | Ac-•••KVEALEFKVQKLE•••CONH2 | $0.87 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.38 \pm 0.07$ | $-1.25 \pm 0.09$ |
| EChaK | Ac-•••KVEALE(Cha)KVQKLE•••CONH2 | $0.87 \pm 0.06$ | $-0.47 \pm 0.07$ | $-1.34 \pm 0.13$ |
| EFKp1 | Ac-•••VAELEFKNYKL•••-CONH2 | $1.20 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.38 \pm 0.07$ | $-1.58 \pm 0.10$ |
| EChaKp1 | Ac-•••VAELE(Cha)KNYKL•••-CONH2 | $1.20 \pm 0.07$ | $-0.09 \pm 0.06$ | $-1.30 \pm 0.09$ |

${ }^{a}$ Data are given $\pm$ standard error at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ). Full sequences can are reported in the supporting information.

We then tested if the size and shape of the hydrophobic residue influenced the interaction. To explore this possibility, we incorporated non-polar Leu at position 14 (Figure 3-3) and assessed its ability to enhance the Glu10-Lys18 salt bridge relative to non-polar Ala. Some of these variants were so stable in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 7)$ as to preclude direct assessment of their folding free energies. To facilitate direct comparison among peptides ELK, and EFK we performed variable temperature CD experiments on ELK, EFK, and their sequence variants in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ) with 1 M urea. The impact of Leu is similar to that of Phe or of Cha, suggesting that the residual Phe-based increase in salt-bridge stability does not require additional atoms beyond the C $\delta$ 's of Leu. We also found that norleucine (Nle), norvaline (Nva), Val, and 2-amino-n-butyric acid (Abu) at position 14 are each superior to Ser and Ala, and are slightly better than Phe and Leu in their ability to stabilize the Glu10-Lys18 salt-bridge (Fig 3-3). Together, the observations in Figure 3-1 and chapter 2 suggest that the impact of Phe the Glu10-Lys 18 saltbridge depends only on its non-polar character and not on its shape, size, or surface electrostatic
potential. We also observe that proteinogenic side chains like Leucine and Valine can enhance a long-range salt bridge in the context of a coiled-coil trimeric alpha helix.

| Peptide | Residue 14 | Salt-bridge Interaction $\Delta \Delta \Delta G_{f}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Influence of Residue 14 $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta G_{f}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EAK | ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{CH}}$ | $0.87 \pm 0.11$ |  |
| ESK | $\cdots \mathrm{COH}$ | $0.20 \pm 0.04$ | $-0.67 \pm 0.12$ |
| EAbuK | 3 | $-0.52 \pm 0.04$ | $-1.39 \pm 0.12$ |
| EVK |  | $-0.55 \pm 0.03$ | $-1.42 \pm 0.12$ |
| ENvaK | m | $-0.52 \pm 0.04$ | $-1.39 \pm 0.12$ |
| ELK | x | $-0.30 \pm 0.06$ | $-1.17 \pm 0.13$ |
| ENIeK | $\checkmark$ | $-0.55 \pm 0.04$ | $-1.42 \pm 0.12$ |
| EFK | is | $-0.13 \pm 0.03$ | $-1.00 \pm 0.12$ |

Figure 3-3. Impact of differing hydrophobic acyclic amino acids on the Glu10:Lys18 salt bridge. Phe 14 is included for reference. Data are given $\pm$ standard error at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ protein concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ), and 1 M urea. Full sequences along with triple mutant cycle sequence variants are reported in the supporting information.

### 3.2.2 Crystal structures

We explored the differences in the side chain rotamers between compounds EFK, ELK, ENleK, EVK, ENvaK, and EAbuK (figure 3-4A). To explore this, we measured dihedral angles $\chi 1$ (defined by the atoms $\mathrm{N} \alpha, \mathrm{C} \alpha, \mathrm{C} \beta$, and $\mathrm{C} \gamma$ ) and $\chi 2(\mathrm{C} \alpha, \mathrm{C} \beta, \mathrm{C} \gamma$, and $\mathrm{C} \delta)$ for each side-chain, and described each $\chi 1$ and $\chi 2$ in one of three possible ways: $\mathrm{g}+$ (positive gauche) for angles between $0^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$; g-(negative gauche) for angles between $0^{\circ}$ and $-120^{\circ}$; and t (trans) for angles
between $120^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ or between $-120^{\circ}$ and $-180^{\circ}$. Glu10 occupies the same geometry in variants EFK, ELK, EVK, and ENvaK: while ENleK, and EabuK differ (figure 3-4B). The geometry of the residue 14 position appears to occupy differing rotamers, only Lue14 and Phe14 occupy the $t$, $g+$ rotamer while the ENleK, EVK, ENvaK, and EAbuK occupy varying rotamers (figure 3-4C). Like residue 14, Lys 18 in variants ELK and the EFK variant both occupy the same rotamer ( g -, t ), while the ENleK, EVK, ENvaK, and EAbuK occupy varying rotamers (figure 3-4D). The caveats described in Chapter 2 for interpreting the rotamers of surface-exposed side-chains in the crystalline state also apply here, making it difficult to correlate the structural details described in Fig. 3-4 with the solution-phase thermodynamic observations shown in Figure 3-3. The Glu10 of all the vairants exept for EAbuK, and ENleK occupy the g-, g- rotamer. Interestingly, if the crystal packing is taken into account the Glu10 g-g-rotamer of one helix trimer actually packs very close to the Lue14 of an adjacent trimer (Figure 3-5E). Although, this interaction is not applicable to in solution geometry it is tempting to speculate that the crystal packing interface places the Glu10 of an adjacent trimer at a more optimal position to interact in a three-way interaction with Lue14, and Lys 18.


Figure 3-4. (A) overlay of a single helix from EFK, ELK, ENleK, EVK, ENvaK, and EAbuK. (B)Rotamer analysis of Glu10. (C) Rotamer analysis of residue 14. (D) Rotamer analysis of Lys18.

We explored the structural basis for the stabilizing impact of Lue14 on the Glu10:Lys18 salt bridge by crystalizing four variants of peptide ELK, in which Leu vs. Ala is at position 14; Glu vs. Ala is at position 10; and Lys vs. Ala is at position 18, in all possible combinations (i.e., peptides ELK, ELA, ALK, ALA, EAK). These structures are overlaid with the structure of the EFK peptide in (Figure 3-5A). Interestingly, the Glu10 rotamer changes from $t, g+$ in ELA to $g$-, g- in ELK (Figure 3-5B). This rearrangement packs the Glu10 $\beta$ hydrogens towards the center of Lue14 on an adjacent helix trimer (Figure 3-5 E-F). The Leu14 side chains in peptides ELA, ALK, and ALA adopt the $\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{t}$ conformation that is most frequently observed for Leu residues within proteins, ${ }^{9-11}$ this conformation projects the $\delta$-methyl groups of Leu14 toward the N terminus of the helix where they are similarly distant from positions 10 and 18. In contrast, Leu14 in ELK adopts the less common $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{g}+$ conformation. Interestingly, the $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{g}+$ conformation of Leu14 closely resembles that of Phe14 in EFK(Figure 3-5C). The Lysine rotamers in ALK and EAK occupy the g-, g- rotamers. In the variants EFK and ELK the Lysine rotamer switches to a g -, t rotamer (figure 3-5 D). This switch in rotamer places the Leu14, or Phe14 in close proximity to Lys18: the Leu14 $\mathrm{C} \gamma$ is only $3.9 \AA$ from the Lys18 C $\delta$. We speculate that this
change in distance is due to the close packing of the crystal contact Glu10 to both Phe14, and Lue14 (Figure 5E, G, I). However, the caveats described above for interpreting the rotamers of surface-exposed side-chains in the crystalline state also apply here, making it difficult to correlate the structural details described in Fig. 3-5 with the solution-phase thermodynamic observations shown in Fig. 3-3.


Figure 3-5. (A) Single helix overlay of EFK, ELK, EAK, ALK, ALA, and ELA. (B) Rotamer analysis of Glu10. (C) Rotamer analysis of Luecine 14 or Phe 14. (D) Rotamer analysis for Lys18. (E) crystal interface between 2 trimers for ELK, (F) ELA, (G) ALK, (H) ALA, and (I) EAK.

### 3.2.3 Conclusions



In this chapter, we show that long range salt bridge can contribute significant conformational stability to a coiled-coil alpha helix trimer and dimer if there is a nonpolar amino acid positioned between the cation and anion. We show that the shape size of the amino acid does not matter as long as it is bigger than alanine. The data indicate that both leucine and valine can enhance long range salt bridges in the context of an alpha helix, this suggests a broader impact for cooperative ion-nonpolar interactions in protein structure. Substantial structural data show that the Glu10 in one trimer in the crystal lattice positions itself in close proximity to the leucine 14 on adjacent trimer. Close packing of lysine 18 and leucine 14 is also observed in the presence of Glu10. This is interesting and causes us to speculate that a nonpolar enhanced salt bridge might be more favorable if the Lue enhances salt bridge is positioned at the helical-helical interface.

### 3.3 Supporting Information

### 3.3.1 Protein synthesis, characterization, and Purification.

Peptide sequences for EChak, EChaKp1, ELK, ENIeK, EVK, ENvaK, and EabuK along with their sequence variants are shown in table 3-2. EAK, ESK, and EFK data can be found in chapter 2. All peptides were synthesized as C-terminal amides, by microwave-assisted solid-phase peptide synthesis as described in Chapter 2 supporting information section 2.4.1.

Table 3-2 Peptide sequences

| Peptide | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1CW | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH |
| GCN4-P1 | Ac-RMKQLEDRVEELESKNYHLENEVARLKKLVGER-CONH |


| EChaK | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALEChaKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH |
| :--- | :--- |
| EChaA |  |
| AChaK | Ac-EVEALEKKVEALEChaKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH |
| AChaA | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALEChaKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH |

### 3.3.2 ESI-TOF mass spec data

Mass spec characterization for EChak, EChaKp1, ELK, ENleK, EVK, ENvaK, and EabuK
along with their sequence variants are shown below.


Figure 3-6. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide EChaK


Figure 3-7. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide AChaK


Figure 3-8. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide EChaA


Figure 3-9. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide AChaA


Figure 3-10. ESI TOF data for peptide EchaK p1


Figure 3-11. ESI TOF data for peptide AchaK p1


Figure 3-12. ESI TOF data for peptide EchaA p1.


Figure 3-13. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide AchaA p1.


Figure 3-14. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide ELK.


Figure 3-15. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide ALK.


Figure 3-16. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide ELA.


Figure 3-17. ESI TOF spectrum for peptide ALA.


Figure 3-18. ESI TOF spectrum for AVA.


Figure 3-19. ESI TOF spectrum for AVK.


Figure 3-20. ESI TOF spectrum for EVA.


Figure 3-21. ESI TOF spectrum for EVK.


Figure 3-22. ESI TOF spectrum for ANleA.


Figure 3-23. ESI TOF spectrum for ANleK.


Figure 3-24. ESI TOF spectrum for ENleA.


Figure 3-25. ESI TOF spectrum for ENleK.


Figure 3-26. ESI TOF spectrum for ANvaA.


Figure 3-27. ESI TOF spectrum for ANvaK.


Figure 3-28. ESI TOF spectrum for ENvaA.


Figure 3-29. ESI TOF spectrum for ENvaK.


Figure 3-30. ESI TOF spectrum for AAbuA.


Figure 3-31. ESI TOF spectrum for AAbuK.


Figure 3-32. ESI TOF spectrum for EAbuA.


Figure 3-33. ESI TOF spectrum for EAbuK.

### 3.3.3 Analytical HPLC data

HPLC characterization for EChak, EChaKp1, ELK, ENleK, EVK, ENvaK, and EabuK along with their sequence variants are shown below.


Figure 3-34. Analytical HPLC Data for EChaK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA; $B=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-35. Analytical HPLC Data for AChaK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-36. Analytical HPLC Data for EChaA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-37. Analytical HPLC Data for AChaA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-38. Analytical HPLC Data for ELK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-39. Analytical HPLC Data for ALK. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-40. Analytical HPLC Data for ELA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA; $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-41. Analytical HPLC Data for ALA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-42. Analytical HPLC Data for EChaK p1. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-43. Analytical HPLC Data for AChaK p1. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-44. Analytical HPLC Data for EChaA p1. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-45. Analytical HPLC Data for AChaA p1. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over

50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-46. Analytical HPLC Data for AVA. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA; $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 3-47. Analytical HPLC Data for EVA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA; $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$


Figure 3-48. Analytical HPLC Data for AVK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \%$ B) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} /$ min


Figure 3-49. Analytical HPLC Data for EVK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-50. Analytical HPLC Data for ANleA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-51. Analytical HPLC Data for ENleA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-52. Analytical HPLC Data for ANleK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-53. Analytical HPLC Data for ENleK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-54. Analytical HPLC Data for ANvaA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-55. Analytical HPLC Data for ENvaA. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-56. Analytical HPLC Data for ANvaK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-57. Analytical HPLC Data for ENvaK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-58. Analytical HPLC Data for AAbuA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-59. Analytical HPLC Data for EAbuA. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-60. Analytical HPLC Data for AAbuK. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 3-61. Analytical HPLC Data for EAbuK. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

### 3.3.4 Size Exclusion Chromatography

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was done on a Shimadzu HPLC instrument using a Zenix-100 SEC analytical column or a Phenomenex Yarra 3u sec-3000 column. Size exclusion chromatography for EChaK, EChaK p1, ELK, ENleK, ENvaK, EVK, and EAbuK and their sequence variants were injected as $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ samples in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7.0 ) onto the column on a 25 minute isochratic method of a mobile phase comprised of 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7.0 ). and 0.3 M NaCl . Each variant was compared to a trimer and dimer standards previously characterized. ${ }^{12-13}$ Retention times for EChaK, ELK, ENleK, ENvaK, EVK, and EAbuK and their sequence variants were more comparable to the trimer standard that the dimer or monomer standards. Retention time EChaKp1 and its sequence variants were more comparable to the Dimer standard than the trimer standard.

Table 3-3 Retention times of helical peptides on a Zenix-100 SEC analytical column

## Batch 1 (7/27/17)

| Peptide | Calculated MW <br> (Da.) | Retention time <br> (min.) | Association <br> state |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trimer standard | 11151 | 9.15 | Trimer |
| Dimer Standard | 8131 | 10.31 | Dimer |
| AVA | 11187 | 9.26 | Trimer |
| EVA | 11358 | 9.04 | Trimer |
| AVK | 11362 | 9.17 | Trimer |
| EVK | 11533 | 9.17 | Trimer |
| ANleA | 11229 | 9.16 | Trimer |
| ENleA | 11400 | 9.06 | Trimer |
| ANleK | 11404 | 9.19 | Trimer |
| ENleK | 11575 | 9.08 | Trimer |

Batch 2 (10/10/17)

| Peptide | Calculated MW <br> (Da.) | Retention time <br> (min.) | Association <br> state |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trimer standard | 11016 | 9.04 | Trimer |
| Dimer Standard | 8131 | 10.23 | Dimer |
| ANvaA | 11187 | 9.17 | Trimer |
| ENvaA | 11358 | 9.00 | Trimer |
| ANvaK | 11362 | 9.15 | Trimer |
| ENvaK | 11533 | 9.04 | Trimer |
| AAbuA | 11145 | 9.09 | Trimer |
| EAbuA | 11316 | 8.98 | Trimer |
| AAbuK | 11319 | 9.02 | Trimer |
| EAbuK | 11491 | 9.11 | Trimer |

Table 3-4 Retention times of helical peptides on a Zenix-100 SEC analytical column

| Batch 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peptide | MW | Retention <br> Time (min) | Association state |
| (Da) |  |  |  |
| 1CW(monomer standard) | 11199 | 8.83 | Trimer |
| GCN4(dimer standard) | 8131 | 9.43 | Dimer |
| PSBD36(monomer | 4001 | 10.51 | Monomer |
| standard) |  |  |  |
| AChaA p1 | 7927 | 10.34 | Dimer/Monomer |
| EChaA p1 | 8041 | 9.83 | Dimer |
| AChaK p1 | 8043 | 10.33 | Dimer/Monomer |
| EChaK p1 | 8157 | 9.79 | Dimer |

## Batch 4

| Peptide | MW | Retention <br> Time $(\min )$ | Association state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | (Da) |  |  |
| 1CW(trimer standard) | 11199 | 9.04 | Trimer |
| ELK | 11575 | 8.94 | Trimer |
| 19-ALK | 11404 | 8.96 | Trimer |
| 19-ELA | 11401 | 9.07 | Trimer |
| 1CW(trimer standard) | 11199 | 9.11 | Trimer |
| 19-ALA | 11229 | 9.23 | Trimer |

Table 3-5 Retention times of helical peptides on a Phenomenex Yarra 3u sec-3000 column.

## Batch 5

| Peptide | Calculated <br> MW (Da) | Retention <br> Time (min) | association state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1CW (trimer <br> standard) | 11017 | 10.50 |  |
| AChaA | 11214 | 10.44 | trimer |
| EChaA | 11385 | 10.33 | trimer |
| AChaK | 11389 | 10.52 | trimer |
| EChaK | 11560 | 10.41 | trimer |

### 3.3.5 Folded Free energy measurement, Circular Dichroism spectropolarimetry.

Experimental details and the equations used for acquiring the folded free energies for
EChak, EChaKp1, ELK, ENleK, EVK, ENvaK, EabuK, EAK, ESK, and EFK are the same as for the compounds found in Chapter 2 details can be found in Section 2.4.5. The wavelengths scans and thermodynamic fits a EChak, EChaKp1, ELK, ENleK, EVK, ENvaK, and EabuK are found in figures 3-62 to 3-89.


$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\text {d }} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EChaK | $-16.0 \pm 0.03$ | $0.19 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9995 | 0.1597 |

Figure 3-62. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein EChaK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal mol ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AChaK | $-17.2 \pm 0.07$ | $0.20 \pm 0.006$ | 0.9985 | 0.2219 |

Figure 3-63. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein AChaK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 7). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=343.2$ (K)

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EChaA | $-16.5 \pm 0.06$ | $0.20 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9988 | 0.1853 |

Figure 3-64. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein EChaA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=343.2(K)$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{0} /$ kcal mol $^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} /$ kcal $^{\text {mol }}{ }^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | rmsd error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AChaA | $-18.2 \pm 0.06$ | $0.19 \pm 0.005$ | 0.9992 | 0.1571 |

Figure 3-65. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein AChaA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 7). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{0} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EChaK p1 | $-7.97 \pm 0.03$ | $0.115 \pm 0.002$ | $0.002 \pm 0.00005$ | 0.9997 | 0.1349 |

Figure 3-66. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein EChaK p1 in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| EChaA p1 | $-8.19 \pm 0.03$ | $0.130 \pm 0.002$ | $0.002 \pm 0.00004$ | 0.9998 | 0.13044 |

Figure 3-67. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein EChaA p1 in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 7). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

$T_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AChaK p1 | $-8.79 \pm 0.02$ | $0.131 \pm 0.001$ | $0.002 \pm 0.00004$ | 0.9998 | 0.1280 |

Figure 3-68. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein AChaK p1 in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



$$
\mathrm{T}_{\text {ref }}=333.15(\mathrm{~K})
$$

| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{d} / \mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{2} / \mathbf{k c a l} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathbf{K}^{-2}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ | rmsd error |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AChaA p1 | $-9.10 \pm 0.04$ | $0.137 \pm 0.002$ | $0.002 \pm 0.0001$ | 0.9997 | 0.1698 |

Figure 3-69. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein AChaA p1 in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 7). Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-70. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ALA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

|  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  | 246_263 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | ALK | $-16.6 \pm 0.04$ | $0.19 \pm 0.004$ | 0.9999 |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3-71. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ALK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-72. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ELA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-73. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ELK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-74. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein AVA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{229}{ }$ | $263$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{d}} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | AVK | $-15.0 \pm 0.02$ | $0.17 \pm 0.002$ | 0.9999 |  |  |  |

Figure 3-75. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein AVK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-76. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein EVA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-77. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein EVK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-78. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ANleA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-79. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ANleK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-80. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ENleA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-81. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ENleK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-82. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ANvaA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 7), and 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-83. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ANvaK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

|  |  |  |  |  | 229 | 246 | 263 | 280 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | ENvaA | $-15.2 \pm 0.02$ | $0.19 \pm 0.003$ | 0.9999 |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3-84. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ENvaA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-85. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein ENvaK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-86. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein AAbuA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-87. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein AAbuK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1 M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-88. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein EAbuA in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


Figure 3-89. CD spectrum (top right) and triplicate variable temperature CD data (top left) for a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of protein EAbuK in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ), and 1M urea. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

### 3.3.6 Single crystal diffraction data.

Freeze dried peptides were dissolved in milli $Q$ water at a concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ and screened against the Rigaku Wizard Cryo 1 and 2 screens. Drops were set with a TPP mosquito liquid handling robot with protein:condition ratios of 1:2, 1:1, and 2:1 at a total drop volume of 300nl. Conditions that grew high quality crystals are summarized in table 3-6. Crystals was harvested in ambient temperatures from sitting well plates with a nylon loop and immediately frozen in liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The crystal was mounted in a stream of cold $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and centered in the X-ray beam using a video camera. Low-temperature ( 100 K ) X-ray diffraction data was collected using a MACH3 kappa goniometer coupled to a Bruker Apex II CCD detector with a Bruker-Nonius FR591 rotating anode X-ray source producing $\mathrm{Cu} K_{\alpha}$ radiation $(\lambda=1.54178 \AA)$. The Bruker Proteum-3 suite was used to process (integrate and scale) the data.

Structures were determined by molecular replacement with Phaser (CCP4 program suite) using the coordinates of the a coiled-coil trimer structure previously determined. The initial electron density map indicated two helices each being a helix in a coiled coil trimer; side-chain density was clearly interpretable. Model building was carried out using COOT. ${ }^{14}$ Refinement
was performed with Phenix. ${ }^{15}$ Data and refinement statistics are summarized in Tables 3-7, 3-8, $3-9$, and 3-10.

Table 3-5 Crystal drop conditions

| Protein | Precipitant | Buffer | pH | Salt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALA | 40\% v/v PEG 300 | 100 mM Sodium phosphate dibasic/ Citric acid | 7.5 | 0.2 M NaCl |
| ELA | 40\% v/v PEG 300 | 100 mM Sodium phosphate dibasic/ Citric acid | 4.2 |  |
| ALK | 40\% v/v PEG 300 | 100 mM Sodium phosphate dibasic/ Citric acid | 4.2 |  |
| ELK | 50\% v/v PEG 200 | 100 mM HEPES free acid/ Sodium hydroxide | 7.5 |  |
| EAK | 50\% v/v PEG 200 | 100 mM Sodium phosphate dibasic/ Potassium phosphate monobasic | 6.2 | 0.2 M NaCl |
| EFK | 40\% v/v PEG 300 | 100 mM Sodium phosphate dibasic/ Citric acid | 4.2 |  |
| EVK | 40\% v/v PEG 600 | 100 mM Sodium citrate tribasic/ Citric acid | 5.5 |  |
| ENvaK | 40\% v/v PEG 600 | 100 mM Sodium citrate tribasic/ Citric acid | 5.5 |  |
| ENleK | 40\% v/v PEG 600 | 100 mM Sodium citrate tribasic/ Citric acid | 5.5 |  |

Table 3-6 Data and refinement statistics

|  | ALA | ELA | ALK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space group | R3 | R3 | R3 |
| Unit Cell |  |  |  |
| a, b, c | $37.86,37.86,105.85$ | $38.04,38.04,104.28$ | $38.18,38.18,102.77$ |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ | $90,90,120$ | $90,90,120$ | $90,90,120$ |
| Data collection |  |  |  |
| Resolution | $31.32-1.90$ | $34.77-1.70$ | $31.48-1.68$ |


| R merge (\%) | $13.8(34.7)$ | $29.8(26.9)$ | $37.3(48.5)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | $7.7(1.1)$ | $20.2(2.5)$ | $10.2(1.2)$ |
| completeness | $99.2(92.5)$ | $99.2(88.3)$ | $99.8(99.1)$ |
| Redundancy | $3.7(2.3)$ | $7.6(3.5)$ | $3.9(2.1)$ |
| Refinement |  | $34.77-1.70$ | $31.48-1.68$ |
| Resolution | $31.32-1.90$ | 4461 | 6237 |
| \# of reflections | $15.5 / 19.3$ | 636 | 634 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | $15.1 / 21.6$ | $17.3 / 22.9$ |  |
| \# of atoms | 17.4 | 0.006 | 644 |
| Ave B factor | 1.09 | 0.006 |  |
| Rmsd | 0.007 |  | 0.88 |
| Bond lengths $(\AA ̊)$ |  |  |  |
| Bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 0.69 |  |  |

Table 3-7 Data and refinement statistics

|  | EAK | EFK | ELK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space group | R3 | R3 | R3 |
| Unit Cell |  |  |  |
| a, b, c | $39.01,39.01,98.16$ | $38.89,38.89,103.47$ | $39.06,39.06,101.52$ |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ | $90,90,120$ | $90,90,120$ | $90,90,120$ |
| Data collection |  |  |  |
| Resolution | $32.72-2.30$ | $34.49-2.15$ | $33.85-1.90$ |
| R merge (\%) | $19.9(36.4)$ | $19.3(40.6)$ | $19.1(53.2)$ |


| $\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | $8.5(1.6)$ | $9.4(2.1)$ | $9.9(1.4)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| completeness | $99.56(100)$ | $99.7(100)$ | $99.1(94.8)$ |
| Redundancy | $5.8(4.4)$ | $7.7(4.4)$ | $4.9(2.5)$ |
| Refinement |  |  |  |
| Resolution | $32.72-2.30$ | $34.49-2.15$ | $33.85-1.95$ |
| \# of reflections | 2481 | 180 | 4591 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | $18.4 / 24.6$ | 576 | 604 |
| \# of atoms | 28.3 | 20.5 | $17.6 / 22.7$ |
| Ave B factor | 0.008 | 620 |  |
| Rmsd | 0.96 | 15.4 |  |
| Bond lengths $(\AA)$ | 0.008 | 1.05 |  |

Table 3-8 Data and refinement statistics

|  | EVK | ENVAK | ENLEK |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Space group | R3 | R3 | R3 |
| Unit Cell |  |  |  |
| a, b, c | $38.14,38.14,104.49$ | $38.32,38.32,104.59$ | $39.07,39.07,113.33$ |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ | $90,90,120$ | $90,90,120$ | $90,90,120$ |
| Data collection |  |  |  |
| Resolution | $34.84-1.5$ | $34.87-1.70$ | $37.78 .-2.20$ |
|  |  | 237 |  |


| R merge (\%) | $15.8(25.1)$ | $10.5(34.0)$ | $11.6(32.0)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | $18.3(1.9)$ | $17.5(1.9)$ | $16.2(2.1)$ |
| completeness | $99.9(97.6)$ | $99.8(98.3)$ | $99.5(95.4)$ |
| Redundancy | $6.1(2.8)$ | $7.3(4.7)$ | $4.6(2.2)$ |
| Refinement |  | $34.87-1.70$ | $37.78 .-2.20$ |
| Resolution | $34.84-1.5$ | 6357 | 3261 |
| \# of reflections | 9118 | $16.1 / 18.9$ | $19.7 / 26.6$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | $17.5 / 19.7$ | 667 | 559 |
| \# of atoms | 670 | 15.2 | 37.7 |
| Ave B factor | 14.7 | 0.006 | 0.008 |
| Rmsd | 1.00 | 1.03 |  |

Table 3-9 Data and refinement statistics

|  | EABUK |
| :--- | :--- |
| Space group | R3 |
| Unit Cell |  |
| a, b, c | $39.37,39.37,98.12$ |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ | $90,90,120$ |
| Data collection |  |


| Resolution | $32.72-1.6$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| R merge (\%) | $9.3(28.4)$ |
| $\mathrm{I} / \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | $16.3(1.4)$ |
| completeness | $99.9(98.7)$ |
| Redundancy | $3.6(2.4)$ |
| Refinement |  |
| Resolution | $32.72-1.6$ |
| \# of reflections | 7495 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {work }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {free }}$ | $19.2 / 21.4$ |
| \# of atoms | 638 |
| Ave B factor | 20.28 |
| Rmsd |  |
| Bond lengths $(\AA)$ | 0.007 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.04 |
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## 4 AN ANION-П INTERACTION STRONGLY STABILIZES THE B-SHEET PROTEIN WW.

### 4.1 Introduction

Interactions between cationic amino acids and the face of an electron rich aromatic amino acids (cation $-\pi$ ) are ubiquitous in protein structure. ${ }^{1-5}$ Experimental and computational work indicate that anions can have analogous interactions with the face of an electron deficient arene. ${ }^{6-}$ ${ }^{12}$ However, the energetic benefit of anion $\pi$ interactions is a subject of debate, ${ }^{13-14}$ especially for anion- $\pi$ interactions with the electron-rich amino acid side chains Phe, Tyr, and Trp. ${ }^{15}$

Unsurprisingly, one would expect the facewise interaction between an electron-rich arene and an anion to be unfavorable because of electrostatic repulsion, ${ }^{11}$ though arene polarization can partially compensate for less than optimal electrostatic interactions. ${ }^{7}$ Interestingly, analysis of protein structures in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) show that facewise contacts of anions with the aryl side chains of Phe, Trp, or Tyr do occur despite the debate whether this type of interaction is stabilizing. ${ }^{15-19}$

Alternative studies suggest an anion interacting with the electron poor C-H edge of electron rich arene occurs more often and has a more favorable energetic impact. ${ }^{7,18-19}$ For example, Kallenbach and co-workers found that an $i$-position Glu and an $i+4$ Phe interaction contributes about $-0.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in conformational stability to an alpha helix model peptide; relatively weak

NOEs show that the Glu and Phe side chains are in close proximity, possibly consistent with an interaction between the aryl protons of Phe and the negatively charged oxygens of Glu. ${ }^{20-21}$

### 4.2 Results and discussion

A statistical probe of protein structures in the PDB for facewise anion $\pi$ interactions showed, among others, two interactions where in a reverse turn an i-residue anion interacts with an $\mathrm{i}+2$ aromatic residue. ${ }^{17}$ The first example, fibronectin is a protein that plays a role in cell adhesion by binding integrin proteins. ${ }^{22}$ The fibronectin crystal structure (PDB 2QBW) shows that Asp179, found in a reverse turn, is interacting with the face of Tyr181 (Figure 4-1A). ${ }^{23} \beta$ galactosidase, an enzyme that breaks down polysaccharides, also has a reverse turn in its structure (PDB 1JZ8) where Asp997 interacts with the face of Trp 999 (Figure 4-1B). ${ }^{24-25}$ We wondered if we could assess the energetic contribution of an anion- $\pi$ interaction with the well-characterized WW domain. The WW domain (WW) is a 33 amino acid protein that has three antiparallel beta sheets connected by two reverse turns. ${ }^{26-31}$ The first reverse turn in WW contains positions 16 and 18 whose side chains are in close proximity (Figure 4-1C, PDB 2f21). We thought that placing a negatively charged amino acid at position 16 and an aromatic amino acid at position 18 might facilitate a face-wise anion $-\pi$ interaction similar to that observed in the context of fibronectin, and $\beta$-galactosidase.


Figure 4-1. (A) Fibronectin face wise anion- $\pi$ interaction between Asp179 and Tyr181, PDB ID 2QBW. (B) $\beta$-galactosidase face wise anion- $\pi$ interaction between Asp997 and Trp999, PDB ID 1JZ8. (C) Close proximity of residues 16 and 18 in a reverse turn within the Pin WW domain (PDB: 2f21). We made the substitutions shown at the positions indicated.

We tested this hypothesis by making WW variant DY in which position 16 is an Asp and position 18 is a Tyr, along with sequence-matched control compounds $\mathbf{S Y}, \mathbf{D N}$, and $\mathbf{S N}$, where we switched Asp16 to Ser and Tyr18 to Asn, respectively, in all possible combinations. We chose these replacements because Ser16 and Asn18 cannot participate in an anion- $\pi$ interaction and because they closely resemble the residues that occupy these positions in the parent WW sequence from which these variants were derived, these varients also complete a double mutant cycle allowing us to measure the Asp:Tyr interaction (see Chapter 1.2.1). ${ }^{32}$

Variable temperature CD experiments allowed us to assess the conformational stability of DY relative to SY, DN, and SN (Table 4-1). Mutating Ser16 to Asp increases WW conformational stability by $-0.26 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ when Asn occupies position 18 (which would preclude any contribution from an anion $-\pi$ interaction). In contrast, when Tyr occupies position 18, the S16D mutation stabilizes WW by a moderately larger amount $\left(\Delta \Delta G_{f}=-0.52 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$, suggesting that an Asp16-Tyr18 interaction contributes slightly to the stability of DY relative to DN $\left(\Delta \Delta \Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}=-0.26 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$; see Table 4-1 $)$.

We wondered if other proteinogenic arenes might more readily engage in a stabilizing interaction with Asp16. To test this hypothesis, we prepared WW variants $\mathbf{S W}, \mathbf{D W}, \mathbf{S H}, \mathbf{D H}, \mathbf{S F}$, and DF, in which Trp, His, or Phe have replaced Tyr18. Variable temperature CD data for $\mathbf{S W}$ were inconsistent with a two-state folding protein, preventing us from determining the strength of the Asp16-Trp18 interaction. Comparison of SH and $\mathbf{D H}$ vs $\mathbf{S N}$ and $\mathbf{D N}$ indicates that the Asp16His18 interaction is only marginally stabilizing $\left(\Delta \Delta \Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}=-0.12 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$, Table 4-1). In contrast, the Asp16-Phe18 interaction contributes nearly 5 times more conformational stability than the Asp16-Tyr18 interaction $\left(-1.31 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$, compare $\Delta G_{\mathrm{f}}$ values for $\mathbf{S F}$ and $\mathbf{D F}$ vs SN and DN in Table 4-1).

These results show that the Asp16-Phe18 interaction stabilizes WW, But the exact orientation of Asp16 relative to Phe18 remains unclear. If the Asp16-Phe18 interaction has a facewise orientation Asp16 packs close to the center Phe18, then replacing Phe with electron-poor pentafluorophenylalanine ( $\mathrm{F}_{5} \mathrm{Phe}$; one-letter abbreviation is Z ) should result in a more energetically favorable interaction. In contrast, an edgewise interaction of Asp16 with F5 Phe should be much less favorable, due to the electron rich fluorines of $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ Phe repelling the Asp16 carboxylate.

We tested this hypothesis by preparing WW variants $\mathbf{D Z}$ and $\mathbf{S Z}$, in which we replaced Phe18 with $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ Phe. Surprisingly, the Asp16-F5Phe18 interaction contributes $-1.33 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to the stability of $\mathbf{D Z}$ relative to $\mathbf{S Z}$, the same amount at the Asp16:Phe18 interaction. This result potentially indicates an edgewise orientation of Asp16 relative to Phe18 in DF and a facewise orientation of Asp16 relative to $\mathrm{F}_{5} \mathrm{Phe} 18$ in $\mathbf{D Z}$ and could indicate that anion $-\pi$ protein interactions are dynamic and permit local conformational changes that optimize interaction energetics.

Finally, we explored the role of aromaticity in the Asp16-Phe18 interaction by preparing variants $\mathbf{D X}$ and $\mathbf{S X}$, where we incorporated the nonaromatic residue cyclohexylalanine (Cha; one-letter abbreviation is X ) at position 18. The interaction of Asp16 with Cha18 does not contribute a significant amount to WW stability ( $0.08 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$, indicating aromaticity of the side chain at position 18 is essential for an anion- $\pi$ interaction with position 16

Table 4-1. Folded Free energies of WW proteins SN, DN and their Sequence Variants at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Protein | Position 16 | Position 18 | $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{m}}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

| SN | Ser | Asn | $65.7 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.53 \pm 0.01$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DN | Asp | Asn | $68.7 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.80 \pm 0.01$ |  |
| SY | Ser | Tyr | $58.3 \pm 0.3$ | $0.15 \pm 0.03$ |  |
| DY | Asp | Tyr | $64.2 \pm 0.2$ | $-0.37 \pm 0.02$ | $-0.26 \pm 0.04$ |
| SH | Ser | His | $60.8 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.01$ |  |
| DH | Asp | His | $65.4 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.45 \pm 0.01$ | $-0.12 \pm 0.02$ |
| SF | Ser | Phe | $52.8 \pm 0.2$ | $1.05 \pm 0.04$ |  |
| DF | Asp | Phe | $65.9 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.53 \pm 0.01$ | $-1.31 \pm 0.05$ |
| SZ | Ser | F5Phe | $49.7 \pm 0.1$ | $1.33 \pm 0.03$ |  |
| DZ | Asp | F5Phe | $62.6 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.26 \pm 0.01$ | $-1.33 \pm 0.03$ |
| SX | Ser | Cha | $58.4 \pm 0.1$ | $0.22 \pm 0.01$ |  |

DX Asp Cha $61.3 \pm 0.1 \quad-0.13 \pm 0.01 \quad-0.08 \pm 0.02$
$\overline{{ }^{\text {a }}}$ Folded free energies are given $\pm$ standard error in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(333.15 \mathrm{~K})$ in $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ sodium
Phosphate buffer (pH7). $\mathrm{Z}=$ pentaflourophenylalanine; $\mathrm{X}=$ cyclohexylalanine

### 4.3 Conclusions

Here, we have shown that anionic Asp can interact favorably with Phe to increase the stability of the WW domain. Our results complement previous computational predictions ${ }^{6,9-10,33-}$ ${ }^{35}$ along with earlier studies of an $\alpha$-helical model system, ${ }^{20-21}$ suggesting that the anion $-\pi$ interaction should be considered as an important addition to the tool box of noncovalent interactions used to understand protein folding, to design new proteins, and to develop smallmolecule effectors of protein function.

### 4.4 Supporting information

### 4.4.1 Protein Synthesis

WW peptide variants SN, DN, SY, DY, SY, DY, SF, DF, DZ, SZ, SX, DX (sequences shown in main text, $\mathrm{Z}=$ pentafluorophenylalanine, $\mathrm{X}=$ cyclohexylalanine) were synthesized as C -terminal acids (Fmoc-Gly-Wang resin, EMD Millipore), by microwave-assisted solid-phase peptide synthesis, using a standard Fmoc $\mathrm{N} \alpha$ protection strategy as described previously in chapter 2 section 2.4.1.

### 4.4.2 Protein Purification and Characterization

Immediately prior to purification, the crude protein was dissolved in $1: 1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}$. Proteins were purified by preparative reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a C18 column using a linear gradient of water in acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ v/v TFA. Fractions containing the desired protein product were pooled, frozen, and lyophilized. Proteins were identified by electrospray ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (ESI-TOF); mass spectra appear below in Figures S1-S12. Protein purity was assessed by Analytical HPLC (Figures S13S24).

ESI-TOF spectra for proteins WW peptide variants SN, DN, SY, DY, SY, DY, SF, DF, DZ, SZ, SX, DX are shown in Figures 4-2-4-11.


Figure 4-2. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{S N}$. Expected $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1251.619$ Da. Observed $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=$ 1251.607 Da.


Figure 4-3. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{D N}$. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=945.965 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=$ 945.948 Da.


Figure 4-4. ESI TOF spectrum for SY. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=951.221 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=$ 951.218 Da.


Figure 4-5. ESI TOF spectrum for DY. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=958.220 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=$ 958.215 Da .


Figure 4-6. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{S F}$. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 3=1262.6272 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 3=$ 1262.6244 Da .


Figure 4-7. ESI TOF spectrum for DF. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 3=1271.9588$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 3=$ 1271.9511 Da .


Figure 4-8. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{S Z}$. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 3=1292.6115 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 3=$ 1292.6085 Da (Z= pentaflourophenylalanine).


Figure 4-9. ESI TOF spectrum for DZ. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 3=1301.9431 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 3=$ $1301.9408 \mathrm{Da}(\mathrm{Z}=$ pentaflourophenylalanine $)$.


Figure 4-10. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{S X}$. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=948.7341 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=$ 948.7248 Da (X=cyclohexylalanine).


Figure 4-11. ESI TOF spectrum for DX. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=955.7328 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=$ 955.7174 Da (X=cyclohexylalanine).

HPLC traces for proteins SN, DN, SY, DY, SF, DF, SZ, DZ, SX, DX are shown in Figures 4-12-4-21.


Figure 4-12. Analytical HPLC Data for SN. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 4-13. Analytical HPLC Data for DN. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 4-14. Analytical HPLC Data for SY. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 4-15. Analytical HPLC Data for DY. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 4-16. Analytical HPLC Data for SF. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 4-17. Analytical HPLC Data for DF. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 4-18. Analytical HPLC Data for SZ. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. $(\mathrm{Z}=$ pentaflourophenylalanine $)$


Figure 4-19. Analytical HPLC Data for DZ. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA; $B=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. $(\mathrm{Z}=$ pentaflourophenylalanine $)$


Figure 4-20. Analytical HPLC Data for $\mathbf{S X}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. $(\mathrm{X}=$ cyclohexylalanine $)$


Figure 4-21. Analytical HPLC Data for DX. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. $(\mathrm{X}=$ cyclohexylalanine)

### 4.4.3 Circular Dichroism Spectropolarimetry

Measurements were made with an Aviv 420 Circular Dichroism Spectropolarimeter, using quartz cuvettes with a path length of 0.1 cm . Protein solutions were prepared in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7 , and protein concentrations were determined spectroscopically based on tyrosine and tryptophan absorbance at 280 nm in 8 M guanidine hydrochloride +20 mM sodium phosphate $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{Trp}}=5690 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{Tyr}}=1280 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{36} \mathrm{CD}$ spectra of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of $\mathbf{S N}$, DN, SY, DY, SF, DF, SZ, DZ, SX, and DX were obtained from 260 to 200 nm at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Variable temperature CD data were obtained at least in triplicate by monitoring the molar ellipticity at 227 nm of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of in 20 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7 ) from 1 to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ intervals, with 120 s equilibration time between data points and 30 s averaging time.

The folding equilibria of SN, DN, SY, DY, SF, DF, SZ, DZ, SX, and DX do not involve self-association into quaternary structures; rather, an unfolded monomer proceeds to a folded monomer via a single high-energy transition state, with the position of the equilibrium determined by folding equilibrium constant $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}$ :


We used the following equation to fit the variable temperature CD data:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\theta]=\frac{\left(u_{o}+u_{1} T\right)+\left(f_{0}+f_{1} T\right) K_{f}}{1+K_{f}} \tag{S11}
\end{equation*}
$$

T is the temperature in Kelvin; $\mathrm{u}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ are the intercept and slope of the post-transition baseline, respectively; $f_{o}$ and $f_{1}$ are the intercept and slope of the pre-transition baseline, respectively; and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the folding equilibrium constant as defined by equations S 12 and S 13 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=\exp \left(\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{RT}}\right) \tag{S12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{T}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}}+\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \cdot\left(\mathrm{~T}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{T} \cdot \ln \left[\frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{m}}}\right]\right), \tag{S13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where R is the universal gas constant $(0.0019872 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$, and the fit parameters are $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (the midpoint of the unfolding transition; the temperature at which $\left.\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=0\right), \Delta \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, the change in enthalpy upon folding at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$; and $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the change in heat capacity upon folding. In some cases, standard errors indicated that certain parameters (typically $\Delta C_{p}$ and/or $u_{1}$ ) were unlikely to be significant ( $p$-value $>0.01$ ). When this happened, we fit the data again with modified equations that eliminated the insignificant parameters.

We used parameters from the fits of the variable temperature CD data for $\mathbf{S N}, \mathbf{D N}, \mathbf{S Y}$, DY, SF, DF, SZ, DZ, SX, and DX variants to calculate the folding free energy values presented in the main text. CD spectra and variable temperature $C D$ data for $\mathbf{S N}, \mathbf{D N}, \mathbf{S Y}, \mathbf{D Y}, \mathbf{S F}, \mathbf{D F}, \mathbf{S Z}$, DZ, SX, and DX are shown in Figures 4-21-4-40, along with the parameters that were used to generate global fits for each compound. The standard error for each fitted parameter is also shown.


| SN Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-33.6 \pm 0.3$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| Tm | $65.7 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta C_{p}$ | $-0.67 \pm 0.02$ | kcal/mol/K | <0.001 |
| a1 | $14.0 \pm 0.2$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.0284 \pm 0.0007$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-1.62 \pm 0.01$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $15.6 \pm 0.2$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.0341 \pm 0.0007$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-1.78 \pm 0.01$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $15.0 \pm 0.2$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.0316 \pm 0.0007$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-1.54 \pm 0.01$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-22. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein SN in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9999$.


| DN Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-34.2 \pm 0.4$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $68.7 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-0.67 \pm 0.02$ | kcal/mol/K | <0.001 |
| a1 | $17.6 \pm 0.3$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.0367 \pm 0.0009$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-1.85 \pm 0.02$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $17.7 \pm 0.3$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.0370 \pm 0.0009$ | $\operatorname{deg~cm}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-1.82 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $18.1 \pm 0.3$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.0392 \pm 0.0009$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-2.01 \pm 0.02$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-23. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein DN in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9999$.





| SY Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $T_{m}$ | -29.7 $\pm 0.8$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| Tm | $58.4 \pm 0.3$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-0.65 \pm 0.05$ | $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K}$ | <0.001 |
| a1 | $17.8 \pm 1.2$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.036 \pm 0.004$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-1.38 \pm 0.04$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | -_- | --- |
| a2 | $12.3 \pm 1.0$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.023 \pm 0.003$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-1.43 \pm 0.04$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $12.6 \pm 0.9$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.025 \pm 0.003$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-1.31 \pm 0.03$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-24. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein SY in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9994$.


Figure 4-25. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(I) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein DY in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9988$.


| DNR Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-35.3 \pm 1.1$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| Tm | $77.8 \pm 0.2$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-0.61 \pm 0.05$ | kcal/mol/K | <0.001 |
| a1 | $17.5 \pm 0.4$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.0397 \pm 0.0014$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-2.08 \pm 0.08$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $14.4 \pm 0.4$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.0297 \pm 0.0014$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-2.18 \pm 0.09$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $18.6 \pm 0.4$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.0433 \pm 0.0013$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-1.98 \pm 0.08$ | deg cm ${ }^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-26. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein SNR in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9999$.


| DNR Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-35.3 \pm 1.1$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| Tm | $77.8 \pm 0.2$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-0.61 \pm 0.05$ | kcal/mol/K | <0.001 |
| a1 | $17.5 \pm 0.4$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.0397 \pm 0.0014$ | $\operatorname{deg~cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-2.08 \pm 0.08$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $14.4 \pm 0.4$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.0297 \pm 0.0014$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-2.18 \pm 0.09$ | $\operatorname{deg~cm}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $18.6 \pm 0.4$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.0433 \pm 0.0013$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-1.98 \pm 0.08$ | $\operatorname{deg~cm}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-27. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein DNR in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9997$.




| WW SYR Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-33.0 \pm 0.7$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| Tm | $65.1 \pm 0.2$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta C_{p}$ | --- | --- | --- |
| a1 | $12.5 \pm 0.3$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.027 \pm 0.001$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-1.17 \pm 0.03$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $11.8 \pm 0.3$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.024 \pm 0.001$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-0.94 \pm 0.03$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $12.3 \pm 0.3$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.026 \pm 0.001$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-1.17 \pm 0.03$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-28. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein SYR in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9996$.


| DYR Parameter | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-33.2 \pm 0.7$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $74.6 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta C_{p}$ | $-0.61 \pm 0.03$ | kcal/mol/K | <0.001 |
| a1 | $12.7 \pm 0.4$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.029 \pm 0.001$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-3.20 \pm 0.05$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $14.4 \pm 0.4$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.036 \pm 0.001$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-4.24 \pm 0.05$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $13.6 \pm 0.4$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.033 \pm 0.001$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-3.76 \pm 0.05$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-29. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein DYR in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9996$.





| SH Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $T_{m}$ | $-25.4 \pm 0.2$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $60.8 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-0.46 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K}$ | <0.001 |
| a1 | $20.0 \pm 0.5$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.033 \pm 0.001$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-2.00 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $20.0 \pm 0.5$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.035 \pm 0.002$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-2.65 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | _-- | --- |
| a3 | $19.3 \pm 0.5$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.033 \pm 0.001$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-2.71 \pm 0.02$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-30. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein SH in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9999$.





| DH Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-29.7 \pm 0.3$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $65.4 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta C_{p}$ | $-0.58 \pm 0.02$ | kcal/mol/K | <0.001 |
| a1 | $13.6 \pm 0.3$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.027 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-1.65 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $14.4 \pm 0.3$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.030 \pm 0.001$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-2.09 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $13.6 \pm 0.3$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.029 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-2.52 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-31. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein DH in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9999$.





| SF Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-43.0 \pm 1.3$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $52.8 \pm 0.2$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta C_{p}$ | $-1.21 \pm 0.06$ | kcal/mol/K | <0.001 |
| a1 | $11.5 \pm 0.6$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.025 \pm 0.002$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-0.82 \pm 0.02$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $13.7 \pm 0.6$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.034 \pm 0.003$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-1.32 \pm 0.02$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $13.2 \pm 0.6$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.032 \pm 0.002$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-1.12 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-32. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein $\mathbf{S F}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9992$.





| DF Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-31.9 \pm 0.3$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| Tm | $65.9 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-0.54 \pm 0.05$ | $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K}$ | <0.001 |
| a1 | $14.0 \pm 0.2$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.023 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-1.31 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $13.5 \pm 0.2$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.022 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-1.27 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | -- |
| a3 | $14.9 \pm 0.2$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.027 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-1.46 \pm 0.02$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-33. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein DF in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9999$.





| SZ Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | -41.6 $\pm 0.7$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $49.7 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | --- | --- | --- |
| a1 | $5.9 \pm 0.3$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.010 \pm 0.001$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-0.97 \pm 0.01$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $6.1 \pm 0.3$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.011 \pm$ oyb2se | $\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-0.85 \pm 0.01$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $5.1 \pm 0.3$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.008 \pm 0.001$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-0.98 \pm 0.01$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | -_- |

Figure 4-34. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein $\mathbf{S Z}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9994$.





| DZ Parameters | Values | Units | P -Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-35.4 \pm 0.5$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $62.6 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-0.82 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K}$ | <0.001 |
| a1 | $19.4 \pm 0.5$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.044 \pm 0.002$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-3.27 \pm 0.03$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $20.1 \pm 0.5$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.047 \pm 0.002$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-3.61 \pm 0.03$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- |  | --- |
| a3 | $19.8 \pm 0.5$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.046 \pm 0.002$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-3.30 \pm 0.03$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-35. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein $\mathbf{D Z}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9997$.


| SX Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $T_{m}$ | $-45.1 \pm 0.6$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| Tm | $58.4 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-1.12 \pm 0.03$ | $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K}$ | <0.001 |
| a1 | $20.6 \pm 0.9$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.044 \pm 0.003$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-9.06 \pm 0.04$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | -_- | --- |
| a2 | $20.5 \pm 0.8$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.044 \pm 0.003$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-8.72 \pm 0.04$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | - |
| a3 | $21.0 \pm 0.8$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.046 \pm 0.003$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-8.78 \pm 0.04$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-36. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein SX in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9997$.





| DCha Parameters | Values | Units | P -Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-33.0 \pm 0.4$ | $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | <0.001 |
| Tm | $61.3 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | --- | --- | --- |
| a1 | $13.1 \pm 0.2$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.025 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-1.04 \pm 0.02$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $13.1 \pm 0.2$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.026 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-1.21 \pm 0.02$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | - | --- |
| a3 | $13.7 \pm 0.2$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.026 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-0.68 \pm 0.02$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-37. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein $\mathbf{S X}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9999$.


| SD Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-30.5 \pm 0.5$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| Tm | $69.4 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | --- | --- | --- |
| a1 | $12.9 \pm 0.2$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.028 \pm 0.001$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-1.20 \pm 0.03$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $14.4 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.033 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-1.50 \pm 0.03$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $13.2 \pm 0.2$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.029 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-1.11 \pm 0.03$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-38. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein SD in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9998$.


| FN Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-32.2 \pm 0.4$ | kcal/mol | <0.001 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $62.1 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $-0.74 \pm 0.02$ | kcal/mol/K | <0.001 |
| a1 | $24.5 \pm 0.4$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.0573 \pm 0.0014$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-1.38 \pm 0.02$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $25.5 \pm 0.4$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.0613 \pm 0.0014$ | $\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-1.73 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $25.9 \pm 0.4$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.0594 \pm 0.0014$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-0.64 \pm 0.02$ | deg cm ${ }^{-2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-39. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein FN in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9999$.


| FD Parameters | Values | Units | P-Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta H_{f}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $-28.6 \pm 0.2$ | $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | <0.001 |
| Tm | $62.2 \pm 0.1$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <0.001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | --- | --- | --- |
| a1 | $18.7 \pm 0.2$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b1 | $-0.035 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c1 | $-1.38 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d1 | --- | --- | --- |
| a2 | $19.7 \pm 0.2$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b2 | $-0.038 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c2 | $-1.68 \pm 0.02$ | deg $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d2 | --- | --- | --- |
| a3 | $18.4 \pm 0.2$ | deg cm ${ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| b3 | $-0.034 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| c3 | $-1.43 \pm 0.02$ | $\mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{dmol}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ | <0.001 |
| d3 | --- | --- | --- |

Figure 4-40. (A) CD spectrum and (B)-(D) variable temperature CD data for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of protein FD in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9999$.
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## 5 THE CYSI-LYS ${ }_{\text {I }+3}-L Y S_{I+4}$ TRIAD: A GENERAL APPROACH FOR PEG-BASED STABILIZATION OF A-HELICAL PROTEINS.

### 5.1 Introduction

Attaching polyethylene glycol oligomers (PEGs) to protein surface side chains (i.e. PEGylation) is an important strategy for protecting protein drugs from immunogenicity, aggregation, proteolysis, and for increasing their serum half-life. ${ }^{1-3}$ Early protein PEGylation strategies were generally non-specific, where current chemoselective side-chain modification strategies now allow specific placement of a single PEG at any position on a protein surface. ${ }^{4-8}$ However, this site-specific protein PEGylation raises the questions: where should we put PEG? Do some PEGylation sites provide more pharmacokinetic protection than others? If so, can we develop rational guidelines for recognizing optimal PEGylation sites in advance, thereby accelerating the development of PEGylated protein drugs?

Because unfolded or misfolded proteins are more aggregation-prone, ${ }^{9-10}$ more susceptible to proteolysis, ${ }^{11-13}$ and more readily recognized by antibodies, ${ }^{14-15}$ we hypothesize that optimal PEGylation sites should be characterized by the ability of the attached PEG to increase protein conformational stability. To support this hypothesis, the Price lab has demonstrated that PEGbased stabilization of a reverse turn in the $\beta$-sheet-containing WW domain of the human protein

Pin 1 is associated with enhanced resistance to proteolysis. ${ }^{16}$ However, it is unclear whether PEGylation can stabilize other secondary structures such as $\alpha$-helices.

Here we explore the energetic impact of PEGylating a trimeric $\alpha$-helical coiled coil. We find that modifying a solvent-exposed Cys with a PEG-maleimide increases the conformational stability of the coiled coil due to a favorable three-way interaction between the PEG-maleimide and two Lys residues at the $i+3$ and $i+4$ positions, relative to Cys. Analogous positioning of this $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad in the C-terminal $\alpha$-helix of the villin headpiece domain results in similar PEG-based increases to conformational stability. Our findings suggest that the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad is a simple, general, structure based sequence motif for identifying or installing stabilizing maleimide-based PEGylation sites within $\alpha$-helical proteins.

### 5.2 Results and Discussion

Early studies showed that N-terminal PEGylation of coiled-coil peptides can promote helical secondary structure; ${ }^{[17-18}$ but can be either stabilizing ${ }^{18}$ or destabilizing ${ }^{17}$ to coiled-coil quaternary structure for reasons that are unclear. Xu and coworkers ${ }^{19}$ used a 2000 Da PEGmaleimide to modify a centrally located solvent-exposed Cys residue within the designed trimeric coiled coil 1CW (Figure 5-1). ${ }^{20}$ The resulting PEGylated peptide $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ was more helical than its non-PEGylated counterpart, and retained the ability to form a cooperatively folded trimeric coiled coil. ${ }^{19}$ Small-angle X-ray scattering studies of $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ revealed that the PEG in $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ is more compact than would be expected for a free PEG in solution, possibly due to favorable PEG-peptide interactions. ${ }^{21-22}$ Molecular dynamic simulations by two different groups ${ }^{23-24}$ suggested the possible role of interactions between positively charged Lys residues and electronegative oxygen
atoms of the PEG oligomer in mediating PEG-based increases in helicity. One study implicated Lys7, Lys 15 , and Lys 21 as particularly important. ${ }^{23}$


Figure 5-1. Ribbon diagram (rendered in Pymol) of the parent coiled-coil trimer from which 1CW was derived. Installation of a Cys at position 14 (highlighted in green) facilitates site-specific PEGylation with a 2000 Da PEG maleimide.

If these predictions are accurate, replacing Lys7, Lys15, or Lys21 with Ala (i.e. Ala mutation) should lessen the impact of PEGylation on helicity. To test this hypothesis, we used solid-phase peptide synthesis to prepare peptide $\mathbf{1 C W}$ and alanine mutants $\mathbf{7 A}, \mathbf{1 5 A}, \mathbf{2 1 A}$, in which Lys residues at positions 7, 15, or 21, respectively, have been changed to Ala (see supporting information Table S4). We then prepared PEGylated peptides $\mathbf{p 1 C W}, \mathbf{p 7 A}, \mathbf{p 1 5 A}$, and $\mathbf{p 2 1 A}$ by modifying Cys14 in 1CW, 7A, 15A, 21A with a 2000 Da PEG-maleimide. The CD spectra of these peptides have minima at 222 nm and 208 nm , consistent with $\alpha$-helical secondary structure and coiled-coil quaternary structure. Analysis of these spectra with the program K2D3 ${ }^{25}$ indicates
that PEGylated $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{1 C W}$ is $2 \%$ more helical than $\mathbf{1 C W}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7. Interestingly, Ala mutation of $\mathrm{Lys}_{7}, \mathrm{Lys}_{15}$, or $\mathrm{Lys}_{21}$ does not lessen the impact of PEGylation on helicity: p7A, p15A, and p21A are each $6 \%, 5 \%$, and $9 \%$ more helical, respectively, than their non-PEGylated counterparts, a greater increment than we observed for $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ relative to $\mathbf{1 C W}$. These results suggest that any interactions between PEG and Lys ${ }_{7}, \mathrm{Lys}_{15}$, or $\mathrm{Lys}_{21}$ do not contribute to the enhanced helicity of $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$.

In principle, Lys-PEG interactions could affect coiled-coil quaternary structural stability, independent of their effect on $\alpha$-helical secondary structure. To test this hypothesis, we used sedimentation equilibrium experiments to verify the trimeric association state of $\mathbf{7 A}, \mathbf{p} \mathbf{7 A}, \mathbf{1 5 A}$, $\mathbf{p 1 5 A}, 21 \mathrm{~A}$, and $\mathbf{p} 21 \mathrm{~A}$. We assessed the conformational stability of these compounds by fitting variable temperature CD data to equations derived from a two-state folding model for a coiled-coil trimer (see supporting information for details).

We find that the $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ coiled coil is less stable than $\mathbf{1 C W}$ : a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{1 C W}$ has a melting temperature $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ of $78.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereas a $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ has a $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of $76.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, corresponding to decrease in stability of $0.26 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ at the reference temperature of $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ala mutation at position 7 does not substantially change the impact of PEGylation on coiledcoil stability, suggesting that PEG-Lys $7_{7}$ interactions do not play a significant role. In contrast, Ala mutation at positions 15 and 21 makes PEGylation even more unfavorable than observed for $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ (see supporting information Table $S 4$, compare $\mathbf{p 1 5 A}$ vs. $\mathbf{1 5 A}, \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.44 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{kcal}$ mol $^{-1}$; compare $\mathbf{p 2 1 A}$ and $21 \mathbf{A}, \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.58 \pm 0.03$ ), suggesting that interactions between the PEG-maleimide and Lys $_{15}$ or Lys $_{21}$ do contribute to the stability of $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$, though not enough to stabilize p1CW relative to $\mathbf{1 C W}$. We wondered whether these Lys/PEG-maleimide interactions might have a greater stabilizing impact if the Lys residues were located closer in space to

PEGylated Cys ${ }_{14}$. To test this hypothesis, we generated peptides $\mathbf{1 7 K}, \mathbf{1 8 K}$, and their PEGylated counterparts ( $\mathbf{p 1 7 K}$ and $\mathbf{p 1 8 K}$ ), in which wild-type residues at $i+3$ position 17 or $i+4$ position 18, respectively, have been changed to Lys (see supporting information Table S4). We chose these positions because they are only one helical turn away from $\mathrm{Cys}_{14}$, along the same solvent-exposed face of the helix. We also prepared $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$ and PEGylated $\mathbf{~ p 1 7 / 1 8 K}$, in which Lys residues occupy both positions 17 and 18 .

CD spectra and sedimentation equilibrium experiments confirm that $\mathbf{1 7 K}, \mathbf{p} \mathbf{1 7 K}, \mathbf{1 8 K}$, p18K, 17/18K, and p17/18K each form coiled-coil trimers. Maleimide-based PEGylation has no impact on the conformational stability of $\mathrm{p} \mathbf{1 7 K}$ and $\mathrm{p} \mathbf{1 8 K}$ relative to $\mathbf{1 7 K}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 K}$, respectively (see supporting information Table S5). In contrast, the $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K}$ coiled coil is $-0.85 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than the $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$ coiled coil. Because there are three PEG oligomers in each trimeric p17/18K coiled coil, we can infer that each PEG-maleimide imparts $\sim-0.28 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to coiled-coil stability. Peptides 1CW, p1CW, 17K, p17K, 18K, p18K, 17/18K, and p17/18K comprise a triple mutant cycle. As we have done previously, ${ }^{26}$ we can use variable temperature CD data for these compounds to parse the stabilizing impact of maleimide-based PEGylation on p17/18K into several components, including the intrinsic impact of the PEG-maleimide on coiledcoil stability; two-way interactions between $\operatorname{Lys}_{17}$ or Lys $_{18}$ and the PEG-maleimide; and a threeway interaction among the PEG-maleimide, Lys $_{17}$, and Lys $_{18}$ (each component represents the total contribution of the three PEG-maleimides).

Triple mutant cycle analysis reveals that maleimide-based PEGylation of Cys ${ }_{14}$ is intrinsically destabilizing $\left(\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.26 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$, but this effect is offset by favorable Lys/PEGmaleimide interactions in $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K}$ (Figure 5-2). Two-way interactions between Lys ${ }_{17}$ or $\mathrm{Lys}_{18}$ and the PEG-maleimide contribute a total of $\sim-0.27$ and $-0.22 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, respectively, to coiled-
coil quaternary structural stability. An additional $-0.62 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ of synergistic stabilization comes from a three-way interaction among the PEG-maleimide, $\mathrm{Lys}_{17}$, and Lys ${ }_{18}$, suggesting that optimal PEG-based stabilization requires the presence of Lys residues at both the $i+3$ and $i+4$ positions relative to the PEGylated $i$-position Cys.


Figure 5-2. Triple mutant cycle analysis of 1CW, p1CW 17K, p17K, 18K, p18K 17/18K, and p17/18K: parsing of PEG-based stabilization of $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K}$ relative to $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$ into the intrinsic impact of maleimidebased PEGylation along with interactions among the PEG-maleimide, Lys ${ }_{17}$, and Lys ${ }_{18}$.

We wondered whether the length of PEG affects the increase in conformational stability associated with attaching the PEG-maleimide to the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad in $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K}$. To test this hypothesis, we prepared peptides p17/18K-1kDa and m17/18K, in which a 1000 Da PEGmaleimide and a non-PEGylated maleimide have been attached to the Cys at position 14 in $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$, respectively (Figure 5-3). Variable temperature CD experiments reveal that $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K} \mathbf{- 1 k D a}$ is slightly more stable than its counterpart p17/18K (which harbors a 2000 Da PEG), though the exact difference in stability is challenging to extract from the CD data because $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K} \mathbf{- 1 k D a}$
does not reach a fully unfolded conformation even at the highest temperature sampled. We estimate that $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K} \mathbf{1 k D a}$ is $-1.2 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than non-PEGylated $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$ and is $-0.33 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K}$. Surprisingly, non-PEGylated maleimidemodified $\mathbf{m 1 7} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ is the most stable variant of all; we estimate that $\mathbf{m 1 7} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ is $-2.3 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than non-PEGylated $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$ and is $-1.4 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than p17/18K (again, these stability differences are estimates as $\mathbf{m 1 7 / 1 8 K}$ does not reach a fully unfolded conformation at the highest temperature sampled).

## Peptide Position 14

O 17/18K

O p17/18K

O p17/18K-1kDa



Figure 5-3. Variable temperature CD data for $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$, p17/18K, p17/18K-1kDa (which harbors a 1000 Da PEG-maleimide), and m17/18K (which has a Cys-linked maleimide, but no PEG).

Notwithstanding predictions of previous molecular dynamics simulations to the contrary, ${ }^{25,26}$ these results strongly suggest that the increased conformational stability of $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K}$ relative to non-PEGylated $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$ does not come from favorable interactions between Lys ${ }_{17}$,

Lys $_{18}$, and the electronegative oxygen atoms of PEG. Instead, the stabilization appears to come from favorable interactions between $\mathrm{Lys}_{17}$, $\mathrm{Lys}_{18}$, and the maleimide group, possibly between the cationic $\varepsilon$-ammonium groups of $\mathrm{Lys}_{17}$ and $\mathrm{Lys}_{18}$ and the carbonyl oxygen atoms of the maleimide. Adding PEG to the maleimide decreases the stability of these interactions in a length dependent manner, with longer oligomers leading to less substantial stabilization, possibly by increasing the conformational entropy of the PEG-functionalized maleimide group. However, we note that attaching the 2000 Da PEG-maleimide to the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad in $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$ is still a stabilizing modification overall $\left(\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}=-0.85 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$, suggesting that the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad is a better site for PEG-maleimide modification than locations that lack the $i+3$ and $i+4$ Lys residues.

We wondered whether attaching the 2000 Da PEG-maleimide to the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad in other helical contexts might result in similar stabilization. To test this hypothesis, we turned to a truncated variant of the villin headpiece domain (VHP), an extensively characterized 35residue protein in which three $\alpha$-helices pack around a core composed of non-polar and aromatic amino acids (Figure 5-4A,B). ${ }^{27-29}$ We began with destabilized variant 10/12L, instead of wildtype VHP (Figure 5-4A), ${ }^{28}$ because we feared the high thermal stability of VHP would make characterizing PEG-based stabilization difficult. The third turn of the C-terminal helix of 10/12L contains two adjacent Lys residues: $\mathrm{Lys}_{29}$ and $\mathrm{Lys}_{30}$. Replacing $\mathrm{Gln}_{26}$ with Cys gives protein VHP1, which harbors the complete $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad. We generated PEGylated $\mathbf{p V H P 1}$ by modifying Cys 26 in VHP1 with a 2000 Da PEG-maleimide.


Figure 5-4. (A) Sequences of proteins VHP, 10/12L, VHP1, pVHP1, VHP2, and pVHP2; box denotes Cys modified with a 2000 Da PEG-maleimide (B) Ribbon diagram of VHP (PDB ID: 1VII), with hydrophobic core side-chain atoms shown as spheres. (C) and (D) Ribbon diagrams showing placement of the $\mathrm{Cys}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Lys}_{\mathrm{i}+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{\mathrm{i}+4}$ triad at flanking and backside positions, respectively, in the C -terminal helix of VHP1 and VHP2.

The $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of PEGylated $\mathbf{p V H P} 1$ is $3.4 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ higher than that of unmodified VHP1, corresponding to an increase in conformational stability of $-0.18 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. This result demonstrates maleimide-based PEGylation of the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad can stabilize proteins other than $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K}$. However, the increased stability of $\mathbf{p V H P 1}$ relative to VHP1 is somewhat lower than we observed previously for $\mathbf{p 1 7} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ relative to $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}(\sim-0.28 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ per triad), possibly because the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad in $\mathbf{p}$ VHP1 flanks the hydrophobic core of the protein (Figure 5-4C), where steric clashes with PEG might limit stabilization.

We explored this possibility by shifting the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad one position toward the C-terminal end of the helix to generate VHP2 and PEGylated pVHP2. The $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$
triad in these variants occupies the solvent-exposed "backside" of the helix, as far from the hydrophobic core as possible (Figure 5-4D). Maleimide-based PEGylation increases the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of pVHP2 by $9.1 \pm 0.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ relative to VHP2, corresponding to an increase in conformational stability of $-0.29 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, an increment that more closely matches what we observed in $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K}$, suggesting that modifying the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad with a PEG-maleimide is a general way to stabilize $\alpha$-helical proteins.

### 5.3 Conclusions

We have shown that favorable interactions between an $i$ position PEG-maleimide and two $i+3$ and $i+4$ position Lys residues stabilizes two different $\alpha$-helical proteins. Our results suggest that the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad is a simple sequence motif for identifying or installing stabilizing maleimide-based PEGylation sites within $\alpha$-helical proteins. Many important therapeutic proteins contain $\alpha$-helices (e.g. erythropoietin, granulocyte colony stimulating factor, interferon $\alpha$, etc.); application of the $\mathrm{Cys}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ in these contexts should accelerate the development of PEGylated protein drugs with enhanced conformational stability (and therefore enhanced resistance to proteolysis, aggregation, and immunogenicity). Moreover, the fact that modifying the $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+4}$ triad with maleimide alone results in even greater increases to conformational stability suggests that a $\mathrm{Cys}_{i}-\mathrm{Lys}_{i+3}$-Lys ${ }_{i+4}$ triad, positioned carefully in an $\alpha$-helix could be an ideal site for using maleimide chemistry to immobilize helical proteins on solid supports or to functionalize them with fluorophores or drug conjugates.

### 5.4 Contributions

Contributions of Brijesh Pandey, a former post-doctoral researcher in the Price lab, were significant to this Chapter; When the data were published Mason and Brijesh shared first authorship. ${ }^{30}$ Brijesh demonstrated the PEG based Helix stability in the 1CW model system
(Figures 5-1, 5-2). Mason demonstrated PEG-based helix stability in the VHP system and did the length dependence study showing that the maleimide linker contributed the most stability to the 1CW coiled coil (Figure 5-3, 5-4).

### 5.5 Supporting Information

### 5.5.1 Protein Synthesis

Peptides 1CW, 7A, 15A, 21A, 17K, 18K, 17/18K, VHP1, VHP2, and their PEGylated counterparts $\mathbf{p 1 C W}, \mathbf{p} 7 \mathrm{~A}, \mathbf{p 1 5 A}, \mathbf{p 2 1 A}, \mathbf{p 1 7 K}, \mathbf{p 1 8 K}, \mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K}, \mathbf{p V H P 1}$, and pVHP2 (Table S1) were synthesized as C-terminal amides, by microwave-assisted solid-phase peptide synthesis, using a standard Fmoc $\mathrm{N} \alpha$ protection strategy as described previously in chapter 2 section 2.4.1. ${ }^{16}$

We prepared PEGylated proteins p1CW, p7A, p15A, p21A, p17K, p18K, p17/18K, pVHP1, and pVHP2 by functionalizing the Cys14 in proteins 1CW, 7A, 15A, 21A, 17K, 18K, 17/18K, VHP1, VHP2 with a 2 kDa monomethoxy PEG maleimide (average PEG M.W. $=2000$ Da; Jenkem Technology, ZZ099P356) according to the following general protocol: Following initial purification of $25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ crude $\mathbf{1 C W}$ variant, half of the resulting purified material (assumed to be $\sim 12.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 2 mL of milli-Q water. The maleimide-functionalized 2 kDa monomethoxy PEG ( $62.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was then added to the solution and stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The resulting solution was then stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until subjected to further purification and characterization. We used a similar approach to prepare peptide $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K} \mathbf{1 k D a}$, except we used a 1 kDa monomethoxy PEG-maleimide.

We prepared peptide $\mathbf{m 1 7 / 1 8 K}$ by functionalizing $\mathrm{Cys}_{14}$ in peptide $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$ with 3maleimidopropionic acid (M.W. $=169.135$, AK scientific) according the following procedure:

500 uL of purified $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}(195 \mathrm{uM})$ was diluted to a 1 mL solution of 20 mM tris $(\mathrm{pH}=6.9)$, approximately 20 eq . of maleimidopropionoic acid $(0.32 \mathrm{mg})$, and 10 eq. of TCEP $\mathrm{HCl}(0.27 \mathrm{mg}$, Thermo Scientific). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours and then subjected to reverse phase HLPC chromatography.

### 5.5.2 Protein Purification and Characterization

Immediately prior to purification, the crude protein was dissolved in $1: 1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}$. Proteins were purified by preparative reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a C18 column using a linear gradient of water in acetonitrile with $0.1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ TFA. Fractions containing the desired protein product were pooled, frozen, and lyophilized. Proteins were identified by electrospray ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (ESI-TOF); mass spectra appear below in Figures S1-S29. Protein purity was assessed by Analytical HPLC (Figures S30S49).
 PEGylated counterparts p1CW, p7A, p15A, p21A, p17K, p18K, p17/18K, pVHP1, pVHP2, $\mathrm{p} 17 / \mathbf{1 8 K} \mathbf{- 1 k D a}$, and $\mathbf{m 1 7 / 1 8 K}$ are shown in Figures 5-5-5-33.


Figure 5-5. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{1 C W}$. Expected $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1249.6689 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3$ $=1249.6645 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-6. ESI TOF spectrum for p1CW with 38 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=940.1915$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=940.184 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-7. ESI TOF spectrum for p1CW with 48 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=1013.5686$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=1013.58 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-8. ESI TOF spectrum for 7A. Expected $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1230.6469 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=$ 1230.6470 Da .


Figure 5-9. ESI TOF spectrum for p7A with 40 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 5=1134.2272$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 5=1134.2217 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-10. ESI TOF spectrum for p7A with 36 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 5=1099.0062$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 5=1098.964 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-11. ESI TOF spectrum for 15A. Expected $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1230.6469 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3$ $=1230.6387 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-12. ESI TOF spectrum for p15A with 43 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=967.3704$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=967.3447 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-13. ESI TOF spectrum for p15A with 40 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 5=1134.2272$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 5=1134.2217 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-14. ESI TOF spectrum for K21A. Expected $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1230.6469$ Da. Observed $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1230.6631 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-15. ESI TOF spectrum for p21A with 40 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 5=1134.2272$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 5=1134.2217 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-16. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{p 2 1 A}$ with 43 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 7=829.3186$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+5 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right] / 7=829.3045 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-17. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{1 7 K}$. Expected $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1249.6811 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3$ $=1249.6999 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-18. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{p 1 7 K}$ with 45 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=991.5616$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=991.5709 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-19. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{p 1 7 K}$ with 44 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=984.2238$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=984.2355 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-20. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{1 8 K}$. Expected $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1268.6882$ Da. Observed $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3$ $=1268.7061 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-21. ESI TOF spectrum for p18K with 40 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=964.3766$ Da. $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=964.3656 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-22. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{p 1 8 K}$ with 41 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=971.7143$ Da. $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=971.7190 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-23. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$. Expected $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1268.7004 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1268.7243 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-24. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{p 1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ with 45 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=$ 1001.0712 Da . Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=1001.0757 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-25. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{p 1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ with 46 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=$ 1008.4089 Da . Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=1008.4161 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-26. ESI TOF spectrum for VHP1. Expected $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1341.0635 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $[\mathrm{M}+3 \mathrm{H}]^{3+} / 3=1341.0614$.


Figure 5-27. ESI TOF spectrum for pVHP1 with a 45 unit PEG. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=$ 1037.2528 Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=1037.2518 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-28. ESI TOF spectrum for pVHP1. Expected with a 44 unit PEG. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6$ $=1029.9151 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=1029.8636$.


Figure 5-29. ESI TOF spectrum for VHP2. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=1009.3166$ Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4$ $=\mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-30. ESI TOF spectrum for pVHP2 with 41 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=$ 1010.0800 Da. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=1010.0807 \mathrm{Da}$.


Figure 5-31. ESI TOF spectrum for pVHP2 with 42 PEG units. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=$ 1017.4177 Da . Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 6=1017.4135 \mathrm{Da}$.


Counts (\%) vs. Mass-to-Charge ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ )
Figure 5-32. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{p 1 7 / 1 8 K} \mathbf{- 1 k D a}$. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{K}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 5=1003.9497$ Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{K}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] / 5=1003.9694$


Figure 5-33. ESI TOF spectrum for $\mathbf{m 1 7} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$. Expected $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=994.03665 \mathrm{Da}$. Observed $\left[\mathrm{M}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right] / 4=994.0503 \mathrm{Da}$.

HPLC traces for proteins $\mathbf{1 C W}, \mathbf{7 A}, \mathbf{1 5 A}, \mathbf{2 1 A}, \mathbf{1 7 K}, \mathbf{1 8 K}, \mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}, ~ V H P 1, ~ V H P 2, ~ a n d ~$ their PEGylated counterparts p1CW, p7A, p15A, p21A, p17K, p18K, p17/18K, pVHP1, pVHP2, p17/18K-1kDa, and $\mathbf{m 1 7 / 1 8 K}$ are shown in Figures 5-34-5-53.


Figure 5-34. Analytical HPLC Data for 1CW. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-35. Analytical HPLC Data for p1CW. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-36. Analytical HPLC Data for 7A. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-37. Analytical HPLC Data for p7A. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-38. Analytical HPLC Data for 15A. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-39. Analytical HPLC Data for $\mathbf{p 1 5 A}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-40. Analytical HPLC Data for 21A. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-41. Analytical HPLC Data for p21A. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-42. Analytical HPLC Data for 17K. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \%$ B) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-43. Analytical HPLC Data for p17K. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-44. Analytical HPLC Data for 18K. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \%$ B), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-45. Analytical HPLC Data for $\mathbf{p 1 8 K}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-46. Analytical HPLC Data for $\mathbf{1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-47. Analytical HPLC Data for $\mathbf{p 1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-48. Analytical HPLC Data for VHP1. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-49. Analytical HPLC Data for pVHP1. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-50. Analytical HPLC Data for VHP2. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-51. Analytical HPLC Data for pVHP2. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}\right)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-52. Analytical HPLC Data for p17/18K-1kDa. Protein solution was injected onto a C18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA) over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse ( $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ), and a 10 minute column re-equilibration $(10 \% \mathrm{~B})$ with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.


Figure 5-53. Analytical HPLC Data for m17/18K. Protein solution was injected onto a C 18 analytical column and eluted using a linear gradient of $10-60 \% B\left(A=H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{MeCN}, 0.1 \%\right.$ TFA $)$ over 50 minutes, followed by a 10 minute rinse $(95 \% \mathrm{~B})$, and a 10 minute column re-equilibration ( $10 \% \mathrm{~B}$ ) with a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

### 5.5.3 Variable Temperature CD experiments

Details and equations used for the Thermodynmic measurements of $\mathbf{1 C W}, \mathbf{p 1 C W}, \mathbf{7 A}$, p7A, 15A, p15A, 21A, p21A, 17K, p17K, 18K, p18K, 17K/18K, p17K/18K, p17K/18K-1kDa and $\mathbf{m 1 7} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ can be found in Chapter 2 section 2.4.5. Details and equations used for the Thermodynmic measurements for VHP1, pVHP1, VHP2, and pVHP2 $(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ can be found in chapter 4 section 4.4.3.

We used the parameters generated by fitting the variable temperature CD data for 1 CW and VHP variants to calculate the folding free energy values presented in the main text. CD spectra and variable temperature $C D$ data for $\mathbf{1 C W}, \mathbf{p 1 C W}, 7 \mathrm{~A}, \mathbf{p} 7 \mathrm{~A}, \mathbf{1 5 A}, \mathbf{p 1 5 A}, \mathbf{2 1 A}, \mathbf{p 2 1 A}, \mathbf{1 7 K}$, p17K, 18K, p18K, 17K/18K, p17K/18K, p17/18K-1kDa, m17/18K, VHP1, pVHP1, VHP2, pVHP2 are shown in Figures 5-54-5-64, along with the parameters that were used to generate global fits for each compound. The standard error for each fitted parameter is also shown.





| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\left.\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( k c a l} / \mathbf{m o l} / \mathbf{K}\right)$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 C W}$ | $-16.43 \pm 0.05$ | $0.203 \pm 0.004$ | 343.15 | 0.9988 |
| p1CW | $-16.17 \pm 0.05$ | $0.219 \pm 0.005$ |  |  |

Figure 5-54. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 C W}$ (black) and $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.





| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7A | $-15.41 \pm 0.03$ | $0.185 \pm 0.003$ |  |  |
| p7A | $-15.20 \pm 0.03$ | $0.215 \pm 0.004$ | 343.15 | 0.9993 |

Figure 5-55. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 7A (black) and $\mathbf{p 7 A}$ (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.





| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{0}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 5 A}$ | $-14.01 \pm 0.02$ | $0.223 \pm 0.003$ |  |  |
| p15A | $-13.57 \pm 0.02$ | $0.213 \pm 0.003$ | 343.15 | 0.9995 |

Figure 5-56. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 5 A}$ (black) and $\mathbf{~ p 1 5 A}$ (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.





| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{0} \mathbf{( K )}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21A | $-15.23 \pm 0.02$ | $0.216 \pm 0.003$ |  |  |
| p21A | $-14.65 \pm 0.01$ | $0.206 \pm 0.002$ | 343.15 | 0.9997 |

Figure 5-57. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins 21A (black) and p21A (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.




| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{0}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 7 K}$ | $-16.49 \pm 0.04$ | $0.182 \pm 0.003$ |  |  |
| p17K | $-16.50 \pm 0.04$ | $0.179 \pm 0.004$ | 343.15 | 0.9993 |

Figure 5-58. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 7 K}$ (black) and $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{1 7 K}$ (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.





| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{0}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 8 K}$ | $-15.10 \pm 0.03$ | $0.184 \pm 0.003$ |  |  |
| p18K | $-15.06 \pm 0.03$ | $0.160 \pm 0.003$ | 343.15 | 0.9992 |

Figure 5-59. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 8 K}$ (black) and $\mathbf{p 1 8 K}$ (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations $\mathrm{S} 6-\mathrm{S} 9$ are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.





| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{0}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ | $-15.23 \pm 0.03$ | $0.178 \pm 0.004$ |  |  |
| p17K/18K | $-16.08 \pm 0.04$ | $0.142 \pm 0.004$ | 343.15 | 0.9987 |

Figure 5-60. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ (black) and $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.
Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.


| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{0}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$ | $-15.23 \pm 0.03$ | $0.178 \pm 0.004$ |  |  |
| p17/18K-1kDa | $-16.41 \pm 0.04$ | $0.112 \pm 0.003$ | 343.15 | 0.9987 |

Figure 5-61. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ (black) and $\mathbf{p 1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K} \mathbf{- 1 k D a}$ (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7. Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



| Protein | $\left.\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{( k c a l} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{0}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}$ | $-15.23 \pm 0.03$ | $0.178 \pm 0.004$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{m 1 7 / 1 8 K}$ | $-17.49 \pm 0.09$ | $0.135 \pm 0.006$ | 343.15 | 0.9987 |

Figure 5-62. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins $\mathbf{1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ (black) and $\mathbf{m 1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S6-S9 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VHP1 | $0.0566 \pm 0.0004$ | $305.9 \pm 0.1$ | 0.9997 |
| pVHP1 | $0.0529 \pm 0.0003$ | $309.3 \pm 0.1$ | 0.9998 |

Figure 5-63. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins VHP1 (black) and pVHP1 (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S11-S13 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.



| Protein | $\Delta \mathbf{G}_{1}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VHP2 | $0.056 \pm 0.002$ | $309.5 \pm 0.3$ | 0.9947 |
| pVHP2 | $0.0317 \pm 0.0004$ | $318.6 \pm 0.2$ | 0.9996 |

Figure 5-64. CD spectra (lines, top left), variable temperature CD data (circles, top right) for $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions of proteins VHP2 (black) and pVHP2 (red) in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Parameters used to fit the variable temperature CD data to equations S11-S13 are also shown, with standard errors as indicated.

### 5.5.4 Sedimentation Equilibrium experiments

Sedimentation equilibrium experiments were performed using a Beckman XLA ultracentrifuge. Peptide solutions were loaded into 1.2 cm cells, and sedimentation was monitored by absorbance at 280 nm . Sedimentation equilibria were analyzed at several speeds ranging from 12 to 45 krpm , and data were collected at 0.001 cm intervals along the length of the cell at each speed. After changing speeds, samples were allowed to equilibrate for 24 hours at the new speed prior to data collection, which was also repeated 2 hours later to verify that the
consecutive data sets were superimposable, signaling that the system was at equilibrium.
Apparent molecular weight was determined by least-squares regression of the equilibrium radial absorbance data using MS Excel and Solver. Data were fit to models either for a single species, or for an equilibrium between monomer and $n$-mer (equations S14 and S15, respectively):

$$
\begin{gather*}
A(r)=\text { baseline }+A_{o} \exp \left[\frac{M(1-v \rho) \omega^{2}\left(r^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right)}{2 R T}\right]  \tag{S14}\\
A(r)=A_{o} \exp \left[\frac{M(1-v \rho) \omega^{2}\left(r^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right)}{2 R T}\right]+K A_{o}^{n} \exp \left[\frac{n M(1-v \rho) \omega^{2}\left(r^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right)}{2 R T}\right] \tag{S15}
\end{gather*}
$$

In equation $\mathrm{S} 14, \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{r})$ is the absorbance (at 280 nm ) at radial position $r(\mathrm{in} \mathrm{cm}) ; \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is the absorbance ( at 280 nm ) at an arbitrary reference radial position $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}} ; \mathrm{M}$ is the apparent molecular weight of the peptide; $v$ is the partial specific volume of the peptide (in $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ ); $\rho$ is the density of the sample (calculated using SEDNTERP; $\rho=1.00046 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for a 20 mM sodium phosphate solution at pH 7 ); $\omega$ is the radial velocity ( $\mathrm{in} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) of the rotor; $R$ is the universal gas constant (8.3144 $\times 10^{7} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ ); $T$ is the temperature (in Kelvin), and "baseline" is a correction for absorbance resulting from non-sedimenting components of the sample.

The variables in equation S15 have the same meaning, except $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ is the absorbance (at 280 nm ) due to monomer at the arbitrary reference radial position; $K$ is the equilibrium constant for the association between the monomer and the n -mer; n is the aggregation number for the species in equilibrium with the monomer; M is the molecular weight of the monomer, assuming appropriately ionized side-chains at pH 7.

The partial specific volumes (v) for each non-PEGylated 1 CW variant were calculated following the method of Durschlag and Zipper ${ }^{31}$ and are shown in Table 5-1. For PEGylated 1 CW variants, we estimated $v$ according to the following equation (S16):

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\left[\frac{v_{1} M_{1}+v_{2} M_{2}}{M_{1}+M_{2}}\right] \tag{S16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $v_{1}$ is the partial specific volume of a 1 CW variant modified at Cys 14 with a maleimidePEG containing only one PEG unit; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ is the calculated molecular weight of this peptide; $v_{2}$ is the partial specific volume of a 44-unit PEG oligomer ( $\sim 44$ PEG units; $v_{2}=0.836 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$,
 oligomer (1938 Da).

Table 5-1. Molecular Weight and Partial Specific Volumes for 1CW variants and their PEGylated counterparts.

| Peptide | Calculated <br> M ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | Calculated <br> $\nu\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathbf{g}^{-1}\right)$ | Observed M single species fit ( $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | Mobs/Mcalc | Aggregation state |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p1CW | 5909.8 | 0.7687 | $15.7 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.7 | trimer |
| 7A | 3687.1 | 0.7327 | $11.9 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 3.2 | trimer |
| p7A | 5851.7 | 0.7675 | $13.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.3 | trimer |
| 15A | 3687.1 | 0.7327 | $10.5 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.8 | trimer |
| p15A | 5851.7 | 0.7675 | $14.0 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.4 | trimer |
| 21A | 3687.1 | 0.7327 | $9.2 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.5 | trimer |
| p21A | 5851.7 | 0.7675 | $14.2 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.4 | trimer |
| 17K | 3746.3 | 0.7389 | $10.2 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.7 | trimer |
| p17K | 5910.8 | 0.7711 | $16.1 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.7 | trimer |
| 18K | 3803.3 | 0.7375 | $10.6 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.8 | trimer |
| p18K | 5967.9 | 0.7699 | $14.7 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.5 | trimer |
| 17K/18K | 3804.4 | 0.7412 | $11.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 3.0 | trimer |
| p17K/18K | 5968.9 | 0.7723 | $15.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3}$ | 2.6 | trimer |

As a rule, equilibrium sedimentation data were fit to the single species model first; the equilibrium models were attempted if the single species fit was unsatisfactory. The quality of a particular fit was judged based on the $R^{2}$ value (closer to 1 is better), the fit standard deviation (lower is better), and the appearance of the residual plots, which show the difference between the data and the fit at each radial position (smaller and more random deviations from residual $=0$ are better). If the single-species and equilibrium models were of similar quality according to these criteria, we used the simpler model to describe the peptides shown in Table 5-1. The best model selected for each peptide according to these criteria is highlighted with a magenta box in Figures 5-65-5-76. In each case, alternative models are also presented to show how we arrived at the conclusions presented in Table 5-1.

In most cases, the observed apparent molecular weights shown in Table 5-1 are smaller than the molecular weights expected for a trimer composed of three copies of a $\mathbf{1 C W}$ variant or its PEGylated counterpart. These differences may be a result of charged-based non-ideality, in which charge-charge repulsion decreases the apparent molecular weight of peptide assemblies by shifting the equilibrium distribution of the peptide away from the bottom of the cell. The difference may also reflect errors in the calculated values of density $(\rho)$ or partial specific volume (v) that were used in each fit. In most cases, a small $3-6 \%$ change in $\rho$ or $v$ could account for the deviation of the experimental apparent molecular weight from the calculated molecular weight of the trimer. For PEGylated compounds, the difference may also reflect the polydisperse nature of the PEG oligomer; some protein-PEG conjugates will have PEG oligomers that are longer or shorter than the average nominal 45 -unit length. We note that previously published sedimentation equilibrium experiments from Xu and coworkers ${ }^{19}$ gave observed molecular weights for $\mathbf{1 C W}$ and $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ of 10177 Da and 17147 Da . These values are respectively $9 \%$ and
$3 \%$ smaller than expected; Xu and coworkers nonetheless conclude that $\mathbf{1 C W}$ and $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ are helix-bundle trimers.

The smaller size of the observed molecular weights relative to the calculated trimer molecular weights in Table 5-1 could also be consistent with $\mathbf{1 C W}$ variants that are equilibrating between two or more distinct species under the conditions of the experiment. To test this hypothesis, we attempted to fit the sedimentation data for each variant to a monomer-dimer, monomer-trimer, and monomer-tetramer equilibrium model according to equation S15. In so doing, we used the monomer molecular weights listed in Table 5-1 as constants, along with $\mathrm{n}=$ 2,3 , or 4 as desired. In each case, the monomer-dimer and monomer-tetramer fits were of substantially lower quality than the monomer-trimer fit, which itself was of slightly lower quality than the single species fit. Given the potential uncertainty that results from charge-based nonideality; errors in $\rho$ and $v$; and a polydisperse PEG conjugate, we conclude that the single species fit and monomer-trimer equilibrium fit lead to the same conclusion: that each of the $\mathbf{1 C W}$ variants shown in Table 5-1 forms a helix-bundle trimer in solution.
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## Monomer-Tetramer Fit <br> $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9922$

rms error $=0.0215$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=5.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=329 \pm 27$ O.D. units
$=1.4 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{M}^{-3}$

At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 68 \%$ monomer, $32 \%$ tetramer



Figure 5-65. Sedimentation equilibrium data for $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fitting model is highlighted in magenta.
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$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9931$
rms error $=0.0214$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed) $\mathrm{n}=3$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=30 \pm 14 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
$=3.0 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{M}^{-2}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 10 \%$ monomer, $90 \%$ trimer
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Monomer-Dimer Fit
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.8032$
rms error $=0.1140$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed) $\mathrm{n}=2$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=17 \pm 240 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
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rms error $=0.0197$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
$=7.7 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{M}^{-3}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 50 \%$ monomer, $50 \%$ tetramer



Figure 5-66. Sedimentation equilibrium data for 7A in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fitting model is highlighted in magenta.


Figure 5-67. Sedimentation equilibrium data for $\mathbf{p} 7 \mathrm{~A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fitting model is highlighted in magenta.
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$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9623$
rms error $=0.0134$
baseline $=-0.186 \pm 0.008$
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=3$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.7 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
$=1.7 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M}^{-2}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 66 \%$ monomer, $34 \%$ trimer
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R^{2}=0.9515
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rms error $=0.0152$
baseline $=-0.213 \pm 0.013$
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed) $\mathrm{n}=2$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=3 \pm 26 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
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## Monomer-Tetramer Fit

$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9579$
rms error $=0.0141$
baseline $=-0.211 \pm 0.007$
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=417 \pm 173$ O.D. units
$=1.7 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{M}^{-3}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 65 \%$ monomer, 35\% tetramer



Figure 5-68. Sedimentation equilibrium data for $\mathbf{1 5 A}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fittting model is highlighted in magenta.
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$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9943$
rms error $=0.0182$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=5.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=3$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=279 \pm 25$ O.D. units
$=2.8 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{M}^{2}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 42 \%$ monomer, $58 \%$ trimer
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$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9781$
rms error $=0.0356$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=5.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed) $\mathrm{n}=2$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=18 \pm 69 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
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rms error $=0.0310$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=5.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=113 \pm 10$ O.D. units
$=4.7 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{M}^{-3}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 80 \%$ monomer, $20 \%$ tetramer



Figure 5-69. Sedimentation equilibrium data for p15A in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fittting model is highlighted in magenta.
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$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9966$
rms error $=0.0124$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed) $\mathrm{n}=3$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=155 \pm 8$ O.D. units
$=1.6 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{M}^{2}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 49 \%$ monomer, $51 \%$ trimer
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$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9792$
rms error $=0.0304$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed) $\mathrm{n}=2$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=29 \pm 124 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
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Monomer-Tetramer Fit $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9895$
rms error $=0.0216$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=81 \pm 5$ O.D. units
$=3.4 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{M}^{3}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 83 \%$ monomer, $17 \%$ tetramer



Figure 5-70. Sedimentation equilibrium data for 21A in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fittting model is highlighted in magenta.
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Monomer-Trimer Fit
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9962$
rms error $=0.0154$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=5.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed) $\mathrm{n}=3$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=76 \pm 4$ O.D. units
$=7.6 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{2}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 58 \%$ monomer, $42 \%$ trimer
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$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9873$
rms error $=0.0282$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=5.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=2$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5 \pm 8 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
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Monomer-Tetramer Fit
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9898$
rms error $=0.0253$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=5.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=43 \pm 3$ O.D. units $=1.9 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{M}^{-3}$

At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 88 \%$ monomer, $12 \%$ tetramer



Figure 5-71. Sedimentation equilibrium data for p21A in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fittting model is highlighted in magenta.

## A <br> Single Species Fit <br> $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9982$ <br> rms error $=0.0085$ <br> baseline $=0.021 \pm 0.002$ O.D. <br> $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {calc }}$ (trimer) $=11.2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ <br> $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {obs }}^{\text {calc }}=10.2 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ <br> Difference $=-9 \%$
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rms error $=0.0087$
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$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed) $\mathrm{n}=3$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=509 \pm 23$ O.D. units
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=5.1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{M}^{-2}
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At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 15 \%$ monomer, $87 \%$ trimer
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baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed) $\mathrm{n}=2$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=15 \pm 37 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
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## Monomer-Tetramer Fit

$$
\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9942
$$

rms error $=0.0155$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=181 \pm 8$ O.D. units $=7.5 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{M}^{-3}$

At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 75 \%$ monomer, $25 \%$ tetramer



Figure 5-72. Sedimentation equilibrium data for $\mathbf{1 7 K}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fittting model is highlighted in magenta.
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$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9952$
rms error $=0.0161$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=5.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed) $\mathrm{n}=3$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=50 \pm 3$ O.D. units
$=5.0 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-2}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 64 \%$ monomer, $36 \%$ trimer
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Monomer-Dimer Fit
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9282$
rms error $=0.0627$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=5.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=2$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=3 \pm 10 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{O}$.D. units
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Monomer-Tetramer Fit $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9926$
rms error $=0.0201$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=5.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=27 \pm 1$ O.D. units
$=1.1 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{M}^{3}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 94 \%$ monomer, $6 \%$ tetramer



Figure 5-73. Sedimentation equilibrium data for $\mathbf{p 1 7 K}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fittting model is highlighted in magenta.


Figure 5-74. Sedimentation equilibrium data for $\mathbf{1 8 K}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fittting model is highlighted in magenta.
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$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9847$
rms error $=0.0317$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=6.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=2$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=6 \pm 5 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
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Monomer-Tetramer Fit
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9895$
rms error $=0.0263$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=6.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=50 \pm 2$ O.D. units
$=2.1 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{M}^{-3}$
At $100 \mu \mathrm{M}, 87 \%$ monomer, $13 \%$ tetramer



Figure 5-75. Sedimentation equilibrium data for $\mathbf{p 1 8 K}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fittting model is highlighted in magenta.
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Monomer-Dimer Fit
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9474$
rms error $=0.0562$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=2$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=3 \pm 2 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
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Monomer-Tetramer Fit
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9958$
rms error $=0.0158$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=3.8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$K_{a}=277 \pm 9$ O.D. units
$=1.2 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{M}^{3}$
At $100 \mu \mathrm{M}, 70 \%$ monomer, 30\% tetramer



Figure 5-76. Sedimentation equilibrium data for $\mathbf{1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fittting model is highlighted in magenta.
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Monomer-Trimer Fit
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9946$
rms error $=0.0171$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=6.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=3$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=176 \pm 13$ O.D. units
$=1.8 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{M}^{-2}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 48 \%$ monomer, $52 \%$ trimer



C
Monomer-Dimer Fit
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9780$
rms error $=0.0343$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=6.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=2$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=2 \pm 2 \times 10^{3}$ O.D. units
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Monomer-Tetramer Fit $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9883$
rms error $=0.0250$
baseline $=0$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{MW}_{\text {monomer }}=6.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{Da}$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{n}=4$ (fixed)
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=93 \pm 6$ O.D. units
$=3.9 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{M}^{3}$
At $30 \mu \mathrm{M}, 81 \%$ monomer, 19\% tetramer



Figure 5-77. Sedimentation equilibrium data for $\mathbf{p 1 7 K} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$ in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 . Data were fit to four different models; the best-fittting model is highlighted in magenta.

### 5.5.5 Triple Mutant Cycle Analysis

As described in the main text, we used least-squares regression to fit the thermodynamic data for $\mathbf{1 7 / 1 8 K}, \mathrm{p} 17 / \mathbf{1 8 K}, \mathbf{1 7 K}, \mathrm{p} 17 \mathrm{~K}, \mathbf{1 8 K}, \mathrm{p} 18 \mathrm{~K}, \mathbf{1 C W}$, and $\mathbf{p 1 C W}$ to the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta G_{f}=\Delta G_{f}^{o} & +\left(\Delta \Delta G_{\mathrm{C} 14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG})} \cdot W_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG})}\right)+\left(\Delta \Delta G_{\mathrm{Q} 17 \mathrm{~K}} \cdot W_{17 \mathrm{~K}}\right)+\left(\Delta \Delta G_{\mathrm{A} 18 \mathrm{~K}} \cdot W_{18 \mathrm{~K}}\right) \\
& +\left(\Delta \Delta \Delta G_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG}), 17 \mathrm{~K}} \cdot W_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG})} \cdot W_{17 \mathrm{~K}}\right)+\left(\Delta \Delta \Delta G_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG}), 18 \mathrm{~K}} \cdot W_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG})} \cdot W_{18 \mathrm{~K}}\right) \\
& +\left(\Delta \Delta \Delta G_{17 \mathrm{~K}, 18 \mathrm{~K}} \cdot W_{17 \mathrm{~K}} \cdot W_{18 \mathrm{~K}}\right) \\
& +\left(\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta G_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG}), 17 \mathrm{~K}, 18 \mathrm{~K}} \cdot W_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG})} \cdot W_{17 \mathrm{~K}} \cdot W_{18 \mathrm{~K}}\right) \tag{S17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the folding free energy of a given variant of $\mathbf{1 C W} ; \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\circ}$ is the folding free energy of $\mathbf{1 C W} ; \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{C} 14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG})}, \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Q} 17 \mathrm{~K}}$, and $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{A} 18 \mathrm{~K}}$ describe the intrinsic energetic consequences of the $\mathrm{Cys}_{14}$ to $\mathrm{Cys}_{14}(\mathrm{PEG}), \mathrm{Gln}_{17}$ to $\mathrm{Lys}_{17}$, and $\mathrm{Ala}_{18}$ to $\mathrm{Lys}_{18}$ mutations, respectively;
$\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG}), 17 \mathrm{~K},} \Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG}), 18 \mathrm{~K}}$, and $\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{17 \mathrm{~K}, 18 \mathrm{~K}}$, describe the two-way interaction energies between $\mathrm{Cys}_{14}(\mathrm{PEG})$ and $\mathrm{Lys}_{17}$, between $\mathrm{Cys}_{14}(\mathrm{PEG})$ and $\mathrm{Lys}_{18}$, and between Lys ${ }_{17}$ and $\mathrm{Lys}_{18}$, respectively, relative to the corresponding two-way interaction energies in $\mathbf{1 C W}$ between $\mathrm{Cys}_{14}$ and $\mathrm{Gln}_{17}$, between $\mathrm{Cys}_{14}$ and $\mathrm{Ala}_{18}$, and between $\operatorname{Gln}_{17}$ and Ala ${ }_{18}$, respectively; $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG}), 17 \mathrm{~K}, 18 \mathrm{~K}}$ describes the three-way interaction energy between Cys ${ }_{14}(\mathrm{PEG})$, Lys 17 , and Lys18 relative to the corresponding three-way interaction energy in $\mathbf{1 C W}$ between $\mathrm{Cys}_{14}$, $\operatorname{Gln}_{17}$, and $\mathrm{Ala}_{18} ; \mathrm{W}_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG})}$ is 0 when position 14 is Cys or 1 when it is $\operatorname{Cys}(\mathrm{PEG}) ; \mathrm{W}_{17 \mathrm{~K}}=0$ when position 17 is Gln or 1 when it is Lys; $\mathrm{W}_{18 \mathrm{~K}}=0$ when position 18 is Ala or 1 when it is Lys. Parameters obtained from this analysis are given in Table 5-2

Table 5-2. Triple mutant cycle analysis of folding free energy data for $\mathbf{1 C W}$ variants at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (338.15 K).

## Energetic Contribution

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\circ}$ | -16.43 |
| $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Cl4C(PEG})}$ | 0.26 |
| $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Q} 17 \mathrm{~K}}$ | -0.06 |
| $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Al8K}}$ | 1.33 |
| $\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG}), 17 \mathrm{~K}}$ | -0.27 |
| $\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG}), 18 \mathrm{~K}}$ | -0.22 |
| $\Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{17 \mathrm{~K}, 18 \mathrm{~K}}$ | 0.06 |
| $\Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{14 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PEG}), 17 \mathrm{~K}, 18}$ | -0.57 |

Table 5-3. CD spectra and variable temperature CD data for PEGylated and non-PEGylated variants of $\mathbf{1 C W}$ at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Peptide Sequence | [ $]^{2} 22$ | $\%$ helicity | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{m}} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G_{f} \\ (\text { kcal mol } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \Delta G_{f} \\ \left(\text { kcal mol }^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1CW Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | $-25.2 \pm 0.3$ | 67 | 78.3 | $-16.43 \pm 0.05$ | $0.26 \pm 0.07$ |
| p1CW Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH2 | $-26.5 \pm 0.4$ | 69 | 76.8 | $-16.17 \pm 0.05$ |  |
| 7A Ac-EVEALEAKVAALECKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH2 | $-23.9 \pm 0.4$ | 62 | 74.5 | $-15.41 \pm 0.03$ | $0.21 \pm 0.04$ |
| p7A Ac-EVEALEAKVAALECKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH2 | $-26.0 \pm 0.3$ | 68 | 73.1 | $-15.20 \pm 0.03$ |  |
| 15A Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECAVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | $-24.5 \pm 0.3$ | 65 | 68.5 | $-14.01 \pm 0.02$ | $0.44 \pm 0.03$ |
| p15A Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECAVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH2 | $-26.8 \pm 0.3$ | 70 | 66.7 | $-13.57 \pm 0.02$ |  |
| 21A Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVQALEAKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | $-24.6 \pm 0.3$ | 67 | 73.2 | $-15.23 \pm 0.02$ | $0.58 \pm 0.03$ |
| p21A Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVQALEAKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | $-29.6 \pm 0.3$ | 76 | 71.0 | $-14.65 \pm 0.01$ |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data collected at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptide concentration in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \%$ helicity estimated using the program K2D3. $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}$ were calculated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $[\square]_{222}$ is in units of $10^{3} \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{dmol} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{res}^{-1} . \underline{\mathbf{C}}$ denotes a Cys residue modified with a 2000 Da PEG-maleimide (see Figure 1).

Table 5-3. Variable temperature CD data for $\mathbf{1 C W}, \mathbf{1 7 K}, \mathbf{1 8 K}, \mathbf{1 7} / \mathbf{1 8 K}$, and their PEGylated counterparts at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Peptide | Sequence | Tm( ${ }^{( } \mathrm{C}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{kcal} \\ \left.\mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \Delta G_{f}(\text { kcal } \\ \left.\mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1CW | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | 78.3 | $-16.43 \pm 0.05$ | $0.26 \pm 0.07$ |
| p1CW | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVQALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | 76.8 | $-16.17 \pm 0.05$ |  |
| 17K | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVKALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | 79.3 | $-16.49 \pm 0.04$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.06$ |
| p17K | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVKALEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | 79.5 | $-16.50 \pm 0.04$ |  |
| 18K | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | 73.1 | $-15.10 \pm 0.03$ | $0.04 \pm 0.04$ |
| p18K | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVQKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | 73.3 | $-15.06 \pm 0.03$ |  |
| 17/18K | Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVKKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ | 73.8 | $-15.23 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.85 \pm 0.06$ |
| p17/18K Ac-EVEALEKKVAALECKVKKLEKKVEALEHGWDGR-CONH ${ }_{2}$ |  | 79.2 | $-16.08 \pm 0.04$ |  |
| $1111111$ |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data are a Cys residue | for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptide solutions in 20 mM sodium phosphate, pH modified with a 2000 Da PEG-maleimide (see Figure 1). | $\Delta \mathrm{Gf}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\Delta \Delta$ | Gf were calculated | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\underline{\mathbf{C}}$ denotes |
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[^0]:    

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ NOE assignments made based on $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR TOCSY and ROESY experiments on a 5 mM solution of pA－EWA in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer（ pH 7 ）at room temperature using a 500 MHz Varian INOVA spectrometer．

